



Moduli of toric vector bundles

Sam Payne

ABSTRACT

We give a presentation of the moduli stack of toric vector bundles with fixed equivariant total Chern class as a quotient of a fine moduli scheme of framed bundles by a linear group action. This fine moduli scheme is described explicitly as a locally closed subscheme of a product of partial flag varieties cut out by combinatorially specified rank conditions. We use this description to show that the moduli of rank three toric vector bundles satisfy Murphy’s law, in the sense of Vakil. The preliminary sections of the paper give a self-contained introduction to Klyachko’s classification of toric vector bundles.

1. Introduction

In general, moduli of vector bundles are not locally of finite type, even for vector bundles with fixed Chern class on projective space. One may get around this complication by imposing a stability condition and constructing a moduli space of stable or semistable bundles. However, if $T \subset \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}$ is a maximal torus, then there does exist a locally finite type moduli stack of T -equivariant vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n . Similarly, there is a locally finite type moduli stack of toric vector bundles on an arbitrary toric variety. Furthermore, each moduli stack of toric vector bundles with fixed rank and equivariant total Chern class on a toric variety is a global quotient of a quasi-projective scheme by a linear group action, and is canonically defined over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. These properties may make toric vector bundles a convenient testing ground for general questions about vector bundles and their moduli. The main purpose of this paper is to present a construction of these moduli spaces and to study some of their basic geometric properties.

Let $X = X(\Delta)$ be a toric variety over a field k . A toric vector bundle on X is a vector bundle \mathcal{E} together with a T -action on \mathcal{E} compatible with the action on X . Klyachko elegantly classified toric vector bundles on X in terms of finite-dimensional k -vector spaces E with collections of \mathbb{Z} -graded filtrations $\{E^\rho(i)\}$, indexed by the rays $\rho \in \Delta$, that satisfy a compatibility condition [Kly90a]. This classification was a major advance in the theory but did not immediately lead to a satisfactory understanding of families of toric vector bundles; in the same paper, Klyachko gave examples, for $k = \mathbb{C}$, of algebraically varying families of filtration $\{E^\rho(i)_t\}$ that satisfy the compatibility condition for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$, but the corresponding toric vector bundles do not all have the same Chern classes. In particular, a flat family of filtrations satisfying Klyachko’s compatibility condition at every geometric point does not necessarily come from a flat family of toric vector bundles.

However, Klyachko’s classification did give a very clear understanding of individual toric vector bundles and an elegant means of rigidifying them. If one ‘frames’ a toric vector bundle by fixing an isomorphism of one fiber with a trivial vector space, then the toric vector bundle has no nontrivial automorphisms that are compatible with the framing. The remaining problem of understanding which families of filtrations come from flat families of toric vector bundles motivated recent work

Received 2 April 2007, accepted in final form 26 November 2007, published online 22 July 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 14J60 (primary), 14D20, 14F05, 14J10, 14M25 (secondary).

Keywords: toric varieties, vector bundles, moduli spaces.

This journal is © [Foundation Compositio Mathematica](#) 2008.

on the equivariant Chow cohomology of toric varieties [Pay06a], which clarified what it means to fix the Chern classes in Klyachko’s classification, as follows.

Let M be the character lattice of T , which is canonically identified with the group of integral linear functions on the vector space containing Δ . For any cone $\sigma \in \Delta$, let $M_\sigma = M/(\sigma^\perp \cap M)$ be the group of integral linear functions on σ . Klyachko showed that the filtrations $\{E^\rho(i)\}$ coming from a toric vector bundle satisfy the following compatibility condition. Let v_ρ denote the primitive generator of a ray $\rho \in \Delta$.

KLYACHKO’S COMPATIBILITY CONDITION. *For each cone $\sigma \in \Delta$, there is a decomposition $E = \bigoplus_{[u] \in M_\sigma} E_{[u]}$ such that*

$$E^\rho(i) = \sum_{[u](v_\rho) \geq i} E_{[u]},$$

for every $\rho \preceq \sigma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The splitting $E = \bigoplus E_{[u]}$ is not necessarily unique, but the dimension of $E_{[u]}$ is independent of all choices. In particular, the multiset $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$ in which $[u]$ appears with multiplicity equal to $\dim E_{[u]}$ is well defined. These multisets are always compatible with the fan structure in the sense that, if we write $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)|_\tau$ for the multiset of restrictions to τ of functions in $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$, then

$$\mathbf{u}(\tau) = \mathbf{u}(\sigma)|_\tau,$$

whenever $\tau \preceq \sigma$. We write $\Psi_\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{u}(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta}$ for the collection of multisets of linear functions determined by a toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X .

In § 3.1, we show that two toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' have the same equivariant Chern classes if and only if $\Psi_\mathcal{E} = \Psi_{\mathcal{E}'}$. It follows that if $\{E^\rho(i)_t\}$ is the family of collections of filtrations associated to a flat family of toric vector bundles over a connected base, then the multisets of linear functions $\{\mathbf{u}(\sigma)_t\}$ are independent of t . Our main technical result is, roughly, the converse.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\Psi = \{\mathbf{u}(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta}$ be a collection of compatible multisets of linear functions. Then there is a quasiprojective fine moduli scheme $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}$ for framed toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} on X such that $\Psi_\mathcal{E} = \Psi$.*

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}$ is canonically defined over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ and has a natural embedding as a locally closed subscheme of a product of partial flag varieties which takes a vector bundle to the collection of flags of subspaces appearing in the filtrations $\{E^\rho(i)\}$. Its image is cut out by rank conditions on the intersections of the various subspaces appearing in the partial flags, and these rank conditions may be expressed combinatorially in terms of Ψ . See § 3.2 for details. The moduli stack of unframed toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} such that $\Psi_\mathcal{E} = \Psi$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}$ by a natural GL action (§ 3.3). In the final section, we apply this construction to show that the moduli of rank three toric vector bundles satisfies Murphy’s law in the sense of Vakil [Vak06]; in other words, these moduli spaces contain every singularity type of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.2. Vakil has shown that many moduli spaces satisfy Murphy’s law, including Hilbert schemes of curves in projective space, moduli spaces of smooth surfaces, and moduli spaces of stable sheaves, but this seems to be the first example of a moduli space of vector bundles with such singularities.

Remark 1.3. A collection of multisets of linear functions $\{\mathbf{u}(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta}$ such that $\mathbf{u}(\tau) = \mathbf{u}(\sigma)|_\tau$ whenever $\tau \preceq \sigma$ may be conveniently encoded as a piecewise linear function on a ‘branched cover’ of the fan Δ . This point of view seems to be useful for studying the set of all vector bundles of fixed rank on a specific toric variety, and is developed in [Pay06b].

