Shadowing orbits for dissipative PDEs (with G. Arioli)

(R) A few references

- $(A1)$ Generalities, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky (KS) equation
- $(A2)$ The bifurcation diagram for the KS equation
- $(B1)$ A periodic orbit for the KS equation
- (B2) Sketch of the proof
- (B3) General framework for each step
- $(B4)$ Specifics for KS
- (B5) The computer-assisted part
- [1] Y. Kuramoto, T. Tsuzuki, *Persistent propagation of concentration waves in dissipative media far from thermal equilibrium*, Progr. Theor. Phys. 55, 365–369 (1976).
- [2] G.I. Sivashinsky, *Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminal flames I. Derivation of basic equations*, Acta Astr. 4, 1177–1206 (1977).
- [3] J. Hyman, B. Nicolaenko, *The KuramotoSivashinsky equation; A bridge between PDEs and dynamical systems*, Physica 18D, 113-126 (1986).
- [4] C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, G. Sell, R. Temam, *Inertial manifolds for the KuramotoSivashinsky equation and an estimate of their lowest dimension*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 67, 197-226 (1988).
- [5] J.G. Kevrekidis, B. Nicolaenko and J.C. Scovel, *Back in the saddle again: a computer assisted study of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 50, 760–790 (1990).
- [6] M.S. Jolly, J.G. Kevrekidis and E.S. Titi, *Approximate inertial manifolds for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation: analysis and computations*, Physica D 44, 38–60 (1990).
- [7] Yu.S. Ilyashenko, *Global analysis of the phase portrait for the Kuramoto-Sivashinski equation*, J. Dyn. Differ. Equations 4, 585–615 (1992).
- [8] P. Collet, J.-P. Eckmann, H. Epstein, J. Stubbe, *A global attracting set for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, Commun. Math. Phys. 152, 203–214 (1993).
- [9] F. Christiansen, P. Cvitanović, V. Putkaradze, Spatiotemporal chaos in terms of unstable *recurrent patterns*, Nonlinearity 10, 55-70 (1997).
- [10] P. Zgliczy´nski, K. Mischaikow, *Rigorous numerics for partial differential equations: The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, Found. of Comp. Math. 1, 255–288 (2001).
- [11] P. Zgliczyński, K. Mischaikow, *Towards a rigorous steady states bifurcation diagram for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation - a computer assisted rigorous approach*, preprint 2003.
- [12] P. Zgliczyński, M. Gidea, *Covering relations for multidimensional dynamical systems* J. Differential Equations 202, 1, 32–58 (2004).
- [13] M. Gidea, P. Zgliczyński, *Covering relations for multidimensional dynamical systems. II.* J. Differential Equations 202, 1, 59–80 (2004).

- [14] P. Zgliczy´nski, *Rigorous numerics for dissipative Partial Differential Equations II. Periodic orbit for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky PDE - a computer assisted proof*, Found. of Comp. Math. 4, 157-185 (2004).
- [15] G. Arioli, H. Koch, *Computer-assisted methods for the study of stationary solutions in dissipative systems, applied to the Kuramoto-Sivashinski equation*, preprint 2005, to appear in Arch. Ration. Mech. An.
- [16] P. Zgliczyński, *Rigorous Numerics for Dissipative PDEs III. An effective algorithm for rigorous integration of dissipative PDEs*, preprint 2008.
- [17] G. Arioli, H. Koch, *Integration of dissipative PDEs: a case study*, preprint 2010.

The methods apply in principle to general **dissipative evolution equations**

 $\dot{u} + (-\Delta)^m u + H_\alpha(u, \nabla u, \ldots) = 0,$

for sufficiently simple domains and analytic nonlinearities H.

Start with stationary solutions $\dot{u} = 0$ and rewrite the resulting equation as

$$
F_{\alpha}(u) = u
$$
, where $F_{\alpha}(u) = -(-\Delta)^{-m} H_{\alpha}(u, \nabla u, \ldots)$,

The idea is to exploit the compactness of $(-\Delta)^{-m}$ to obtain good finite dim approximations.

