### THE ARF AND ROHKLIN'S INVARIANT

#### AUDRICK PYRONNEAU

Abstract. These are notes to be presented at a summer mini-course at UT-Austin. These notes are not original, all mistakes are to be attributed to the author, and all credit goes to the texts: An introduction to Knot Theory by W.B. Raymond Lickorish, Lectures on the Topology of 3-Manifolds by Nikolai Saveliev, Notes by Anthony Conway, and Alexandra Kjuchukova.

### CONTENTS



These course notes' main objective is to introduce a classical invariant of quadratic forms on Z/2-vector space, and its many applications. We will assume familiarity with 4-manifolds, and knot theory, but these notes should be largely self-contained. We will start with a short review of Seifert Forms, Seifert Matrices, and S-equivalence. Then we will introduce quadratic forms over  $Z/2$  and provide a complete invariant of these quadratic forms (The Arf-invariant). Next, we will see how we can use quadratic refinements of Seifert Matrices to give us an Arf-Invariant for knots. Furthermore, we will connect the Arf-Invariant to 4-dimensional topology first by proving the Arf-invariant obstructs knots being slice , then using the Arf-Invariant to prove a famous restriction of the signature of Spin 4-manifolds (Rokhlin's Theorem). Lastly, we will provide an invariant for Integer Homology 3-spheres, and highlight recent research using the Arf-invariant.

# 1. Review of Seifert Matrices

### <span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>1.1. Presentation Matrices.

Date: January 2024.

**Definition 1.1.** Let M is a module over a commutative ring R. M is 2 free if any element in M can be uniquely expressed as a linear sum of elements in a base. A finite presentation for M is an exact sequence

$$
F\xrightarrow{\alpha}E\xrightarrow{\phi}M\to 0
$$

where E and F are free R-modules with finite bases. If  $\alpha$  is represented by matrix A with respect to bases  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of E and F, then the matrix A, of m rows and n columns, is a presentation matrix for M. Since  $\phi$  is a surjection, the images of  $(e_m)$  can be thought of as generators for  $M$ , and the images of  $f_n$  as relations amongst those generators.

**Theorem 1.2.** Any two presentation matrices  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  for M differ by a sequence of matrix moves of the following forms and their inverses:

- i Perutation of rows or columns;
- ii Replacement of the matrix  $A_0$  by  $A_1$  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ;
- iii Addition of an extra column of zeros to the matrix  $A_0$ ;
- iv Addition of a scalar multiple of a row (or column) to another row (or column).

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>1.2. Construction Modulo Proofs.

**Proposition 1.3.** Suppose that  $F$  is a connected, compact, orientable surface with non-empty boundary, locally flat in  $S^3$ . Then the homology groups  $H_1(S^3 - F; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$  are isomorphic, and there is a unique non-singular bilinear form

$$
\beta: H_1(S^3 - F; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}
$$

with the property that  $\beta([c], [d]) = lk(c, d)$  for any oriented simple closed curves c and d in  $S^3 - F$  and F respectively.

*Proof outline.* The isomorphism between  $H_1(S^3 - F; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$  given by Alexander Duality and Poincare Duality. For a given surface in  $S^3$ , F one can show  $H_1(F;\mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{2g+n-1} \mathbb{Z}$  and we can realize the generators of this group as a set of simple closed curves on F,  $\{[f_i]\}\$ . Now consider a regular neighborhood of F called V.  $F \hookrightarrow V$  is a homotopy equivalence and  $\partial V = F \sqcup -F$ . The inclusion of  $\partial V \hookrightarrow V$  will induce a map on homology mapping one set of generators  $F$  to  $F$  and  $-F$  to zero. Furthermore, the orientations of  $[e_i]$  half the generators of  $H_1(\partial V;\mathbb{Z})$  can be chosen so that  $lk(e_i,f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Lastly we note that by a Mayer-Vietoris argument  $H_1(S^3 - F) \cong H_1(S^3 - V)$  hence generated by the curves  $\{[e_i]\}$ 