2. Preliminaries

Here we give a self-contained exposition of the basic properties of toric vector bundles and their classification. All of the results in this section appear elsewhere in the literature, some in several places, but since there seems to be no single suitable reference for this material, we have included proofs for the convenience of the reader. Our presentation draws on [Kan75, Kly91, KS98], and [Per04a], as well as Klyachko’s seminal paper [Kly90a]. We have attempted to simplify the original proofs where possible. A brief sketch of the historical development of this subject is included at the end of the section.

2.1 Notation

We work over a field k . For general background and notation from toric geometry, see [Ful93].

Let N be a lattice, with $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ the dual lattice and $T = \text{Spec } k[M]$ the split torus with character lattice M . Let σ be a convex rational polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$M_{\sigma} = M / (\sigma^{\perp} \cap M)$$

be the group of integral linear functions on σ . The map $[u] \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\text{div } \chi^u)$, where $u \in M$ is any lift of $[u] \in M_{\sigma}$, gives an isomorphism from M_{σ} to the group of toric line bundles on the affine toric variety U_{σ} . In particular, the underlying line bundle of any toric line bundle on U_{σ} is trivial. We write $\mathcal{L}_{[u]}$ for the toric line bundle on U_{σ} corresponding to a linear function $[u]$.

Let Δ be a fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We refer to the one-dimensional cones of Δ as ‘rays’, and write v_{ρ} for the primitive generator of a ray $\rho \in \Delta$. Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle on X . Then T acts algebraically on $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$, the k -vector space of global sections, with $t \in T$ acting by

$$(t \cdot s)(x) = t(s(t^{-1}x)),$$

for $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ and $x \in X$. This action induces a decomposition into T -eigenspaces

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{u \in M} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})_u,$$

where $t \cdot s = \chi^u(t)s$ for $t \in T$ and $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})_u$. Conversely, these eigenspace decompositions of modules of sections determine the action of T on \mathcal{E} (see [Kan75]).

Remark 2.1. With this choice of notation, a regular T -eigenfunction χ^u is an element of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_{-u}$.

Two sections in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})_u$ that agree at the identity x_0 in the dense torus T must agree on T , and hence on all of X . Therefore, writing $E = \mathcal{E}_{x_0}$, evaluation at x_0 gives an injection $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})_u \hookrightarrow E$, for each $u \in M$. We write

$$E_u^{\sigma} \subset E$$

for the image of $\Gamma(U_{\sigma}, \mathcal{E})_u$ under evaluation at x_0 .

For $u' \in (\sigma^{\vee} \cap M)$, multiplication by $\chi^{u'}$ gives a natural map from $\Gamma(U_{\sigma}, \mathcal{E})_u$ to $\Gamma(U_{\sigma}, \mathcal{E})_{u-u'}$. This multiplication map commutes with evaluation at x_0 , inducing an inclusion

$$E_u^{\sigma} \subset E_{u-u'}^{\sigma}.$$

For $u' \perp \sigma$, these maps are isomorphisms, so E_u^{σ} depends only on the class $[u] \in M_{\sigma}$. For a ray $\rho \in \Delta$ we write

$$E^{\rho}(i) = E_u^{\rho},$$

for any u such that $\langle u, v_{\rho} \rangle = i$. Then we have a decreasing filtration of E

$$\dots \supset E^{\rho}(i-1) \supset E^{\rho}(i) \supset E^{\rho}(i+1) \supset \dots$$

2.2 Vector bundles on affine toric varieties

Gubeladze famously proved that an arbitrary vector bundle on an affine toric variety is trivial [Gub87], generalizing the Quillen–Suslin theorem that projective modules over polynomial rings are free. The analogous property of toric vector bundles is much easier to prove.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Every toric vector bundle on an affine toric variety splits equivariantly as a sum of toric line bundles whose underlying line bundles are trivial.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle on U_σ , and let x_σ be a point in the minimal T -orbit $O_\sigma \subset U_\sigma$. Choose T -eigensections s_1, \dots, s_r such that $\{s_i(x_\sigma)\}$ is a basis for the fiber \mathcal{E}_{x_σ} . The set of points $x \in U_\sigma$ such that $\{s_i(x)\}$ is not a basis for \mathcal{E}_x is closed, T -invariant, and does not contain O_σ , and hence is empty. Therefore, \mathcal{E} splits equivariantly as the sum of the line subbundles spanned by the s_i . \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *There is a natural bijection between finite multisets $\mathbf{u}(\sigma) \subset M_\sigma$ and isomorphism classes of toric vector bundles on U_σ , given by*

$$\mathbf{u}(\sigma) \mapsto \bigoplus_{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma)} \mathcal{L}_{[u]}.$$

Remark 2.4. If \mathcal{E} is a toric vector bundle on U_σ , then the multiset $\mathbf{u}(\sigma) \subset M_\sigma$ corresponding to the isomorphism class of \mathcal{E} is reflected in the action of the stabilizer of a point in the minimal T -orbit, as follows. The stabilizer of a point x_σ in the minimal orbit O_σ is the subtorus $T_\sigma \subset T$ whose character lattice is M_σ . Then the multiplicity of $[u]$ in $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$ is the dimension of the $\chi^{[u]}$ isotypical component of \mathcal{E}_{x_σ} , considered as a representation of T_σ .

2.3 Klyachko’s classification of toric vector bundles

Let E and F be k -vector spaces with collections of decreasing filtrations $\{E^\rho(i)\}$ and $\{F^\rho(i)\}$, respectively, indexed by the rays ρ in Δ . A morphism of vector spaces with filtrations is a linear map

$$\varphi : E \rightarrow F$$

that takes $E^\rho(i)$ into $F^\rho(i)$ for every $\rho \in \Delta$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

KLYACHKO’S CLASSIFICATION THEOREM. *The category of toric vector bundles on $X(\Delta)$ is naturally equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional k -vector spaces E with collections of decreasing filtrations $\{E^\rho(i)\}$ indexed by the rays of Δ , satisfying the following compatibility condition.*

For each cone $\sigma \in \Delta$, there is a decomposition $E = \bigoplus_{[u] \in M_\sigma} E_{[u]}$ such that

$$E^\rho(i) = \sum_{[u](v_\rho) \geq i} E_{[u]},$$

for every $\rho \preceq \sigma$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The equivalence is given, in one direction, by associating to a toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} its fiber $E = \mathcal{E}_{x_0}$ over the identity in the dense torus, together with the filtrations $E^\rho(i)$ defined in §2.1. A morphism of toric vector bundles $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ maps fibers linearly to fibers, and hence induces a linear map $\varphi_f : E \rightarrow F$. Furthermore, f respects the eigenspace decompositions of the modules of sections and, in particular, takes $\Gamma(U_\rho, \mathcal{E})_u$ into $\Gamma(U_\rho, \mathcal{F})_u$ for each ray ρ in Δ and $u \in M$. Hence, φ_f takes $E^\rho(i)$ into $F^\rho(i)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, as required.