Example. The one-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky (KS) equation

$$
\partial_t u + 4 \partial_x^4 u + \alpha \left(\partial_x^2 u + 2u \partial_x u \right) = 0, \qquad t \ge 0, \quad x \in [0, \pi],
$$

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

A trivial solution is $u = 0$, for any value of α . It defines a line in the space of pairs (α, u) satisfying $F_\alpha(u) = u$. Other solutions **bifurcate** off this line at $\alpha = 4k^2$, with k a positive integer. The resulting solution curves bifurcate again ...

Determining the **bifurcation diagram** is simplified by the fact that many bifurcations involve the breaking of some symmetry.

Bifurcation diagram (L^2 norm versus α) for the **Kuramoto-Sivashinsky** equation

Theorem. [G. Arioli, H.K.] For $0 \le \alpha \le 80$, the stationary KS equation equation exhibits eleven pitchfork bifurcations (4, 16, 36, 64, P_1 , P_2 , iP_1 , iP_2 , iP_3 ⁺, iP_4), four intersection bifurcations $(I_1^{\pm}$ 1^{\pm} , I_2^{\pm} (x^{\pm}_2) , and eight fold bifurcations (F^{\pm} , F_1^{\pm} T_1^{\pm} , F_2^{\pm} x_2^{\pm} , F_3^{\pm} $\binom{3^+}{3}$, connected by 44 smooth solution curves, as depicted below. These curves undergo no other bifurcations for $0 \le \alpha \le 80$.

Other results include bounds on the values of α for each bifurcation point, as well as the dimension of the unstable manifold and the L^2 norm of 30 selected solutions.

Non-stationary orbits. The goal is to solve initial value problems of the form

 $\dot{u} = Lu + G(u), \quad u(0) = \nu,$

where L is linear and "very negative". Rewriting the equations as

$$
\partial_t \left[e^{-tL} u \right] = e^{-tL} G(u) \,, \qquad u(0) = \nu \,,
$$

integrating both sides, and then multiplying by e^{tL} , we get the integral equation

$$
u(t) = e^{tL}\nu + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L}G(u(s)) ds.
$$
 (*)

The flow Φ . After defining a suitable Banach space X of admissible initial conditions ν , solve the equation by iteration, on a space of continuous curves $u : [0, T] \to \mathcal{X}$. This yields the time-t maps $\Phi_t(\nu) = u(t)$ for times up to T.

Problem: Computer-estimates only work for small $T > 0$. Even composition of time-t maps gets soon out of control.

Way out: Shadowing of an approximate numerical orbit, using a sequence of boxes ...

Non-stationary solutions for KS. The most interesting are probably chaotic orbits, such as the ones found numerically in [F. Christiansen, P. Cvitanovic, V. Putkaradze, '97] for $\alpha \approx 137$.

For "simplicity", we focus on periodic orbits. Such orbits have also been constructed in $[P. Zgliczy$ nski, preprint '08 , using different methods.

Recall KS:

$$
\partial_t u = \mathcal{L}u - \alpha \partial_x (u^2), \qquad \mathcal{L} = -4\partial_x^4 - \alpha \partial_x^2.
$$

Our "standard form" is obtained by splitting $\mathcal{L} = L + L'$, with $L < 0$, and rewriting

$$
\partial_t u = Lu + G(u)\,,\qquad G(u) = L'u - \alpha \partial_x(u^2)\,.
$$

Theorem. [G. Arioli, H.K.] The KS equation for $\alpha = 150$ has a hyperbolic periodic orbit with period $\tau = 0.00214688...$ Some associated Poincaré map has a simple eigenvalue μ_1 of modulus $|\mu_1| > 4.8$, and the remaining eigenvalues μ_2, μ_3, \ldots lie in the disk $|\mu_n| < 0.69$.

Remarks.

- The derivative of the flow is estimated via the corresponding integral operator.
- The spaces used are far from optimal.
- The shadowing procedures uses $M = 4293$ rectangular boxes.

Sketch of the general procedure

The flow Φ . Convert the integral equation (*) to a fixed point equation for curves $u : [0, T] \to \mathcal{X}$. Evaluating the solution u at time t defines the time-t map, $\Phi_t(\nu) = u(t)$. Here, t can be replaced by an interval.

Local Poincaré map. Given a codimension one affine subspace S transversal to the flow, define $P(\nu) = \Phi_{t(\nu)}(\nu)$, with $t(\nu)$ the smallest time $t > 0$ where $\Phi_t(\nu) \in S$.

