

RATIONAL POINTS ON CURVES AND RIEMANN-ROCH FOR GRAPHS

MATT BAKER

This is a summary of the 30-minute lecture which I gave at the 2013 Simons Symposium on Tropical and Non-Archimedean Geometry. The goal was to explain some of the ideas behind the proof of the following recent theorem due to Eric Katz and David Zureick-Brown [KZB]:

Theorem 1. *Let X/\mathbb{Q} be an algebraic curve of genus at least 2. Assume that $r := \text{rank}J(\mathbb{Q}) < g$. Let $p > 2r + 2$ be a prime number, and let \mathfrak{X} be a proper regular model for X over \mathbb{Z}_p . Then*

$$\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \#\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{F}_p) + 2r.$$

Katz and Zureick-Brown give an example in their paper where $r < g - 1$ and this bound is *sharp*.

1. THE METHOD OF COLEMAN AND CHABAUTY

Around 1940, Claude Chabauty had the idea that in order to prove that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite (the Mordell conjecture), one could try to show that $X(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \overline{J(\mathbb{Q})}$ is finite, where $\overline{J(\mathbb{Q})}$ is the p -adic closure of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ in $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for some prime number p . Under the assumption that $r < g$, Chabauty proved in [Ch] that this strategy actually works!

In the mid-1980's, Coleman [Co] made Chabauty's finiteness theorem effective in the sense that he was able to give an explicit upper bound for $\#X(\mathbb{Q})$. The theorem of Katz and Zureick-Brown refines Coleman's work, building on intermediate developments due to Lorenzini–Tucker [LT], McCallum–Poonen [MP], and Stoll [St].

One way to summarize the combined ideas of Chabauty and Coleman is as follows (here we follow closely an article of McCallum and Poonen). Let X/\mathbb{Q} be an algebraic curve and let J be its Jacobian. There is a canonical bilinear map

$$J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times H^0(J_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

denoted $\langle Q, \omega_J \rangle \mapsto \int_0^Q \omega_J$, uniquely characterized by the following two properties:

- For fixed ω_J , the map $\eta_J : J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ given by $Q \mapsto \int_0^Q \omega_J$ is a group homomorphism.
- On some open subgroup U of $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, one can compute $\int_0^Q \omega_J$ for $Q \in U$ by formally integrating power series in suitable local coordinates.

Now, for $Q, Q' \in X(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\omega_X \in H^0(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)$, we define

$$\int_Q^{Q'} \omega_X := \int_0^{[Q'-Q]} \omega_J,$$

where ω_J is the differential corresponding to ω_X under the canonical isomorphism between $H^0(J_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)$ and $H^0(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)$.

If $Q, Q' \in X(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ have the same reduction in some proper regular model \mathfrak{X} for X , then $\int_Q^{Q'} \omega_X$ can be calculated by expanding ω_X in a power series with respect to a local parameter on X and formally integrating.

One deduces from this formalism:

Proposition 1. *Let $r := \text{rank}J(\mathbb{Q})$ and fix $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Let*

$$V_{\text{chab}} = \{\omega \in H^0(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1) \mid \int_P^Q \omega = 0 \text{ for all } Q \in X(\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

Then $\dim V_{\text{chab}} \geq g - r$. In particular, if $r < g$ then $\dim V_{\text{chab}} > 0$.

A Newton polygon argument, together with the assumption that $p > 2r + 2$, yields the fundamental bound

$$\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \sum_{\bar{Q} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{F}_p)} (1 + n_{\bar{Q}}),$$

where $n_{\bar{Q}} = \min_{\omega \in V_{\text{chab}}} \text{ord}_{\bar{Q}}(\bar{\omega})$.

Thus if we let

$$D_{\text{chab}} = \sum_{\bar{Q} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{F}_p)} n_{\bar{Q}}(\bar{Q}) \in \text{Div}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}})$$

and set $d = \deg(D_{\text{chab}})$, then the theorem boils down to the inequality $d \leq 2r$.

Note that by considering a fixed nonzero $\omega \in V_{\text{chab}}$, one obtains the bound $d \leq 2g - 2$. This gives the Lorenzini–Tucker and McCallum–Poonen bound $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \#\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{F}_p) + 2g - 2$. Coleman’s original bound was the special case of this inequality when $p > 2g$ and X has good reduction at p .