Now define

$$
\beta: H_1(S^3 - F; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}
$$

by  $\beta([e_i], [f_j]) = \delta_{ij}$ . Now suppose  $[c] \in S^3 - F$ ,  $[d] \in F$  are any oriented simple closed curves. You can represent both as linear combinations of generating elements and then note  $lk(c, f_j) = [c] = \lambda_i e_i = \lambda_i$ . Consider this similarly for [d], and we arrive at  $\beta([c], [d]) =$  $lk(c, d)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Associated to the Seifert surface  $F$  for an oriented link  $L$  is the Seifert form

$$
\alpha: H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}
$$

defined by  $\alpha(x, y) = \beta((i^-)_*x, y)$ .  $i^{\pm}$  is defined as the image of  $F \subset S^3 - (F \times [-1, 1])$  under the inclusion map. The positive and negative signs are to specify if  $F$  is included to the top or bottom of  $F \times [-1, 1]$ , where  $S^3 - (F \times [-1, 1]) \simeq S^3 - F$ .

Taking a basis  $\{[f_i]\}$  for  $H_1(F;\mathbb{Z})$  with a dual  $\beta$  basis  $\{[e_i]\}$  for  $H_1(S^3 - F;\mathbb{Z})$  as before,  $\alpha$  is represented by the Seifert matrix A, where

$$
A_{ij} = \alpha([f_i], [f_j]) = lk(f_i^-, f_j) = lk(f_i, f_j^+)
$$

An immediate consequence is that  $H_1(S^3 - F; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $[f_i]$  $[i] = \sum_{i} A_{ij}[e_i],$  and  $[f]_j^+$  $j^+$ ] =  $\sum_j A_{ij} [e_j].$ 

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Seifert surfaces for an oriented link L in  $S^3$ . Then there exists a sequence of Seifert surfaces  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \cdots, \Sigma_N$ , with  $\Sigma_1 = F_1$ ,  $\Sigma_N = F_2$ , such that for each i, either  $\Sigma_i$  is obtained from  $\Sigma_{i-1}$  or  $\Sigma_{i-1}$  is obtained from  $\Sigma_i$  by surgery along an arc embedded in  $S^3$ , or related by isotopy.

*Proof.* Lickorish Chapter 8  $\Box$ 

**Definition 1.6.** Let A be a square matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . An elementary enlargment of A is a matrix B of the form

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix} A & \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ \eta^{\tau} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

for some column  $\xi$  or row  $\eta^{\tau}$ . The matrix A is called the elementary reduction of B.

**Definition 1.7.** Let A and B be square matrices. A is unimodular congruent to B if there exists a square matrix P such that  $B = P^{\tau}AP$ , where  $det P = \pm 1$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Square matricies A and B over  $\mathbb{Z}$  are called S–equivalent if they are related by a sequence of elementary enlargements, elementary reductions and unimodular congruences.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let A and B be Seifert matrices for an oriented link L. Then A and B are S−equivalent.

#### 2. Arf Invariant

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>2.1. The Arf-invarint of a quadratic form. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $q: V \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is called a quadratic form if there exists a associated bilinear form  $I(x, y) = q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Remark 2.2. Note that I is symmetric in that  $I(x, y) = I(y, x)$ , and alternating  $I(x, x) =$  $q(2x) - 2q(x) = 0$ , and  $q(0) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A quadratic form q is called non-degenerate if its bilinear form I is nondegenerate.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\langle -, - \rangle : V \otimes V \to k$  be a bilinear form  $(k$  in general is a ring, but is typically taken to be a field). A quadratic function  $q: V \to k$  is called a **quadratic refinement** of  $\langle -, - \rangle$  if

$$
\langle -, - \rangle = q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w) + q(0)
$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ . If such a q is indeed a quadratic form in that  $q(tv) = t^2q(v)$  then  $q(0) = 0$ and  $\langle v, v \rangle = 2q(v)$ . This means that a quadratic refinement by a quadratic form always exists when  $2 \in k$  is invertible.

We will later see that one way to express quadratic refinements is by characteristic elements of a bilinear form. Now let us move over to an example:

**Example 2.5.** Let  $U = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  have a basis a, b. There is only one non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form I on U given by  $I(a, a) = I(b, b) = 0$  and  $I(a, b) = 1$ . Define the quadratic forms  $q_0, q_1 : U \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  by the formulas  $q_0(a) = q_0(b) = 0$ ,  $q_0(a + b) = 1$ ,  $q_1(a) = q_1(b) = 0$  $q_1(a+b) = 1$ . The associated bilinear forms of both  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  equal the form I. However, the quadratic forms  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  are not equivalent since the form  $q_0$  sends a majority of vectors of U to 0 while  $q_1$  sends a majority of vectors of U to 1.