A bound on $t(\nu)$ is an interval [a, c] such that $\Phi_a(\nu)$ and $\Phi_c(\nu)$ lie on different sides of S. Then $\Phi_{[a,c]}(\nu)$ is an enclosure for $P(\nu)$.

The local Poincaré maps P_j . Using an approximate orbit $t \mapsto \bar{u}(t)$, choose M milestones \bar{u}_j along this orbit, and Poincaré section $S_j = \bar{u}_j + X_j$ transversal to \bar{u} . Define $P_j : S_{j-1} \to S_j$ as above.

Shadowing. In each section S_j choose an appropriate box B_j and check covering condition for $P_j(B_{j-1})$ and B_j . Here we use the **derivative of** Φ_t .

In the periodic case $(j = 0$ is identified with $j = M$) this implies the existence of a fixed point for the full Poincaré map $\Psi = P_M \circ \dots \circ P_2 \circ P_1$ and a closed orbit u for the flow.

Linearized Poincaré maps. Let u_j be the point where the orbit u intersects S_j . Estimating the velocities $\dot{u}_j = Lu_j + G(u_j)$ gives bounds on the **derivatives** $DP_j(u_{j-1})$.

Hyperbolicity. Check cone conditions (linear analogue of covering conditions) for each $DP_j(u_{j-1})$. Then $D\Psi(u_0)$ satisfies a cone condition, and hyperbolicity follows.

General framework

Integration. Rewrite (*) as fixed point problem for $K_{\nu}(w) = w$ for $w(t) = u(t) - e^{tL} \nu$, where

$$
\bigl(K_\nu(w)\bigr)(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L} G\bigl(w(s) + e^{sL}\nu\bigr)\,ds\,, \qquad 0\le t\le T.
$$

Since the integrand can vary rapidly in t near $t = 0$, partitioning $J = [0, T]$ into n subintervals $J_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i]$, with the partition being **finer near** $t_0 = 0$, than near $t_n = T$, and ...

Assuming the eigenfunction $\{v_k\}$ of L span a dense subspace of \mathcal{X} , define $\mathcal{C}(J, \mathcal{X})$ to be space of all functions $w(t) = \sum_k w_k(t)v_k$ that have continuous coefficients $w_k : J \to \mathbb{R}$, and a finite norm

$$
||w|| = \max_{i} \sum_{k} \sup_{t \in J_i} ||w_k(t)v_k||
$$
.

The following is **specific to KS** (with \mathcal{X} defined later).

Lemma 1. K_{ν} is a compact map on $\mathcal{C}(J, \mathcal{X})$, has a unique fixed point w for each $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$, and the map $\nu \mapsto w$ is of class C^1 . The flow $(t, \nu) \mapsto u(t)$ is of class C^1 and compact, for $0 < t \leq T$.

Shadowing. In the case of a single expanding direction, we can use the following

Lemma 2. Consider a Banach space $X = \mathbb{R} \oplus Z$, and let V be the closed unit ball in Z. Let F be a continuous and compact map

> $[-1,1] \times V \stackrel{F}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R} \times V,$ $[-1, -\vartheta] \times V \stackrel{F}{\longrightarrow} (-\infty, -1] \times V,$ $[\vartheta, 1] \times V \stackrel{F}{\longrightarrow} [1, \infty) \times V,$

for some positive $\vartheta \leq 1$. Then F has a fixed point in $[-\vartheta, \vartheta] \times V$.

Assume $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z}$, where \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{V} are one-dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{X} (in our case roughly the unstable and velocity directions of the flow). Denote by U and V the closed unit balls in $\mathcal Y$ and $\mathcal Z$, respectively.

Definition. A <u>section</u> (of X) is codimension one affine subspace of X. A <u>box</u> in a section S is the image of $U \times V$ under a bi-continuous affine map $\psi : \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Z} \to S$.

Definition. Let $B_i = \psi_i(U \times V)$ and $B_j = \psi_j(U \times V)$ be boxes in two section S_i and S_j , respectively. Given a map $f : B_i \to S_j$, we say that B_i f-covers B_j if the map $F : U \times V \to Y \oplus Z$, defined by $F = \psi_i^{-1}$ $j^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi_i$, satisfies the hypotheses of of Lemma 2, for some $\vartheta < 1$. For simplicity, we identified here $\mathcal Y$ with $\mathbb R$, and U with $[-1, 1]$.