In the other direction, given a vector space with filtrations $(E, \{E^\rho(i)\})$ satisfying the compatibility condition, let

$$E_u^\sigma = \bigcap_{\rho \preceq \sigma} E^\rho(\langle u, v_\rho \rangle),$$

for $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $u \in M$, and define

$$E^\sigma = \bigoplus_{u \in M} E_u^\sigma.$$

Then E^σ has a natural $k[U_\sigma]$ -module structure, where multiplication by $\chi^{u'}$, for $u' \in (\sigma^\vee \cap M)$, is the sum of the inclusions $E_u^\sigma \subset E_{u-u'}^\sigma$. Let T act on E^σ such that E_u^σ is the χ^u -isotypical part. Then it is straightforward to check using the compatibility condition that the induced quasicoherent sheaf \tilde{E}^σ on U_σ is torically isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{[u] \in M_\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{[u]} \otimes_k E_{[u]}.$$

In particular, \tilde{E}^σ is locally free. Furthermore, the direct sum decomposition implies that $E^\rho(i) = E$ for $i \ll 0$, from which it follows that the natural inclusions

$$E_u^\sigma \subset E_{u-u'}^\tau,$$

for $\tau \preceq \sigma$ and $u' \perp \tau$, induce toric isomorphisms

$$\tilde{E}^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{E}^\tau.$$

It is straightforward to check that these isomorphisms are gluing data, that morphisms of vector spaces with compatible filtrations induce morphisms of toric vector bundles, and that the functor so defined is inverse to the functor $\mathcal{E} \mapsto (E, \{E^\rho(i)\})$, up to natural isomorphisms, giving an equivalence of categories. \square

2.4 Historical background

Kaneyama gave the first classification of toric vector bundles in 1975 [Kan75], only a few years after mathematicians began studying toric varieties systematically in [Dem70, KKMSD73], and [MO75]. Although stated only for smooth complete toric varieties, Kaneyama's classification can be extended in a straightforward way to arbitrary toric varieties. The classification involves both combinatorial and linear algebraic data, as well as a nontrivial equivalence relation. The combinatorial part, modulo the equivalence relation, encodes the splitting type of the vector bundle on each maximal T -invariant affine open subvariety. The linear algebraic part consists of an element of GL_r for each pair of maximal cones, satisfying a cocycle condition and a compatibility condition with the combinatorial data, and encodes the transition functions. Bertin and Elencwajg used Kaneyama's results and other equivariant methods to study vector bundles of small rank on projective spaces [BE82], and Kaneyama eventually used this approach to give a complete description of toric vector bundles of rank at most n on \mathbb{P}^n (see [Kan88]). Similar results were obtained independently by Behrmann, in his thesis [Beh86]. Analogous results for equivariant vector bundles on wonderful compactifications have been proved by Kato [Kat05].

Klyachko introduced his classification of toric vector bundles in terms of vector spaces with compatible filtrations in the late 1980s; see [Kly87, Kly89, Kly90a], and [Kly90b]. His original proof of the classification made extensive use of limits of sections over one-parameter subgroups of the dense torus. Klyachko used this classification most notably to study stability conditions on toric vector bundles, especially on \mathbb{P}^2 , from which he gained deep insight into Horn's conjecture on eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices [Kly98]. Toric vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 also appear in Penacchio's work on Hodge structures [Pen02, Pen03].

In a 1991 preprint [Kly91], Klyachko stated an extension of his classification to toric reflexive and torsion-free coherent sheaves, with filtrations of the generic fiber constructed from the T -eigenspace decompositions of the modules of sections over T -invariant affine open subvarieties. These ideas were pushed a little further, and presented with many helpful expository details, as well as some

connections to string theory, by Knutson and Sharpe in [KS98]. Further connections between toric vector bundles, string theory, and mirror symmetry are explored in [KS99] and [LY00].

Recently, Perling has systematically developed the approach to toric sheaves through T -eigenspace decompositions of modules of sections, giving a classification of arbitrary toric quasi-coherent sheaves [Per04a]. Perling's classification data, which he calls Δ -families of vector spaces, explicitly encode the T -eigenspace decompositions of the modules of sections, together with the multiplication maps for regular T -eigenfunctions. He has applied this approach to study moduli of rank two toric vector bundles on toric surfaces, using equivariant resolutions analogous to Euler sequences [Per04b], as well as resolutions of more general toric sheaves [Per05].

Another classification of equivariant quasicoherent sheaves on toric varieties, developed earlier by Cox [Cox95] and Mustața [Mus02], involves fine-graded modules over the homogeneous coordinate ring. Although fine-graded modules and Δ -families both use multigraded commutative algebra, they are very different in flavor. Perling's Δ -families are specifically tailored to toric sheaves and lead to elegant characterizations of toric reflexive and locally free sheaves within this broader framework. On the other hand, graded modules over homogeneous coordinate rings work equally well for studying arbitrary (not necessarily toric) sheaves, but seem to be less natural for studying reflexive sheaves or vector bundles specifically. Both approaches are likely to be useful for future research.

3. Constructing the moduli spaces

3.1 Equivariant Chern classes

Let Δ be a fan, and let $X = X(\Delta)$. Recall that the equivariant Chow cohomology ring $A_T^*(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the ring of integral piecewise polynomial functions

$$PP^*(\Delta) = \{f : |\Delta| \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f|_\sigma \in \text{Sym } M_\sigma \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Delta\}.$$

The isomorphism takes a linear function $u \in M$ to the first Chern class of the toric line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(\text{div } \chi^u)$ (see [Pay06a]).