*Claim* 2.6. It turns out that any other any other non-degenerate quadratic form q on U is equivalent to either  $q_1$  or  $q_0$ .

*Proof of claim.* Consider the form q with  $q(a) = 0$  and  $q(b) = 1$ . We preform a change of basis to  $a' = a$ ,  $b' = a + b$  to get  $q(a') = 0$  and  $q(b') = q(a + b) = I(a, b) + q(a) + q(b) = 0$ . Thus q is equivalent to  $q_0$ .  $\Box$ 

Definition 2.7. A bilinear form is symplectic if it is bilinear, alternating, and non-degenerate. A given basis  $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$  for a bilinear form  $\omega$  is called a symplectic basis if  $\omega(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = 0$  $\omega(\mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_j), \ \omega(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_j) = \delta_{ij}.$ 

**Lemma 2.8.** For any non-degenerate quadratic form  $q: V \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a symplectic basis. In particular, dim V is even.

*Proof.* Choose a basis in V, then the form  $I(-, -)$  is given by a matrix I with  $detI = 1$ , and  $I(x, y) = x \cdot Iy$ . If  $x \neq 0$  there exists u such that  $x \cdot u = 1$ , and hence  $I(x, y) = 1$  for  $y = I^{-1}u$ . The vectors x and y are linearly independent because  $I(x, y) = 1$ ; in particular,  $dim V > 2$ .

Choose a new basis in V with the first two vectors x and y. The matrix I in this new basis takes the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix} H & * \\ * & I_0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 where  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

By elementary transformation it can be turned into  $\begin{bmatrix} H & * \\ & I \end{bmatrix}$  $* \quad I_1$ 1 , and induction completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $q: V \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  be a non-degenerate quadratic form, and  $a_i, b_i, i =$  $1, \dots, n$ , a symplectic basis in V. Define the **Arf-invariant** of q by the formula

$$
Arf(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(a_i)q(b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}
$$

Now we need show that  $\text{Arf}(q)$  is independent of the choice of a symplectic basis. This will follow from the upcoming study of the non-degenerate quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 2.10.** The forms  $q_0, q_1 : U \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  from the example above have Arf-invariants  $Arf(q_0) = 0$  and  $Arf(q_1) = 1$ . Thus, the Arf-invariant provides a complete classification of non-degenerate quadratic forms on U. We will prove that the Arf-invariant completely classifies quadratic forms in general.

**Lemma 2.11.** On  $U \oplus U$ , the forms  $q_0 + q_0$  and  $q_1 + q_1$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* Note that  $q_0 + q_0$  and  $q_1 + q_1$  have the same associated bilinear form on  $U \oplus U$ . Let  $a_j, b_j, j = 1, 2$ , be a basis for  $U \oplus U$  so that  $a_j, b_j$  form a symplectic basis on the  $j<sup>th</sup>$  copy of U. If  $\psi_i = q_i + q_i$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , then  $\psi_0(a_j) = \psi_0(b_j) = 0$  and  $\psi_1(a_j) = \psi_1(b_j) = 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Choose a new basis for  $U \oplus U$ ,

$$
a'_1 = a_1 + a_2, \ b'_1 = b_1 + a_2, \ a'_2 = a_2 + b_2 + a_1 + b_1, \ b'_2 = b_2 + a_1 + b_1.
$$

This defines a symplectic basis and  $\psi_1(a'_j) = \psi_0(a_j)$  and  $\psi_1(b'_j) = \psi_0(b_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  so that  $\psi_1$ is equivalent to  $\psi_0$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $q: V \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  be a non degenerate quadratic form where  $dim V = 2m$ . Then q is equivalent to  $q_1 + (m-1)q_0$  if, with respect to some basis,  $Arf(q) = 1$ . Then form q is equivalent to mq<sub>0</sub> if  $Arf(q) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $a_i, b_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , is a symplectic basis for V and if  $V_i$  is the subspace spanned by  $a_i, b_i$ , let  $\psi_i$  denote the restriction of q onto  $V_i$ , it is obvious that  $q = \sum \psi_i$ , where each  $\psi_i$  is equivalent to either  $q_0$  or  $q_1$ . By the previous lemma,  $2q_0 = 2q_1$ , so q is equivalent to either  $mq_0$  or  $q_1 + (m-1)q_0$ , but Arf $(mq_0) = 0$ , and Arf $(q_1 + (m-1)q_0)$ , which implies the result.  $□$ 

