

Moment maps and non-reductive geometric invariant theory

Frances Kirwan
Mathematical Institute, Oxford

(based on joint work with G Bérczi, B Doran, V Hoskins)

Recall **symplectic reduction** (Marsden–Weinstein 1974) and **symplectic implosion** (Guillemin–Jeffrey–Sjamaar 2002).

(X, ω) compact symplectic manifold

K compact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} acting on (X, ω)

T maximal torus of K , Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$

\mathfrak{t}_+^* = positive Weyl chamber

$K_\zeta = \{k \in K \mid (Ad^*k)\zeta = \zeta\}$, when $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, with commutator subgroup $[K_\zeta, K_\zeta]$.

$\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ **moment map** satisfies

$$d\mu_x(\xi).a = \omega_x(\xi, a_x) \quad \forall x \in X, \xi \in T_x X, a \in \mathfrak{k}$$

and μ is K -equivariant (for the coadjoint action on \mathfrak{k}^*).

Special case: (X, ω) is **Kähler** and K acts holomorphically; the action extends to $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$ = complexification of K .

Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ be a regular value of $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$,
 with stabiliser K_ζ for the coadjoint action. Then the

Marsden-Weinstein reduction at ζ
 $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/K_\zeta$

is a symplectic orbifold. Often we take $\zeta = 0$ to get the
 ‘**symplectic quotient**’ $X//K = \mu^{-1}(0)/K$.

$\mu^{-1}(0)/K$ has a stratified symplectic structure with more serious
 singularities when 0 is not a regular value of μ .

The **symplectic implosion** is also stratified symplectic, given by

$$X_{impl} = \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) / \sim$$

where $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x = ky$ for some $k \in [K_\zeta, K_\zeta]$ with

$$\zeta = \mu(x) = \mu(y) \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*.$$

Example: $K = SU(2)$ so $\mathfrak{t}_+^* = [0, \infty) = \{0\} \sqcup (0, \infty)$, and

$$X_{impl} = \frac{\mu^{-1}(0)}{SU(2)} \sqcup \mu^{-1}((0, \infty))$$

X_{impl} inherits a **symplectic structure and T -action** with moment map $X_{impl} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_+^* \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^*$ induced by the restriction of μ .

Kähler case (Heinzner–Huckleberry–Loose, Sjamaar 1990s): $X//K$, and hence X_{impl} , inherits a **stratified Kähler structure**, via $\text{grad}\mu(x).a = i a_x (\forall a \in \mathfrak{k}) \rightsquigarrow \mu^{-1}(0)_{\text{reg}}/K \cong (\text{open subset of } X)/G$.

$(T^*K)_{impl}$ ‘**universal imploded cross-section**’ is an affine algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} , embedded as the closure of a $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in a representation of K . In general

$$X_{impl} \cong (X \times (T^*K)_{impl})//K$$

which is an algebraic variety if X is algebraic.

In the Kähler case $x \in X$ is **semistable** for $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$ iff $\overline{Gx} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, and $x \in X$ is **stable** iff $Gx \cap \mu^{-1}(0)_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$, defining open subsets $X^s \subseteq X^{ss}$ of X . If $x, y \in X^{ss}$ then $x \sim y$ iff $\overline{Gx} \cap \overline{Gy} \cap X^{ss} \neq \emptyset$. The **Kähler quotient** is $X \rtimes G = X^{ss} / \sim$.

The inclusion $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow X^{ss}$ composed with the quotient map $X^{ss} \rightarrow X \rtimes G$ is K -invariant and induces a bijection

$$X // K = \mu^{-1}(0) / K \rightarrow X \rtimes G$$

to the Kähler quotient, restricting on open subsets to

$$\mu^{-1}(0)_{\text{reg}} / K \cong X^s / G \hookrightarrow X \rtimes G \cong \mu^{-1}(0) / K.$$

E.g. Let $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$ act linearly on a smooth projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ via $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(n+1)$. Assume $\rho(K) \subseteq U(n+1)$ so K preserves the Fubini-Study Kähler form on X . Then a moment map $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is given by $\mu([x]) \cdot a = \frac{\bar{x}^T \rho_*(a) x}{2\pi i \|x\|^2} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $a \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Example: $X = (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$ where $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = S^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

$K = SU(2)$ acting on X via rotations of S^2

$G = K_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ Möbius transformations

stability: $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in X^s$ iff y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 are distinct points in \mathbb{P}^1 , with $X^s/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ via the cross ratio.

semistability: $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in X^{ss}$ iff at most two of y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 coincide in \mathbb{P}^1 , with $X \rtimes G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

moment map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^* \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$\mu(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4.$$

In this example $X//K = \mu^{-1}(0)/K$ is represented by *balanced configurations* of points on S^2 , and the symplectic implosion $X_{impl} = \mu^{-1}(0)/K \sqcup \mu^{-1}((0, \infty))$ is its union with the configurations whose *centre of gravity lies on the positive x-axis*.