Let $\Psi = \{\mathbf{u}(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta}$ be a collection of multisets of linear functions of size r such that

$$\mathbf{u}(\tau) = \mathbf{u}(\sigma)|_\tau,$$

for $\tau \preceq \sigma$. Let $c_i(\Psi)$ be the piecewise polynomial function whose restriction to σ is $e_i(\mathbf{u}(\sigma))$, the i th elementary symmetric function in the multiset of linear functions $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$. We define

$$c(\Psi) = 1 + c_1(\Psi) + \cdots + c_r(\Psi).$$

Recall that we write $\Psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ for the collection of compatible multisets of linear functions determined by a toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The equivariant total Chern class of a toric vector bundle E is $c_T(\mathcal{E}) = c(\Psi_{\mathcal{E}})$.*

Proof. Since the restriction of \mathcal{E} to U_σ is

$$\mathcal{E}|_{U_\sigma} \cong \bigoplus_{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma)} \mathcal{L}_{[u]},$$

and since the first Chern class of $\mathcal{L}_{[u]}$ corresponds to the linear function $[u]$, the proposition follows from the naturality of the isomorphism $A_T^*(X) \cong PP^*(\Delta)$. \square

3.2 Flags and rank conditions

In this section, we interpret the compatibility condition on the filtrations in Klyachko's classification theorem in terms of rank conditions on the flags of subspaces appearing in these filtrations, for toric vector bundles with fixed equivariant Chern classes. This discussion motivates and gives the intuition for the more technical arguments in § 3.3.

Suppose that \mathcal{E} is an equivariant vector bundle on $X(\Delta)$ with equivariant total Chern class

$$c_T(\mathcal{E}) = c(\Psi).$$

For each ray ρ in Δ , let $J(\rho) \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ be the set of dimensions of the nonzero vector spaces appearing in the filtration $E^\rho(i)$ of the fiber $E = \mathcal{E}_{x_0}$. Let

$$F\ell(\rho) \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{J(\rho)}(E)$$

be the partial flag in E consisting of exactly these subspaces. It follows from Klyachko's classification theorem that \mathcal{E} is determined up to isomorphism by the data $(\Psi, \{F\ell(\rho)\})$. To see this, let $\Lambda_\rho : J(\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the function given by

$$\Lambda_\rho(j) = \max\{i \mid \dim E^\rho(i) = j\},$$

and let $F\ell(\rho)_j$ be the j -dimensional subspace of E appearing in $F\ell(\rho)$, for $j \in J(\rho)$. The filtrations determining E are then given by

$$E^\rho(i) = \sum_{\Lambda_\rho(j) \geq i} F\ell(\rho)_j.$$

The fixed collection of multisets of linear functions Ψ restricts how the partial flags $\{F\ell(\rho)\}$ can meet each other, as follows. Klyachko's compatibility condition says that there is a splitting

$$E = \bigoplus_{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma)} E_{[u]}$$

such that

$$E^\rho(i) = \bigoplus_{[u](v_\rho) \geq i} E_{[u]},$$

for every ray $\rho \preceq \sigma$. Therefore, if ρ_1, \dots, ρ_s are rays of σ , with $j_\ell \in J(\rho_\ell)$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq s$, then

$$\dim \bigcap_{\ell=1}^s F\ell(\rho_\ell)_{j_\ell} = \#\{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma) \mid [u](v_{\rho_\ell}) \geq \Lambda_{\rho_\ell}(j_\ell) \text{ for } 1 \leq \ell \leq s\}. \tag{*}$$

We refer to $(*)$ as a rank condition because it can be interpreted as specifying the rank of the natural map

$$E \rightarrow \prod_{\ell=1}^s E/F\ell(\rho_\ell)_{j_\ell},$$

since the kernel of this map is exactly $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^s F\ell(\rho_\ell)_{j_\ell}$.

After a choice of isomorphism $E \cong k^r$, each rank condition $(*)$ can be written as the vanishing of certain polynomials in the Plücker coordinates of the partial flags $F\ell(\rho)$ plus the nonvanishing of certain other such polynomials. These rank conditions, for all cones $\sigma \in \Delta$, and all collections of rays $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_s\}$ of σ , and all $\{j_\ell\}_{1 \leq \ell \leq s}$, cut out a locally closed subscheme of the product of partial flag varieties

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_\Psi = \prod_{\rho} \mathcal{F}\ell_{J(\rho)}(k^r).$$

We write \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} for this locally closed subscheme. In §3.3, we show that \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} is a fine moduli space for framed toric vector bundles on X with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$. See Definition 3.3 and Theorem 3.9.

Remark 3.2. The schemes \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} are similar in flavor to the permutation array schemes studied by Billey and Vakil in [BV07], which are also locally closed subschemes of products of flag varieties cut out by combinatorially encoded rank conditions. One minor difference is that permutation array

schemes are cut out by one condition for every possible intersection among the different flags, while the conditions cutting out \mathcal{M}_{Ψ}^{fr} are given by only a subset of the possible intersections.

DEFINITION 3.3. A framed toric vector bundle on X is a toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} together with an isomorphism $\varphi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} k^r$.

A morphism of framed toric vector bundles is a morphism of toric vector bundles that is compatible with the framings.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *The map $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \{Fl(\rho)\}$ gives a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of rank r framed toric vector bundles on X with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$ and the set of k -points of \mathcal{M}_{Ψ}^{fr} .*

Proof. The injectivity of this map follows from Klyachko’s classification theorem. Surjectivity is an immediate consequence of the following lemma. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{u}(\sigma) \subset M_{\sigma}$ be a multiset, and let $(E, \{E^{\rho}(i)\})$ be a vector space with a collection of filtrations indexed by the rays of σ such that, for any collection of integers $\{i_{\rho}\}$,*

$$\dim\left(\bigcap_{\rho} E^{\rho}(i_{\rho})\right) = \#\{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma) \mid [u](v_{\rho}) \geq i_{\rho} \text{ for all } \rho\}.$$

Then there is a splitting $E = \bigoplus_{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma)} E_{[u]}$ such that

$$E^{\rho}(i) = \sum_{[u](v_{\rho}) \geq i} E_{[u]},$$

for all ρ and all i .