To complete the study of non-degenerate quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , it remains to show that  $\varphi_1 = q_1 + (m-1)q_0$  and  $\varphi_0 = mq_0$  are not equivalent. To show this we prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.13.** The quadratic form  $\varphi_1$  sends a majority of elements of V to  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , while  $\varphi_0$  sends a majority of elements to  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Proof by induction: (Case  $m=1$ ), is trivial. Given a non-degenerate quadratic form  $\varphi$  on V, let  $p(\varphi) = \#$  of v such that  $\varphi(v) = 1$ , similarly let  $n(\varphi) = \#$  of v such that  $\varphi(v) = 0$ . Since,  $\dim(V) = 2n$  and can be given a symplectic basis we can conclude  $n(\varphi) + p(\varphi) = 2^{2m}$ . The functions p and n satisfy the the identities  $p(\varphi + q_0) = 3p(\varphi) + q_0(v)$  and  $n(\varphi + q_0) =$  $3n(\varphi) + q_0(v)$  Set  $r(\varphi) = p(\varphi) - n(\varphi)$ , then  $r(\varphi + q_0) = 2r(\varphi)$ , so that if  $r(\varphi) > 0$  then  $r(\varphi + q_0) > 0$  and if  $r(\varphi) < 0$  then  $r(\varphi + q_0) < 0$ . It follows, since  $r(q_1) = 2$  and  $r(q_0) = -2$ , that  $r(q_1 + (m-1)q_0) > 0$ , and  $r(mq_0) < 0$ , which proves the lemma.

**Corollary 2.14.** If q is a non-degenerate quadratic form, then  $Arf(q) = 1$  if and only if q sends a majority of elements of V to  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the Arf-invariant is well-defined.

Since r in the above proof is an invariant, it follows thath  $q_1 + (m-1)q_0$  is not equivalent to  $mq_0$ . Thus we have reproved a Theorem of Arf:

**Theorem 2.15** (C.Arf 1941). Two non-degenerate quadratic forms on a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  –vector space V of finite dimension are equivalent if and only if they have the same Arf-invariant.

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>2.2. The Arf-invariant of a knot.

Knot theory provides a important example of where a 'natural' quadratic form arises. Let  $k \subset S^3$  be a knot in the 3-sphere. Let F be a Seifert surface of genus-g and S its Seifert matrix in a fixed basis of the group  $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$ . The unimodular skew-symmetric form  $I = S^T - S$  is the intersection form of the surface F. The for  $Q = S + S<sup>T</sup>$  is symmetric; it is even and has odd determinant because  $Q = I \mod 2$ . Define a quadratic form  $q : H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by the formula:

$$
q(x) = \frac{1}{2}Q(x, x) \mod 2.
$$

Note that  $q(x) = S(x, x) \mod 2$ . Its associated bilinear for is  $I = Q \mod 2$  since

$$
q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y) = S(x + y, x + y) - S(x, x) - S(y, y)
$$
  
= S(x, y) + S(y, x)  
= (S + S<sup>T</sup>)(x, y) = Q(x, y)

**Lemma 2.16.** The Arf-invariant Arf(q) of the quadratic form  $\frac{1}{2}$ 2  $Q(x, x) \mod 2$  only depends on the knot  $k \subset S^3$  and not on the choices in its definition.

We denote the knot invariant  $\text{Arf}(q)$  as  $\text{Arf}(k)$  and call it the Arf-invariant of the knot k.