Link with alg geom/GIT (geometric invariant theory):

(Mumford, 1960s)

G cx **reductive** group, so $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$ for maximal compact $K \leq G$;
 X complex projective variety acted on by G .

We require a **linearisation** of the action (i.e. an ample line bundle L on X and a lift of the action to L ; think of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ and the action given by a representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(n+1)$).

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 X & \rightsquigarrow & A(X) = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}_X \\
 | & & = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}) \\
 | & & \cup \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 X//G & \leftarrow & A(X)^G \quad \text{algebra of invariants}
 \end{array}$$

G reductive implies that $A(X)^G$ is a *finitely generated* graded complex algebra so that $X//G = \text{Proj}(A(X)^G)$ is a projective variety.

The rational map $X \dashrightarrow X \rtimes G$ fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \dashrightarrow & X \rtimes G \quad \text{cx proj variety} \\
 \cup & & \parallel \\
 \text{semistable } X^{ss} & \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} & X \rtimes G \\
 \cup & & \cup \quad \text{open} \\
 \text{stable } X^s & \longrightarrow & X^s/G
 \end{array}$$

where the morphism $X^{ss} \rightarrow X \rtimes G$ is G -invariant and surjective.

Topologically $X \rtimes G = X^{ss}/\sim$ where $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \overline{Gx} \cap \overline{Gy} \cap X^{ss} \neq \emptyset$,
 $x \in X^{ss}$ iff $\overline{Gx} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, $x \in X^s$ iff $Gx \cap \mu^{-1}(0)_{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$X \rtimes G = \mu^{-1}(0)/K$$

for a suitable moment map μ for the action of K .

Symplectic implosion links with (a very special case of) **non-reductive GIT**:

$B = T_{\mathbb{C}}U_{\max}$ Borel subgroup (maximal soluble subgp) of $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $G = KB$ and $K \cap B = T$.

U_{\max} maximal unipotent subgroup of G normalised by T .

Fact: $K_{\mathbb{C}}/U_{\max}$ is a quasi-affine variety whose algebra of regular functions $\mathcal{O}(K_{\mathbb{C}}/U_{\max}) = \mathcal{O}(K_{\mathbb{C}})^{U_{\max}}$ is finitely generated, so that $K_{\mathbb{C}}/U_{\max}$ has a canonical affine completion

$$K_{\mathbb{C}} \rtimes U_{\max} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(K_{\mathbb{C}})^{U_{\max}}).$$

Thm (GJS): $K_{\mathbb{C}} \rtimes U_{\max}$ has a K -invariant Kähler structure which is symplectically iso to the universal implosion $(T^*K)_{\text{impl}}$.

Cor: X affine or projective variety acted on linearly by $K_{\mathbb{C}} \Rightarrow$

$$X_{\text{impl}} \cong (X \times (K_{\mathbb{C}} \rtimes U_{\max})) \rtimes K_{\mathbb{C}} \cong X \rtimes U_{\max}.$$

There is a generalisation $X_{\text{impl}P}$ replacing U_{\max} with the unipotent radical U_P of any parabolic subgroup P of $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$.

What happens more generally with GIT for a **non-reductive** linear algebraic group H over \mathbb{C} ?

Problem: We can't define a projective variety

$$X \rtimes H = \text{Proj}(A(X)^H)$$

because $A(X)^H$ is not necessarily finitely generated.

Question: Can we define a sensible 'quotient' variety $X \rtimes H$ when H is not reductive? If so, can we understand it geometrically? Using moment maps?

Partial answer: We can define open subsets X^s ('stable points') and X^{ss} ('semistable points') with a geometric quotient $X^s \rightarrow X^s/H$ and an 'enveloping quotient' $X^{ss} \rightarrow X \rtimes H$. BUT $X \rtimes H$ is **not necessarily projective** and $X^{ss} \rightarrow X \rtimes H$ is **not necessarily onto**. Also the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for (semi)stability do not generalise, at least not in an obvious way.