Proof. Consider the partial order on $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$ where $[u] \leq [u']$ if and only if $[u] - [u']$ is nonnegative on σ . We choose $E_{[u]}$ inductively by moving up through $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$ with respect to this partial order. If $[u]$ is minimal, then $\dim \bigcap_{\rho} E^{\rho}([u](v_{\rho}))$ is the multiplicity of $[u]$ in $\mathbf{u}(\sigma)$, and we set

$$E_{[u]} = \bigcap_{\rho} E^{\rho}([u](v_{\rho})).$$

If $[u]$ is not minimal, then

$$\dim\left(\bigcap_{\rho} E^{\rho}([u](v_{\rho}))\right) = \#\{[u'] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma) \mid [u'] \leq [u]\}.$$

Assuming, by induction, that $E_{[u']}$ is fixed for each $[u'] \leq [u]$, we choose $E_{[u]}$ to be a subspace of $\bigcap_{\rho} E^{\rho}([u](v_{\rho}))$ complementary to $\sum_{[u'] < [u]} E_{[u']}$. For any such choice of $\{E_{[u]}\}$, E decomposes as a direct sum $E = \bigoplus E_{[u]}$, and it is straightforward to check that

$$E^{\rho}(i) = \sum_{[u](v_{\rho}) \geq i} E_{[u]},$$

for any ray ρ and any integer i . \square

COROLLARY 3.6. *There is a natural bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of rank r toric vector bundles on X with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$ and the set of $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ -orbits of $\mathcal{M}_{\Psi}^{fr}(k)$.*

3.3 Families of toric vector bundles

In this section we study families of framed and unframed toric vector bundles parametrized by a scheme S and the related moduli problems.

As in § 3.2, we fix a fan Δ and a collection $\Psi = \{\mathbf{u}(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta}$ of multisets of linear functions of size r such that $\mathbf{u}(\tau) = \mathbf{u}(\sigma)|_{\tau}$, for $\tau \preceq \sigma$. By Proposition 3.4, isomorphism classes of framed rank r toric vector bundles on $X = X(\Delta)$ with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$ are naturally parametrized by the k -points of the locally closed subscheme

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Psi}^{fr} \subset \mathcal{F}\ell_{\Psi}$$

cut out by the rank conditions (*).

The main result of this section is Theorem 3.9, which states that the isomorphism classes of S -families of framed rank r toric vector bundles on X with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$ are naturally parametrized by the S -points of \mathcal{M}_{Ψ}^{fr} , for any scheme S . The key step is to prove a relative version of Klyachko’s classification theorem, with the splittings in the compatibility condition replaced by rank conditions, using a relative version of the isotypical decomposition of the modules of sections over T -invariant open subvarieties [DG70, § I.4.3.7]. See Proposition 3.13.

From this point on, we work over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, instead of over a field k . This is natural because toric varieties, flag varieties, and the locally closed subschemes \mathcal{M}_{Ψ}^{fr} are all canonically defined over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ and, once we are working in the relative setting, this additional level of generality does not create any new difficulties or complications. Furthermore, symmetric polynomials are defined with integer coefficients [Ful97], as are Chern classes in operational Chow cohomology [Ful98], so we work with integer coefficients in these contexts as well. We write **Sch** for the category of schemes of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.

Let S be a scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, and let T_S be the relative torus $T \times S$.

DEFINITION 3.7. An S -family of toric vector bundles on X is a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on $X \times S$ with an action of the relative torus T_S compatible with the action on $X \times S$.

We say that \mathcal{E} is a family of toric vector bundles with total Chern class $c(\Psi)$ if

$$c_T(\mathcal{E}|_{X \times s}) = c(\Psi),$$

for every geometric point s of S .

A morphism of S -families of toric vector bundles on X is a morphism of vector bundles over $X \times S$ that is compatible with the actions of T_S . We write $\mathbb{M}_{\Psi} : \mathbf{Sch} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ for the moduli functor

$$\mathbb{M}_{\Psi}(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of } S\text{-families of rank } r \text{ toric vector} \\ \text{bundles on } X \text{ with equivariant total Chern class } c(\Psi) \end{array} \right\}.$$

One cannot hope for the moduli functor \mathbb{M}_{Ψ} to be representable by a scheme in general, but in many cases it has a coarse moduli scheme. This coarse moduli scheme, when it exists, may be constructed as a quotient of a fine moduli scheme for framed toric vector bundles.

DEFINITION 3.8. An S -family of framed toric vector bundles on X is a family of toric vector bundles with an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{E}|_{x_0 \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus r}$.

A morphism of S -families of framed toric vector bundles is a morphism of S -families of toric vector bundles compatible with the framings. We write $\mathbb{M}_{\Psi}^{fr} : \mathbf{Sch} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ for the moduli functor

$$\mathbb{M}_{\Psi}^{fr}(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of } S \text{ families of framed rank } r \text{ toric} \\ \text{vector bundles with equivariant total Chern class } c(\Psi) \end{array} \right\}.$$

THEOREM 3.9. *There is a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$\mathbb{M}_{\Psi}^{fr} \cong \text{Hom}(_, \mathcal{M}_{\Psi}^{fr}).$$

Before giving the proof, we use Theorem 3.9 to describe the coarse moduli scheme for \mathbb{M}_{Ψ} , when it exists.

Each of the rank conditions $(*)$ cutting out \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} is invariant under the diagonal action of GL_r on the product of partial flag varieties $\mathcal{F}\ell_\Psi$. Therefore, the diagonal action of GL_r restricts to an action on \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} .

PROPOSITION 3.10. *A scheme \mathcal{M} is a coarse moduli space for \mathbb{M}_Ψ if and only if it is a categorical quotient of \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} for the action of GL_r , and, for every algebraically closed field k , the set of $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ -orbits of $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}(k)$ maps bijectively onto $\mathcal{M}(k)$.*

Proof. This follows from standard arguments used in the construction of moduli of coherent sheaves on projective varieties. See, for instance, [HL97, ch. 4]. \square

The center \mathbb{G}_m of GL_r , consisting of invertible scalar multiples of the identity, acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}\ell_\Psi$, so the quotient PGL_r acts naturally on $\mathcal{F}\ell_\Psi$ and on \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} . There are many cases where PGL_r acts freely on \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} (see §4).

COROLLARY 3.11. *If PGL_r acts freely on \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} , then there is a geometric quotient $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}/\mathrm{GL}_r$, and it is a coarse moduli scheme for \mathbb{M}_Ψ .*

Proof. If PGL_r acts freely on \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} , then the action of GL_r is closed. The existence of the geometric quotient $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}/\mathrm{GL}_r$ then follows from geometric invariant theory for reductive groups [MFK94, ch. 1], and it is a coarse moduli scheme for \mathbb{M}_Ψ by Proposition 3.10. \square

Remark 3.12. The stack \mathfrak{X}_Ψ , whose objects over $S \in \mathbf{Sch}$ are S -families of toric vector bundles, with morphisms given by Cartesian squares, is isomorphic to the quotient stack $[\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}/\mathrm{GL}_r]$. The map from \mathfrak{X}_Ψ to $[\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}/\mathrm{GL}_r]$ takes an S -family of toric vector bundles to the pullback of the universal frame bundle under the induced map $S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}$. The map in the other direction takes a GL_r -torsor Y over S with an equivariant map $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr}$ to the quotient of the induced Y -family of framed toric vector bundles by the natural GL_r -action. For a short and gentle introduction to stacks that includes the basic technical details arising in this example, see [Fan01].