*Proof.* We only need check that  $\text{Arf}(q)$  is well-defined up to S–equivalence. Elementary enlargements and reductions replace Seifert matrices S by

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n & a_1 & 0 \\
 & S & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{2g} & 0 \\
b_1 & \cdots & b_{2g} & c & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

Now by elementary row and column operations, we can make  $c = 0$ , and  $a_i + b_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 2_g$ . Then  $Q' = S' + (S')^T$  is of the form



so a symplectic basis for  $Q = S + S<sup>T</sup>$  mod 2 can be completed to a symplectic basis for  $Q' = S' + (S')^T \mod 2$  so that  $\text{Arf}(q) + \text{Arf}(q_0) = \text{Arf}(q) \mod 2$ .

**Theorem 2.17.** Let k be a knot. The Arf-invariant of k is related to the Alexander polynomial by

$$
Arf(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Delta_k(-1) \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8 \\ 1 & \text{if } \Delta_k(-1) \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8 \end{cases}
$$

Also,

$$
Arf(k) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_k''(1) \ mod 2
$$

**Theorem 2.18** (Fox-Milnor). If k is a slice knot, then the (Conway-normalized) Alexander polynomial of k is of the form  $f(t)f(t^{-1})$ , where f is a polynomial with integer coefficients.

**Corollary 2.19.** If k is a slice knot then  $Arf(k) = 0$ 

## 3. Rokhlin's Theorem

### <span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>3.1. Characteristic Surfaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Given any closed oriented 4-manifold M the intersection form  $Q_M$  is the pairing

$$
Q_M: H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}
$$

$$
([A], [B]) \mapsto A \cdot B
$$

Similarly, we can define  $Q_M([A],[B])$  to be equal to  $\langle PD_X^{-1}(A), B \rangle$  or  $Q_M([A], [B]) = ([A] \setminus [B])[M]$ . Since the cup product is symmetric and bilinear so is  $Q_M$ .

We will take M to be simply-connected unless stated otherwise.

**Definition 3.2.** A closed oriented surface  $F$  smoothly embedded in  $M$  is called characteristic if

$$
F \cdot x = x \cdot x \mod 2 \text{ for all } x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}).
$$

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basis in  $H_2(M)$  then  $Q_M$  is given by a matrix  $a_{ij} = e_i \cdot e_j$ . As a consequence a surface  $F = \sum \varepsilon_i e_i$  is characteristic if and only if

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \varepsilon_j = a_{ii} \mod 2 \text{ for all } i = 1, \cdots, n
$$

With each characteristic surface  $F \subset M$ , one can associate a quadratic form

$$
\widetilde{q}: H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},
$$

and the  $\text{Arf}(M, F) = \text{Arf}(\tilde{q}).$ 

Now we give the punch line of this section:

**Theorem 3.3** (Rokhlin's Theorem). Let M be a simply-connected oriented closed smooth  $4–manifold, and F a closed oriented surface smoothly embedded in M. If F is characteristic$ then

$$
\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(M) - F \cdot F) = Arf(M, F) \mod 2.
$$

**Corollary 3.4** (Kervaire-Milnor). If F in Theorem is a 2-sphere,  $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  vanishes and  $Arf(M, F) = 0.$ 

The following corollary is obtained from taking  $F$  to be empty.

**Corollary 3.5** (Rokhlin). If M is a spin 4–manifold then  $\sigma(M) \equiv 0 \mod 16$ 

#### <span id="page-6-2"></span>3.2. The definition of  $\tilde{q}$ .

Let F be a closed oriented characteristic surface smoothly embedded in  $M$ . Suppose that a homology classes  $\gamma \in H_1(F;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is realized by an embedded circle  $\gamma \subset F$ . Since  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ ,  $\gamma$  bounds a connected orientable surface D embedded in M such that  $int(D)$ is transversal to F. We may deform D slightly to anew surface D' so that  $\gamma' = \partial D'$  is a curve in F obtained by shifting  $\partial D$  inside F so that  $\partial D \cap \partial D' = \emptyset$ . One may assume that D and  $D'$  intersect transversely. We define

$$
\widetilde{q}(\gamma) = D \cdot D' + D \cdot F \mod 2
$$

where by  $D \cdot D'$  and  $D \cdot F$  we mean the intersection numbers of  $int(D)$  with  $int(D')$  and F, respectively.