Corollary 3. If for each j, the box B_{j-1} P_j -covers B_j , then the Poincaré map $\Psi : S_0 \to S_0$, defined by $\Psi = P_M \circ \dots \circ P_2 \circ P_1$, has a fixed point in B_0 .

Linearized Poincaré map at $u_{j-1} \in S_{j-1}$.

$$
DP_j(u_{j-1})w= D\Phi_{t(u_{j-1})}(u_{j-1})w-\frac{\eta_j(D\Phi_{t(u_j)}(u_{j-1})w)}{\eta_j(u_j)}~\dot{u}_j\,.
$$

Here, $\dot{u}_j = Lu_j + G(u_j)$ is the velocity at $u_j = P_j(u_{j-1})$. And η_j is the linear functional that defines the hyperplane X_j at the section $S_j = \bar{u}_j + X_j$.

Consider now the points u_i where the periodic orbit intersects the Poincaré planes S_i . The *low-frequency parts* $\ell_j = \mathbb{P}_L \dot{u}_j$ are estimated explicitly in our construction of the orbit. To estimate the *high-frequency parts* $h_j = \mathbb{P}_H \dot{u}_j$ use that $\dot{u}_j = D \Phi_{t(u_{j-1})}(u_{j-1}) \dot{u}_{j-1}$.

Lemma 4. Let $k_j = \mathbb{P}_H D \Phi_{t(u_{j-1})}(u_{j-1}) \ell_{j-1}$ and $D_j = \mathbb{P}_H D \Phi_{t(u_{j-1})}(u_{j-1}) \mathbb{P}_H$. Then $||h_j|| \leq ||k_j|| + ||D_j|| ||h_{j-1}||$, $j = 1, 2, ..., M$.

In particular, if $||k_j|| \le b$ and $||D_j|| \le a < 1$ for all j, then $||h_j|| \le (1 - a)^{-1}b$.

Hyperbolicity. In the case of a single expanding direction, we can use the following

Lemma 5. Let $A \neq 0$ be a bounded linear operator on a real Banach space $X = Y \oplus Z$, with Y one-dimensional. Thus, if $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, we have a unique decomposition

 $A(y + z) = y' + z', \qquad y' \in Y, \ z' \in Z.$

Assume now that A is compact, and that there exists positive real numbers $\beta < \alpha$, such that $||z'|| \leq \beta \max{||y||, ||z||}$, and such that $||y'|| \geq \alpha ||y||$ whenever $||y|| \geq ||z||$. Then A has a simple eigenvalue λ of modulus $|\lambda| \geq \alpha$, and no other eigenvalue of modulus $> \beta$.

Definition. Let $X = Y \oplus Z$, and let $\alpha > \beta$ be positive real numbers. Given two sections $\bar{u}_i + \psi_i(X_i)$ and $\bar{u}_j + X_j = \psi_j(X)$ of X, and a linear map $B: X_i \to X_j$, we say that B satisfies the (α, β) cone condition, if $A = D\psi_j^{-1}BD\psi_i$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.

Consider again the local Poincaré maps $P_j : S_{j-1} \to S_j$ described earlier. Denote by u_j the intersection of the periodic orbit with the Poincaré plane S_j .

Corollary 6. If for each j, the derivative $DP_j(u_j)$ satisfies a (α_j, β_j) cone condition, then $D\Psi(u_0)$ has a simple eigenvalue μ_1 of modulus $|\mu_1| \ge \prod_j \alpha_j$ and no other spectrum outside the disk $|\mu| \leq \prod_j \beta_j$.

The KS equation $\partial_t u = Lu + G(u)$. Recall that

$$
G(u)=L'u-\alpha\partial_x(u^2)\,,\qquad L+L'=-4\partial_x^4-\alpha\partial_x^2\,,
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $[0, \pi]$. The eigenvalues of $-L$ and $-L'$ are

$$
\lambda_k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \le \kappa; \\ 4k^4 - \alpha k^2 & \text{if } k > \kappa; \end{cases} \qquad \lambda'_k = \begin{cases} 4k^4 - \alpha k^2 & \text{if } k \le \kappa; \\ 0 & \text{if } k > \kappa; \end{cases} \tag{0.1}
$$

with eigenvectors $v_k(x) = \sin(kx)$. Here, $\kappa \ge \sqrt{\alpha}/2$, so that $\alpha k^2 - 4k^4 \le 0$ for $k \ge \kappa$. Function space used: $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1^o$ with $\rho = 2^{-7}$.