It remains to prove Theorem 3.9. The key is the following relative version of Klyachko’s classification theorem. Note that, in the relative setting, one cannot ask for the splittings that appear in Klyachko’s compatibility condition. Instead, we use rank conditions, as in Lemma 3.5.

PROPOSITION 3.13. *The category of S -families of toric vector bundles on X is naturally equivalent to the category of vector bundles E on S with collections of decreasing filtrations $\{E^\rho(i)\}$ indexed by the rays of Δ , satisfying the following rank condition.*

For each cone $\sigma \in \Delta$, there is a multiset $\mathbf{u}(\sigma) \subset M_\sigma$ such that, for any rays ρ_1, \dots, ρ_s of σ and integers i_1, \dots, i_s , $E^{\rho_1}(i_1) \cap \dots \cap E^{\rho_s}(i_s)$ is a vector bundle of rank equal to

$$\#\{[u] \in \mathbf{u}(\sigma) \mid [u](v_{\rho_j}) \geq i_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq s\}.$$

Proof. The equivalence is given, in one direction, by associating to an S -family of toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} , the vector bundle $E = \mathcal{E}|_{x_0 \times S}$, with filtrations $E^\rho(i)$ defined as follows. By [DG70, I.4.7.3], for each $\sigma \in \Delta$, $\mathcal{E}|_{U_\sigma \times S}$ splits as a direct sum of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$\mathcal{E}|_{U_\sigma \times S} \cong \bigoplus_{u \in M} E_u^\sigma,$$

where E_u^σ is χ^u -isotypical for the action of T_S . As in the proof of Klyachko’s classification theorem, restriction to $x_0 \times S$ gives injections $E_u^\sigma \subset E$ whose image depends only on the class $[u]$ in M_σ . Define $E^\rho(i) \subset E$ to be the image of E_u^ρ for any u such that $\langle u, v_\rho \rangle = i$. Each $E^\rho(i)$ is \mathcal{O}_S -coherent and \mathcal{O}_S -flat, and hence is a vector bundle. The rank condition follows from Klyachko’s classification

theorem and Lemma 3.5 applied to $\mathcal{E}|_{X \times s}$, for any geometric point $s \in S$. A morphism of S -families of toric vector bundles respects the T_S actions, so restricting to $x_0 \times S$ gives a morphism of vector bundles with filtrations.

In the other direction, given a vector bundle with filtrations $(E, \{E^\rho(i)\})$ satisfying the rank condition, let E_u^σ be the vector bundle on S given by

$$E_u^\sigma = \bigcap_{\rho \preceq \sigma} E^\rho(\langle u, v_\rho \rangle),$$

for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $u \in M$. Define $E^\sigma = \bigoplus_{u \in M} E_u^\sigma$. Just as in the proof of Klyachko’s classification theorem, there is an induced T_S -equivariant sheaf \tilde{E}^σ on $U_\sigma \times S$, and the sheaves $\{\tilde{E}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Delta}$ glue together to give an S -family of toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} . It is straightforward to check that morphisms of vector bundles with filtrations on S induce morphisms of S -families of toric vector bundles, and that the functor so defined is inverse to the functor $\mathcal{E} \mapsto (E, \{E^\rho(i)\})$, up to natural isomorphisms, giving an equivalence of categories. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Let \mathcal{E} be an S -family of rank r toric vector bundles on X with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$, and let $\varphi : \mathcal{E}|_{x_0 \times S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus r}$ be a framing of \mathcal{E} . For each ray ρ in Σ , let $J(\rho) \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ be the set of ranks of the bundles $E^\rho(i)$ appearing in the filtration associated to \mathcal{E} , and let

$$Fl(\rho) \in \mathcal{F}l_{J(\rho)}(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus r})$$

be the flag consisting of exactly these subbundles. Then it follows from Proposition 3.13 that $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \{Fl(\rho)\}$ gives a bijection between $\mathbb{M}_\Psi^{fr}(S)$ and the set of collections of partial flags in $\prod_\rho \mathcal{F}l_{J(\rho)}(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus r})$ satisfying the rank conditions, which is canonically identified with $\text{Hom}(S, \mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr})$. \square

4. Murphy’s law for toric vector bundles

In this section, we study the singularities of the moduli of toric vector bundles. For rank two bundles, the approach of § 3.3 gives a natural realization of each fine moduli scheme of framed bundles \mathcal{M}_{fr}^Ψ as a locally closed subscheme of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^s$, a product of copies of the projective line. If (x_1, \dots, x_s) denotes a point in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^s$, then each rank condition $(*)$ says that either $x_i = x_j$ or $x_i \neq x_j$ for some pair of coordinates $1 \leq i, j \leq s$. It follows that \mathcal{M}_{fr}^Ψ is isomorphic to a product of copies of \mathbb{P}^1 , minus some collection of diagonals, so in particular it is smooth. If \mathcal{M}_{fr}^Ψ is contained in the complement of the diagonals $D_{12} \cup D_{23}$ for some numbering of the factors, then PGL_2 acts simply transitively on the first three factors, so there is a geometric quotient $\mathcal{M}_{fr}^\Psi // \text{GL}_2$ that is the coarse moduli scheme for toric vector bundles on X with equivariant total Chern class $c(\Psi)$, and it is also smooth.

The main result of this section, roughly stated, is that the moduli of rank three toric vector bundles have arbitrarily bad singularities. Following [Vak06], we define a singularity type to be an equivalence class of pointed schemes, where the equivalence relation is generated by setting a pointed scheme (X, p) to be equivalent to another pointed scheme (Y, q) if there is a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(p) = q$, and we say that a scheme \mathcal{M} satisfies Murphy’s law if every singularity type of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to (\mathcal{M}, p) for some point p in \mathcal{M} .

By the fine moduli scheme of framed rank r toric vector bundles on a given class of toric varieties, we mean the disjoint union over all toric varieties $X(\Delta)$ in this class and all possible equivariant total Chern classes of the fine moduli scheme of rank r framed toric vector bundles on $X(\Delta)$ with that Chern class. The coarse moduli scheme of rank r toric vector bundles on a class of toric varieties is defined analogously, but with the disjoint union taken over those Chern classes such that the coarse moduli scheme exists.