## Lemma 3.6.

$$
\widetilde{q}(\gamma) = D \cdot D' + D \cdot F \mod 2
$$

gives a well-defined quadratic form

$$
\widetilde{q}: H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}
$$

whose associated bilinear form is the mod 2 intersection form of the surface F

**Example 3.7.** Suppose that a 3-sphere  $\Sigma$  embedded in M and separates the surface F into two pieces,  $F = F' \cup \mathbb{D}^2$ , where  $F' \subset \Sigma$  is a Sefert surface of a knot  $k \in \Sigma$ . Then we have two quadratic forms,

- $q: H_1(F)$ (1)  $q: H_1(F'; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad$  the quadratic form of a surface F
- (2)  $\widetilde{q}: H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , defined above.

*Claim* 3.8. The inclusion induced isomorphism  $\varphi : H_1(F'; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  makes the following diagram commute:

$$
H_1(F';\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}
$$

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad id \qquad \downarrow
$$

$$
H_1(F;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{q}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}
$$

*Proof of claim.* Let  $\gamma \subset F'$  be an embedded circle in F'. Choose an orientable embedded surface D with  $\partial D = \gamma$  such that  $D \cap D^2 = \emptyset$  (simply take D equal to a Seifert surface of  $\gamma$  inside  $\Sigma$  and push off  $D^2$ .) Then  $D \cdot F = D \cdot F' = lk(\gamma, k) \mod 2$ . Let  $N(\gamma)$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $\gamma$  in  $\Sigma$ . Since F' is a Seifert surface of the knot k, the intersection  $\partial N(\gamma) \cap F'$ is homologous to k via the surface  $F' \in (N(\gamma) \cap F')$ . This implies that  $[k] = [\partial N(\gamma) \cap F'] \in$  $H_1(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(N(\gamma)); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $D \cdot F = lk(\gamma, k) = lk(\gamma, \partial N(\gamma) \cap F') = 0 \mod 2$ . Thus  $\widetilde{q}(\gamma) = D \cdot D' = lk(\gamma, \gamma') = lk(\gamma, \gamma^+) = q(\gamma) \mod 2$  where  $\gamma^+$  is a (positive) push-off of  $\gamma$ .  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Lemma.* We first check that the number  $\tilde{q}(\gamma)$  mod 2 is independent of the choice of D. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be a two choice for D, and let  $S = D_1 \cup_{\gamma} D_2$  and for simplicity we will assume S is smoothly embedded. Let  $S' = D'_1 \cup D'_2$ , then  $S \cdot S = S \cdot S' = D_1 \cdot D'_1 + D_2 \cdot D'_2$  mod 2. Since F is characteristic,  $S \cdot S = S \cdot F \mod 2$ , so we get  $D_1 \cdot D_1' + D_2 \cdot D_2' = D_1 \cdot F + D_2 \cdot F \mod 2$ and  $D_1 \cdot D'_1 + D_1 \cdot F = D_2 \cdot D'_2 + D_2 \cdot F \mod 2$ . Thus  $\tilde{q}(\gamma)$  is independent of the choice of D.<br>Since any two homotopic closed simple curve on F are isotopic.  $\tilde{q}(\gamma)$  only depends on the Since any two homotopic closed simple curve on F are isotopic,  $\tilde{q}(\gamma)$  only depends on the homotopy class of  $\gamma$ , and hence defines a map  $\tilde{q}: \pi_1(F) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$  denote a product of loops  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , then we claim that

$$
\widetilde{q}(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) = \widetilde{q}(\gamma_1) + \widetilde{q}(\gamma_2) + \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \mod 2,
$$

where  $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2$  is the intersection modulo 2 of the homology classes represented by  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Since  $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 = \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_2 \mod 2$ , the formula above implies that  $\tilde{q}(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) = \tilde{q}(\gamma_2 * \gamma_1)$  and that the map  $\tilde{q}: \pi_1(F) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  factors through  $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

Thus, to compelete the proof we just need to check the formula  $\tilde{q}(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2)$ . For simplicity, let the curves  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  intersect transversely at one point, and let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be the surfaces that

the curves bound as in the definition of  $\tilde{q}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a smooth connectd sum loop representing  $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ . We get a bounding surface D for  $\gamma$  from  $D_1 \cup D_2$  and the curved triangles  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . Push  $\gamma$  off in the direction of a normal field of  $\gamma$  extending the normal fields on  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Then  $\gamma$  and its push-off will link, which indicates that  $D \cdot D' = D_1 \cdot D_1' + D_2 \cdot D_2' + 1 \mod 2$ 

**Lemma 3.9.** Arf(M, F) only depends on homology class  $[F] \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

This upcoming proof of Rokhlin's Theorem was originally given by Andrew Casson a former University of Texas Professor.