Given $\rho > 0$, and a nonnegative integer K, define

 \mathcal{X}_K^o \mathcal{C}_κ^o : Space of odd 2π -periodic real analytic functions on the strip $|{\rm Im}\,x| < \rho,$

$$
u = \sum_{k \geq K} u_k v_k, \qquad \|u\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \geq K} |u_k| e^{\rho k} < \infty.
$$

 \mathcal{X}_K^e \mathcal{C}_{κ}^e : Analogous space of even 2π -periodic functions.

The computer-assisted proof uses a type

Ball: $S = (S.C, S.R) \in Rep \times Radius$.

representing intervals in \mathbb{R} , or balls in a Banach space X ,

 $\mathcal{B}(S) = (S.C) + (S.R)\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{R}}, \qquad \mathcal{B}(S, X) = (S.R)\mathbb{U}_{X}.$

where $\mathbb{U}_x = \{x \in X : ||x|| < 1\}$.

The **representable sets** in \mathcal{X}_1^o are taken to be of the form

$$
\mathcal{B}(F) = \sum_{K=1}^D \mathcal{B}(F.C(K)) \sin(K.) + \sum_{K=1}^{2D} \mathcal{B}(F.E(K), \mathcal{X}_{K}^o), \qquad F \in S \text{Fourier}.
$$

The representable sets in \mathcal{X}_0^e are defined analogously. Both are associated with data of type SFourier, which is is an instantiation (FCoeff \Rightarrow Ball) of

Fourier: $F=(F,T,F,C,F,E)$, with F.T encoding the type (even or odd, domain ρ), and F.C: array [0..D] of FCoeff; F.E: array [0..2*D] of FCoeff;

Implement bounds hierarchically, starting with simple and/or generic types, then for more complex types; first for basic operations, then for functions like F_{α} .

 $\mathcal{C}(J, \mathcal{X}_{K}^{o})$ is the Banach space of all continuous functions $w: J \to \mathcal{X}_{K}^{o}$ with ...

$$
w(t) = \sum_{k \geq K} w_k(t) v_k, \quad ||w|| = \max_i ||w||_i, \quad ||w||_i = \sum_{k \geq K} e^{\rho k} \max_{t \in J_i} |w_k(t)|.
$$

Simple representable sets for these spaces associated with data of type

ContFun: P=(P.C,P.E), where P.C: array [0..PDeg] of Ball; P.E: array [1..NErr] of Ball; (nonnegative)

 $\mathcal{B}(P.C)$: all polynomials of degree \leq PDeg, whose K-th coefficient belongs to $\mathcal{B}(P.C(K), \mathbb{R})$. The polynomials on $J = [0, T]$ are expanded about $\frac{2}{3}T$.

 $\mathcal{B}(\text{P.E}, \mathcal{X}_{K}^{\text{o}})$: all functions $v \in \mathcal{C}(J, \mathcal{X}_{K}^{\text{o}})$ such that $||v||_{\text{I}} \leq \text{P.E}(\text{I}).\text{R}$ for all I.

The representable sets for $\mathcal{C}(J, \mathcal{X}_1^o)$ $\binom{10}{1}$ are associated with data of type TFourier, which is an instantiation (FCoeff \Rightarrow ContFun) of Fourier. In other words,

$$
\mathcal{B}(F)=\sum_{K=0}^D \mathcal{B}(F.C(K))\sin(K\centerdot)+\sum_{K=0}^{2D} \mathcal{B}(F.E(K),\mathcal{X}^o_K)\, , \qquad F\in T \text{Fourier}\, .
$$

The representable sets for $C(J, \mathcal{X}_0^e)$ C_0^e) are defined analogously.

Now implement bounds Contr, DContr, ContrFix, DContrFix, Phi, DPhi, ... on the maps K_{ν} , $\partial_{\nu}K_{\nu}$, ...