THEOREM 4.1. *The following spaces satisfy Murphy’s law.*

- (1) *The fine moduli scheme of framed rank three toric vector bundles on smooth quasi-affine toric varieties.*
- (2) *The fine moduli scheme of framed rank three toric vector bundles on \mathbb{Q} -factorial quasiprojective toric fourfolds.*
- (3) *The coarse moduli scheme of rank three toric vector bundles on smooth quasi-affine toric varieties.*
- (4) *The coarse moduli scheme of rank three toric vector bundles on \mathbb{Q} -factorial quasiprojective toric fourfolds.*

Theorem 4.1 is an immediate consequence of the following stronger result, which implies, roughly speaking, that the moduli of toric vector bundles with fixed equivariant Chern class can be chosen to have arbitrarily many components, with arbitrary singularities along the generic point of each component. The proof of this result is a straightforward application of Mnëv’s universality theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let Y be an affine scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a fan Δ and a collection of compatible multisets of linear functions Ψ such that PGL_3 acts freely on $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}$ and the geometric quotient $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}//\text{GL}_3$ is isomorphic to an open subvariety of $Y \times \mathbb{A}^s$ that projects surjectively onto Y , for some positive integer s . Furthermore, Δ may be chosen such that $X(\Delta)$ is smooth and quasi-affine, or such that $X(\Delta)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial quasiprojective fourfold.*

The rough idea of the proof of Theorem 4.2 is as follows. For any collection Ψ of compatible multisets of linear functions, $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}$ is a scheme parametrizing collections of points and lines in \mathbb{P}^2 with some specified incidence conditions. By Mnëv’s universality theorem, it suffices to prove that Δ and Ψ may be chosen such that these incidence relations are arbitrary, which we will show combinatorially.

Given positive integers d and d' and a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, d'\}$, let $C_I^{d,d'}$ be the locally closed subscheme

$$C_I^{d,d'} \subset \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}^2 \times \prod_{j=1}^{d'} \check{\mathbb{P}}^2$$

that parametrizes distinct points (x_1, \dots, x_d) and distinct lines $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{d'})$ in \mathbb{P}^2 such that x_i lies on ℓ_j if and only if (i, j) is in I .

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Mnëv’s universality theorem, as presented in [Laf03, §1.8], there exist positive integers d and d' and a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\} \times \{1, \dots, d'\}$ such that PGL_3 acts freely on $C_I^{d,d'}$ and such that the geometric quotient $C_I^{d,d'}//\text{GL}_3$ is isomorphic to an open subscheme of $Y \times \mathbb{A}^s$ that projects surjectively on Y . It remains to show that Δ and Ψ may be chosen such that $\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\text{fr}}$ is PGL_3 -equivariantly isomorphic to $C_I^{d,d'}$.

We first prove the theorem with $X(\Delta)$ smooth and quasi-affine. Let $N = \mathbb{Z}^{d+d'}$, and let Δ be the fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose maximal cones are

$$\sigma_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle,$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d+d'$, for $i \neq j$. Then $X(\Delta)$ is the complement in $\mathbb{A}^{d+d'}$ of the union of its codimension three coordinate subspaces; in particular $X(\Delta)$ is smooth and quasi-affine. To specify Ψ , we give multisets $\mathbf{u}(\sigma_{ij}) \subset M_{\sigma_{ij}}$ for the maximal cones in Δ such that, for any $\tau \in \Delta$ the multiset of linear

functions $\mathbf{u}(\sigma_{ij})|_\tau$ is independent of the choice of σ_{ij} containing τ . Define $\mathbf{u}(\sigma_{ij})$ as follows.

$$\mathbf{u}(\sigma_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \{0, e_i^*, e_j^*\} & \text{for } i \leq d \text{ and } j \leq d, \\ \{0, e_j^*, e_i^* + e_j^*\} & \text{for } i \leq d, j > d, \text{ and } (i, j - d) \in I, \\ \{e_i^*, e_j^*, e_j^*\} & \text{for } i \leq d, j > d, \text{ and } (i, j - d) \notin I, \\ \{e_i^*, e_j^*, e_i^* + e_j^*\} & \text{for } i > d \text{ and } j > d. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{F}l_{J(\rho_i)} = \mathbb{P}^2$ for $i \leq d$ and $\mathcal{F}l_{J(\rho_j)} = \check{\mathbb{P}}^2$ for $j > d$, and it is straightforward to check that the rank conditions cutting out the locally closed subscheme

$$\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{fr} \subset \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}^2 \times \prod_{j=d+1}^{d+d'} \check{\mathbb{P}}^2$$

are exactly the conditions that x_i lies on ℓ_j if and only if $(i, j - d)$ is in I . This proves the result with $X(\Delta)$ smooth and quasi-affine.

For the quasiprojective case, consider $N' = \mathbb{Z}^4$. Recall that the standard k -dimensional cyclic polytope with ℓ vertices is the convex hull of the points

$$(a, a^2, \dots, a^k),$$

for $1 \leq a \leq \ell$. This polytope is simplicial, and any $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ vertices span a face [Zie95]. Let $P \subset N'_\mathbb{R}$ be a translate of the standard cyclic four-dimensional polytope with $d + d'$ rational vertices that contains 0 in its interior. Let $v_1, \dots, v_{d+d'}$ be the primitive generators of the rays through the vertices of P , and let Δ' be the fan in $N'_\mathbb{R}$ whose maximal cones are $\sigma'_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$. Then $X(\Delta')$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, quasiprojective, and four-dimensional. Furthermore, Δ' is combinatorially equivalent to the fan Δ considered in the quasi-affine case above, so we use the quasi-affine case to deduce the quasiprojective case, as follows.

The projection $\mathbb{Z}^{d+d'} \rightarrow N'$ given by $e_i \mapsto v_i$ maps the fan Δ onto Δ' , and induces a bijection on the underlying sets $|\Delta| \rightarrow |\Delta'|$. Each element of $\mathbf{u}(\sigma_{ij})$ is the image of some element of $(M_{\sigma'_{ij}})_\mathbb{Q}$, under the natural map

$$(M_{\sigma'_{ij}})_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (M_{\sigma_{ij}})_\mathbb{Q}.$$

Therefore, for a suitable integer m , the collection $m\Psi$ of multisets of integral linear functions in $\mathbf{u}(\sigma_{ij})$ multiplied by m , is the pullback of a collection Ψ' of compatible multisets of integral linear functions on Δ' . Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{m\Psi'}^{fr}$ of toric vector bundles on $X(\Delta')$ is naturally realized in exactly the same product of partial flag varieties as \mathcal{M}_Ψ^{fr} and is cut out by exactly the same rank conditions (§ 3.3), so $\mathcal{M}_{m\Psi'}^{fr}$ is also naturally isomorphic to $C_I^{d,d'}$, as required. \square

Remark 4.3. It follows easily from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that similar universality statements and Murphy’s law statements hold for moduli of rank r toric vector bundles for any $r \geq 3$.