2. STOLL’S REFINEMENT

If X has **good reduction** at p and $r < g - 1$, Stoll improved the bound $d \leq 2g - 2$ to $d \leq 2r$, which implies that $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \#\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{sm}}(\mathbf{F}_p) + 2r$, by using *Clifford’s inequality*. Indeed, in this case it is easy to see that D_{chab} and $K_{\bar{X}} - D_{\text{chab}}$ are both linearly equivalent to effective divisors, so Clifford’s inequality implies that

$$r(K_{\bar{X}} - D_{\text{chab}}) = h^0(K_{\bar{X}} - D_{\text{chab}}) - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(2g - 2 - d).$$

On the other hand, by the semicontinuity of h^0 , we have

$$h^0(K_{\bar{X}} - D_{\text{chab}}) \geq \dim V_{\text{chab}} \geq g - r.$$

Combining these two inequalities gives $g - r - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(2g - 2 - d)$ and therefore $d \leq 2r$ as desired.

3. THE CASE OF BAD REDUCTION AND CLIFFORD'S INEQUALITY FOR GRAPHS

What to do if X has **bad reduction** at p ? Well, first of all, Katz and Zureick-Brown prove a lemma to the effect that one can reduce to the case where $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ is semistable; the point is that extending scalars only makes D_{chab} bigger. However, it is well-known (see for example C-LS) that Clifford's inequality fails in general for singular curves, even semistable ones, so another idea is needed. It turns out that one can use *Clifford's inequality for graphs* (or, more generally, *metrized complexes of curves*) to carry out Stoll's idea in the bad reduction case. We now turn to a brief explanation of this.

For simplicity, assume for the moment that \mathfrak{X} is a proper regular semistable model for X which is *totally degenerate*, i.e., every irreducible component of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a smooth rational curve. Let G be the dual graph of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$, so the vertices of G correspond to the irreducible components and the edges correspond to crossings between these components. There is a divisor \bar{D}_{chab} on G which records which irreducible component of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ a given point lies on. Clifford's theorem for graphs (which is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem for graphs [BN]) implies that

$$r(K_G - \bar{D}_{\text{chab}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(2g - 2 - d)$$

and a variant of the so-called *specialization inequality* from [B] (the analogue in this context of semicontinuity) implies that

$$r(\bar{D}_{\text{chab}}) \geq \dim V_{\text{chab}} - 1 \geq g - r - 1.$$

Combining these inequalities yields $d \leq 2r$ as before.

The general case, where \mathfrak{X} is a proper regular semistable model for X which is not assumed to be totally degenerate, follows similarly replacing Clifford's inequality for graphs with *Clifford's inequality for metrized complexes of curves*. The latter can be deduced formally from the Riemann-Roch theorem for metrized complexes of curves due to Amini-Baker [AB], which includes as special cases both Riemann-Roch for graphs and Riemann-Roch for algebraic curves, or from the results of [AC].

REFERENCES

- [AB] O. Amini and M. Baker, *Linear series on metrized complexes of algebraic curves*. Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.3508>.
- [AC] O. Amini and L. Caporaso, *Riemann-Roch theory for weighted graphs and tropical curves*. Adv. Math. 240 (2013), 1-23.
- [B] M. Baker, *Specialization of linear systems from curves to graphs*. Algebra & Number Theory 2 (2008), no. 6, 613-653.
- [BN] M. Baker and S. Norine, *Riemann-Roch and Abel-Jacobi theory on a finite graph*. Adv. Math. 215 (2007), no. 2, 766-788.
- [Ca] L. Caporaso, *Linear series on semistable curves*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 13 (2011), 2921-2969.
- [Ch] C. Chabauty, *Sur les points rationnels des courbes algébriques de genre supérieur à l'unité*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 212 (1941), 882-885 (French).
- [Co] R. F. Coleman, *Effective Chabauty*, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), no. 3, 765-770.
- [KZB] E. Katz and D. Zureick-Brown, *The Chabauty-Coleman bound at a prime of bad reduction and Clifford bounds for geometric rank functions*, to appear in Comp. Math. Preprint available at arxiv.org/1204.3335.

- [LT] D. J. Lorenzini and T. J. Tucker, *Thue equations and the method of Chabauty-Coleman*, Invent. Math. 148 (2002), no. 1, 47–77.
- [MP] W. McCallum and B. Poonen, *The method of Chabauty and Coleman*, June 14, 2010. Preprint, <http://www-math.mit.edu/~poonen/papers/chabauty.pdf>, to appear in Panoramas et Synthèses, Société Math. de France.
- [St] M. Stoll, *Independence of rational points on twists of a given curve*, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 5, 1201–1214.

E-mail address: `mbaker@math.gatech.edu`