*Proof of Rokhlin's Theorem.* Let us consider the manifold  $M \# \mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ . Its intersection form is odd and indefinite, hence isomorphic to the form  $p \cdot (+1) \oplus q \cdot (-1)$  with  $p = b_{+}(M)+1$ and  $q = b_-(M) + 1$ . By Wall's theorem, there exists a  $k \geq 0$  such that  $(M# \mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2) \# k$ .  $(S^2 \times S^2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $(p \cdot \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# q \cdot \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}) \# k \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$ . Since

$$
(S^2 \times S^2) \# \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 = \overline{\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}}^2 \# 2 \cdot \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2, (S^2 \times S^2) \# \overline{\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}}^2 = \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \# 2 \cdot \overline{\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}}^2,
$$

We have that for some  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ ,

$$
M \# l_1 \cdot \mathbb{C}P^2 \# l_2 \cdot \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2 = a \cdot \mathbb{C}P^2 \# b \cdot \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2,
$$

where  $a = l_1 + b_+(M)$  and  $b = l_2 + b_-(M)$ . Let  $\eta \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\widetilde{\eta} \in H_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ <br>be the generators represented by the embedded 3 spheres  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ . Then  $p_1, p_2 = 1$  and be the generators represented by the embedded 2-spheres  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ . Then  $\eta \cdot \eta = 1$  and  $\overline{\eta} \cdot \overline{\eta} = -1$ . If a class F is characteristic in  $H_2(M)$  then the class  $F_c = F + l_1 \cdot \eta + l_2 \cdot \overline{\eta}$ is characteristic in  $M \# l_1 \cdot \mathbb{C}P^2 \# l_2 \cdot \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ . The property of being characteristic is preserved under diffeomorphism, therefore, the image of  $F_c$  in  $a \cdot \mathbb{C}P^2 \# b \cdot \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$  is characteristic. Both the Arf-invariant and signature are additive with respect to conenected sums of manifolds and characteristic surfaces. Therefore, if the Rokhlin equality holds true for any of the following 3 pairs  $(M_1, F_1), (M_2, F_2)$ , and  $(M_1 \# M_2, F_1 \cup F_2)$ , it is true for the third one. We note that,  $\sigma(\mathbb{C}P^2) - \eta \cdot \eta = 0 = \text{Arf}(\mathbb{C}P^2, \eta)$  and  $\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2) - \overline{\eta} \cdot \overline{\eta} = 0 = \text{Arf}(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, \overline{\eta})$ . Moreover, both the Arf-invariant and signature both change signs if the orientation changes. Therefore, Rokhlin's theorem need only be checked for characteristic surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ .

If  $\eta \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}$  is a generator represented by the embedded 2-sphere  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , then a class  $s \cdot \eta \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2)$  is characteristic if and only if and only if s is odd. The complex curve

$$
C = \{ [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] : x_0 x_1^{s-1} + x_2^s \} \subset \mathbb{C}P^2
$$

is homeomorphic to  $S^2$  and represents the class  $s \cdot \eta$  see below. It is smoothly embedded in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  expect possibly at the point  $[1:0:0]$ . Let B be the  $\mathbb{D}^4$  of the radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  centered at [1 : 0 : 0]. In the affine plane  $x_0 = 1$  the intersection  $\partial B \cap C$  is given by the equations  $x_0x_1^{s-1} + x_2^s$ ,  $|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 = \varepsilon^2$ . Therefore,  $\partial B \cap C \subset \partial B = S^3$  is the  $(s, s-1)$ -torus knot  $k_{s,s-1}$ . Let S be a Seifert surface in  $\partial B$  with the boundary curve  $\partial B \cap C$ , then the surface  $F = (C \setminus (C \cap \text{int}B)) \cup S$  represents the class  $s \cdot \eta$ . An easy calculation using the identification

of the quadratic form q and  $\tilde{q}$  in the example above shows that

$$
Arf(\mathbb{C}P^2, s \cdot \eta) = Arf(k_{s,s-1}),
$$
  
=  $(s^2 - 1)((s - 1)^2 - 1)/24 \mod 2$   
=  $(1 - s^2)/8 \mod 2$ ,  
=  $\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(\mathbb{C}P^2) - s\eta \cdot s\eta) \mod 2$ .