Remark 4.4. It is not known whether the moduli of toric vector bundles on projective toric varieties satisfy Murphy’s law.

Remark 4.5. Although this paper deals mainly with separated toric varieties, the main results also hold for toric vector bundles on toric prevarieties when rephrased in terms of multifans. In particular, Klyachko’s classification theorem works equally well for toric prevarieties. The equivariant Chow cohomology ring of a toric prevariety is canonically isomorphic to the ring of piecewise-polynomial functions on the corresponding multifan [Pay06a, § 4], and the moduli of framed toric vector bundles with fixed equivariant Chern class may be constructed just as for separated toric varieties, with essentially identical proofs. The fans used in the proof of Theorem 4.2 are two-dimensional, and a general projection to \mathbb{Z}^2 gives a multifan corresponding to a two-dimensional toric prevariety.

In particular, $X(\Delta)$ may be chosen to be a possibly nonseparated toric surface in Theorem 4.2, and Murphy’s law holds for the moduli of rank three toric vector bundles on two-dimensional toric prevarieties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to B. Conrad, W. Fulton, J. Harris, M. Hering, A. Knutson, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustața, M. Perling, N. Proudfoot, K. Smith, R. Vakil, and the referee for helpful discussions and suggestions. This work was supported by a Graduate Research Fellowship from the NSF and by the Clay Mathematics Institute. Part of this work was done during a visit to the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden).

REFERENCES

- BE82 J. Bertin and G. Elencwajg, *Symétries des fibrés vectoriels sur \mathbf{P}^n et nombre d’Euler*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), 807–831.
- Beh86 J. Behrmann, *Zur klassifikation äquivarianter Vektorraumbündel über Toruseinbettungen*, PhD thesis, Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg (1986).
- BV07 S. Billey and R. Vakil, *Intersections of Schubert varieties and other permutation array schemes*, in *Algorithms in Algebraic Geometry*, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 146 (2007), 21–54.
- Cox95 D. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), 17–50.
- Dem70 M. Demazure, *Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **3** (1970), 507–588.
- DG70 M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes. I: Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes*, in *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie. III. (SGA 3)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 151 (Springer, Berlin, 1970).
- Fan01 B. Fantechi, *Stacks for everybody*, in *European Congress of Mathematics, vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 201 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001), 349–359.
- Ful93 W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993).
- Ful97 W. Fulton, *Young tableaux, with applications to representation theory and geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- Ful98 W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 2 (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- Gub87 I. Gubeladze, *The Anderson conjecture and projective modules over monoid algebras*, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR **125** (1987), 289–291.
- HL97 D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, vol. E31 (Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1997).
- Kan75 T. Kaneyama, *On equivariant vector bundles on an almost homogeneous variety*, Nagoya Math. J. **57** (1975), 65–86.
- Kan88 T. Kaneyama, *Torus-equivariant vector bundles on projective spaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **111** (1988), 25–40.
- Kat05 S. Kato, *Equivariant vector bundles on group completions*, J. reine angew. Math. **581** (2005), 71–116.
- KKMSD73 G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings. I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 339 (Springer, Berlin, 1973).

- Kly87 A. Klyachko, *Equivariant bundles on toral varieties*, in *Proceedings of the 19th All-Union Algebra Conference, Abstracts of Reports*, Vol. II (Inst. Prikl. Mekh. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Lvov, 1987), 126.
- Kly89 A. Klyachko, *Toric bundles and problems of linear algebra*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **23** (1989), 135–137.
- Kly90a A. Klyachko, *Equivariant vector bundles on toral varieties*, *Math. USSR Izv.* **35** (1990), 337–375.
- Kly90b A. Klyachko, *Equivariant vector bundles on toric varieties and some problems of linear algebra*, in *Topics in algebra, Part 2 (Warsaw, 1988)*, Banach Center Publications, vol. 26 (PWN, Warsaw, 1990), 345–355.
- Kly91 A. Klyachko, *Vector bundles and torsion free sheaves on the projective plane*, Preprint (1991), Max Planck Institut für Mathematik.
- Kly98 A. Klyachko, *Stable bundles, representation theory and Hermitian operators*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **4** (1998), 419–445.
- KS98 A. Knutson and E. Sharpe, *Sheaves on toric varieties for physics*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 873–961.
- KS99 A. Knutson and E. Sharpe, *Equivariant sheaves*, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **10** (1999), 399–412.
- Laf03 L. Lafforgue, *Chirurgie des grassmanniennes*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 19 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003).
- LY00 C.-H. Liu and S.-T. Yau, *On the splitting type of an equivariant vector bundle over a toric manifold*, Preprint (2000), math.AG/0002031.
- MFK94 D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third edition, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2)*, vol. 34 (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- MO75 K. Miyake and T. Oda, *Almost homogeneous algebraic varieties under algebraic torus action*, in *Manifolds Tokyo 1973 (Tokyo)* (University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975), 373–381.
- Mus02 M. Mustață, *Vanishing theorems on toric varieties*, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **54** (2002), 451–470.
- Pay06a S. Payne, *Equivariant Chow cohomology of toric varieties*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **13** (2006), 29–41.
- Pay06b S. Payne, *Toric vector bundles, branched covers of fans, and the resolution property*, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, to appear.
- Pen02 O. Penacchio, *Structures de Hodge mixtes et faisceaux réflexifs semistables*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **335** (2002), 475–480.
- Pen03 O. Penacchio, *Structures de Hodge mixtes et fibrés sur le plan projectif complexe*, Preprint (2003), math.AG/0307156.
- Per04a M. Perling, *Graded rings and equivariant sheaves on toric varieties*, *Math. Nachr.* **263/264** (2004), 181–197.
- Per04b M. Perling, *Moduli for equivariant vector bundles of rank two on smooth toric surfaces*, *Math. Nachr.* **265** (2004), 87–99.
- Per05 M. Perling, *Resolutions for equivariant sheaves over toric varieties*, Preprint (2005), math.AG/0503501.
- Vak06 R. Vakil, *Murphy’s law in algebraic geometry: badly-behaved deformation spaces*, *Invent. Math.* **164** (2006), 569–590.
- Zie95 G. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152 (Springer, New York, 1995).

Sam Payne spayne@stanford.edu

Stanford University, Mathematics, Building 380, Stanford, CA 94305, USA