To obtain decent error bounds for Contr, we decompose $K_{\nu}(w) = P(\nu, w) + Q(\nu, w)$, where P is linear and Q quadratic,

$$
Q(\nu, w) = -\alpha \int_0^t e^{(t-s)L^+} \partial_x \left[w + e^{sL} \nu \right]^2.
$$

Then split Q into terms $Q^{(n)}$ that are homogeneous of degree n in w. After rewriting the result in terms of Fourier coefficients, we end up with integrals like

$$
(Q_m^{(1+)}(\nu, w))(t) = -\alpha m \sum_{k+\ell=m} \nu_k \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_m (t-s)} e^{-\lambda_k s} w_{\ell}(s) ds,
$$

and use estimates like

$$
||Q^{(1+)}(\nu,w)||_{i} \leq 2\alpha ||\nu|| ||w|| \sup_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{K} \\ \ell \in \mathcal{L}}} \left[\frac{k+\ell}{(\lambda_{k+\ell}-\lambda_{k})+2/t_{i}} \right] e^{-\lambda_{k}t_{i-1}}
$$

Here, K and $\mathcal L$ are the frequency ranges for ν and w , respectively. The sup is estimated by the program (beforehand), using monotonicity properties of $[\ldots]$.

Contract first computes an approximate fixed point w for K_{ν} . Then it encloses w in successively larger sets $\mathcal{B}(F)$ until one of them is mapped into itself by Contr.

The same strategy is applied for DContr and DContrFix.

Evaluating the result of ContrFix at a specified time $t \in J$ yields a bound Phi on the flow $\Phi: (t, \nu) \mapsto u(t)$.

.

As much as possible of the above is kept hidden at the higher "dynamical systems" level.

The package Boxes uses data types Vec to describe sets in \mathcal{X}_1^o $\overset{o}{1}$. V(1..N) contains bounds on the first N Fourier coefficients, and V(N+1) is a bound on the norm of all "higher order" terms.

Other data types include LBasis, Frame, Box, TBox, ...

Roughly speaking, a Box represents a set $B = C + L(R_1) \times R_2 \times H$, with $C:$ the center of the Box. $L(R_1)$: the image of $R_1 = [-1, 1] \times \{0\} \times [-1, 1]^{M-2}$ under an linear transformation L on \mathbb{R}^M . **a rectangle** $R_2 = [-r_{M+1}, r_{M+1}] \times ... \times [-r_N, r_N]$ **.** $H:$ a "higher order" ball.

The zero-thickness direction of $L(R_1)$ corresponds to the Poincaré section.

A bound on the **local Poincaré map** is obtained by determining a time interval $T = [t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon]$ such that the flow-images of B at the two times $t \pm \varepsilon$ lie on opposite sides of the Poincaré section (at the destination point).

The 4293 boxes used in our shadowing procedure have been determined numerically.

The **box directions** fall into 4 classes.

low: The first 8 directions are roughly eigendirections of the return map (for the entire orbit). <u>mid-low</u>: The next 12 directions are $(I - P) \sin(k_+)$, for $k = 9, 10, ..., 20 = M$.

Here, P is an approximation to the "low" spectral projection. mid-high: Simply $sin(k.)$ for $k = 21, 22, ..., 40 = N$. high: All higher order modes ($k > N$).

Mapping a box $B = b + L(R_1)$, where $b = C + R_2 + H$.

Consider: **a map** $f : B \to \text{somewhere}$, with $f(0) = 0$, for every x a bound $F(x)$ on $Df(B)x$ (a convex set containing ...) the "corners" $b + w_i$ of B, where w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m are the corners of $L(R_1)$.

<u>Bound on f from bound on Df </u>: By convexity,

$$
f(x)=\int_0^1\!\! Df(tx)x\ \in\ F(x)\,,\qquad \forall x\in B\,.
$$

Convex combination of corners: Every $x \in B$ admits a unique representation

$$
x = \xi + \sum_i s_i w_i
$$
, $\xi \in b$, $s_i \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_i s_i = 1$.

We have

$$
f(x)=\int_0^1\!dt\,Df(tx)x=\sum_i s_i \!\int_0^1\!dt\,Df(tx)(\xi+w_i)\;\in\;\sum_i s_iF(b+w_i)\,.
$$

Notice: The bounds $\{F(b+w_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ are sufficient to estimate $f(x)$ for arbitrary $x \in B$.

The End