□

We introduce a lemma that was used in the previous proof:

**Lemma 3.10.** The complex curve C in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  given by the equation  $x_0x_1^{s-1} + x^s = 0$  is homeomorphic to  $S^2$  and represents the homology class  $s \cdot [\mathbb{C}P^1] \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2)$ .

<span id="page-9-0"></span>3.3. Representing homology classes by surfaces. Let M be a simply-connected oriented closed smooth 4−manifold. It is known that every homology class of  $H_2(M)$  can be represented by a smoothly embedded surface  $F$ . The following is one of the most intriguing problem in 4-dimensional topology: given a class  $[u] \in H_2(M)$ , what is the minimal genus of  $F \subset M$  representing u? The class u is said to be spherical if it can be represented by an embedded 2-sphere. Next we show a quick application of Rokhlin's Theorem to obstruct a homology class in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  from being a 2-sphere.

**Example 3.11.** Note that  $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ , so let  $\eta \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2)$  be the generator of the infinite cyclic group. We know that  $\eta$  can be represented by  $[\mathbb{C}P^1] \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  which is a 2-sphere. We will use Rokhlin's Theorem to show that the homology class  $3\eta \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2)$  is aspherical. Suppose that  $3\eta$  is sphreical for sake of contradiction. By Kervaire-Milnor we know that if  $3\eta$  is sphereical the it must have Arf-invariant equal to 0. We now calculate the Arf( $\mathbb{CP}^2$ ,  $3\eta$ ),

$$
\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) - (3\eta \cdot 3\eta)) = \frac{1}{8}(1 - 9) = \frac{-8}{8} = -1 \equiv 1 \mod 2 \neq \text{Arf}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, 3\eta) = 0
$$

Therefore, we see that the class  $3\eta$  is aspherical.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>3.4. The Rokhlin invariant. Let  $\Sigma$  be an oriented integral homology 3-sphere. Then there exists a smoothly simply-connected 4-manifold W with even intersection form such that  $\partial W = \Sigma$ . Then the signature of W is divisible by 8, and

$$
\mu(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8}\sigma(W) \mod 2
$$

is independent of choice of W. We call  $\mu(\Sigma)$  the Rokhlin invariant of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose that M is a smooth simply-connected oriented 4–manifold with  $\partial M = \Sigma$ ; we do not even assume a intersection form. Suppose that  $M$  has a spherical characteristic surface then,

$$
\mu(\Sigma) - \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(M) - F \cdot F) \mod 2.
$$

Now to check the formula, form a smooth closed manifold  $X = M \cup_{\Sigma} (-W)$ . Then F is spherical characteristic surface in  $X$ , and

$$
\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(M) - F \cdot F) - \mu(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(M) - F \cdot F) - \frac{1}{8}\sigma(W)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(X) - F \cdot F) = 0 \mod 2.
$$

**Example 3.12.** As as concrete exaple, 1-surgery on the trefoil  $K = 3<sub>1</sub>$ , denoted  $S<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup>(K)$ , has Rokhlin invariant  $\mu(S_1^3(K)) = 1$  because  $S_1^3(3_1)$  is known to bound the simply-connected smooth spin 4-manifold obtained from D<sup>4</sup> by attaching eight 2-handles along a framed link with linking matrix  $-E_8$ .

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>4. Current Research involving Arf-Invariant, and Rokhlin's Theorem

Knot invariants from branched covers of 4-manifolds" Alexandra Kjuchukova discussed two knot  $k \subset S^3$  invariants defined in terms of branched covers of 4-manifolds. The first is the  $\Xi_p$  invariant, which comes from irregular p-fold covers of  $B^4$ . The second one, j, is a new concordance invariant of framed knots in 3-manifolds. As applications, I will illustrate how to use  $\Xi_p$  to obstruct ribbonness for twist knots; and I will relate  $\Xi_p$  and j to other classical invariants. Some of this work is joint with Julius Shaneson.