X projective variety with linear action of linear alg group H ;
 H has unipotent radical $U \trianglelefteq H$ with $R = H/U$ reductive.

We can try to study $X \rtimes H$ using a ‘**reductive envelope**’:
 we look for a reductive G and $\phi: H \rightarrow G$ whose restriction to U
 is injective. Then \exists an induced homomorphism $H \rightarrow G \times R$ and
 $(G \times R)$ -action on the quasi-projective variety $G \times_U X = (G \times X)/U$.
 We try to find a **projective completion**

$$\overline{G \times_U X}$$

with a $G \times R$ -linearisation restricting to the given linearisation on
 X , such that $X \rtimes H$ can be identified with an open subset of **the**
reductive GIT quotient

$$\overline{G \times_U X} \rtimes (G \times R).$$

Simple example: $U = \mathbb{C}^+$ and $\hat{U} = \mathbb{C}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$ acting on \mathbb{P}^n .

\exists coordinates s.t. \mathbb{C}^* acts diagonally and the generator of $Lie(\mathbb{C}^+)$ has **Jordan normal form** with blocks of size $k_1 + 1, \dots, k_q + 1$. So the linear \mathbb{C}^+ action extends to $G = SL(2)$, where

$$\mathbb{C}^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \leq G,$$

via $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^q Sym^{k_i}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, and the action of (a cover of) the $\mathbb{C}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$ action extends to $GL(2)$. In this case the U -invariants are finitely generated (Weitzenböck's theorem) so we can define

$$\mathbb{P}^n \rtimes \mathbb{C}^+ = \text{Proj}((\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n])^{\mathbb{C}^+}).$$

Note: $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^+} \mathbb{P}^n \cong (G/\mathbb{C}^+) \times \mathbb{P}^n \cong (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{P}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^n$
via $(g, x) \mapsto (g\mathbb{C}^+, gx)$; the \mathbb{C}^+ -invariants on \mathbb{P}^n extend, and

$$\mathbb{P}^n \rtimes \mathbb{C}^+ \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \rtimes SL(2) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n)_{impl}.$$

Example when $(\mathbb{P}^n)^{ss} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \rtimes \mathbb{C}^+$ is *not onto*:

$$\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \{ 3 \text{ unordered points on } \mathbb{P}^1 \}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{P}^3)^{ss} = (\mathbb{P}^3)^s = \{ 3 \text{ points on } \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ with at most one at } \infty \}$
 and its image in $\mathbb{P}^3 \rtimes \mathbb{C}^+ = (\mathbb{P}^3)^s / \mathbb{C}^+ \sqcup \mathbb{P}^3 \rtimes SL(2)$ is the open
 subset $(\mathbb{P}^3)^s / \mathbb{C}^+$ which does not include the ‘boundary’ point
 coming from $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

If we *quotient not just by $U = \mathbb{C}^+$ but by $\hat{U} = \mathbb{C}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$* , where \mathbb{C}^*
 acts non-trivially on U , then we can modify the linearisation by
 multiplying by a rational character of \hat{U} . For some such choices
 of linearisation the ‘boundary’ point in the quotient by \mathbb{C}^+ coming
 from $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ becomes unstable for the induced action on \mathbb{C}^* , so
 we do get a *surjective* morphism

$$(\mathbb{P}^3)^{ss, \hat{U}} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{P}^3 \rtimes \hat{U}.$$

Defn: Call a unipotent linear alg group U **graded unipotent** if $\exists \lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U)$ with all weights of the \mathbb{C}^* action on $\text{Lie}(U)$ **strictly positive**. Then let $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$ be the induced semi-direct product.

Suppose that \hat{U} acts linearly (with respect to an ample line bundle L) on a projective variety X . We can multiply the \hat{U} -linearisation by any character (or any rational character, after replacing L with $L^{\otimes m}$ for sufficiently divisible positive m), without changing the action. If we are willing to twist by an appropriate rational character, then GIT for the \hat{U} action is nearly as well behaved as in the classical case for reductive groups.

Any linear algebraic group H over \mathbb{C} is $U \rtimes R$ where $U \trianglelefteq H$ is its unipotent radical and $R \cong H/U$ is reductive. We say H **has internally graded unipotent radical** if R has a central one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow Z(R)$ which grades U .

Thm: (Berczi, Doran, Hawes, K) Let U be **graded unipotent** acting linearly on a projective variety X , and suppose that the action extends to $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$. Suppose also that

$$(*) \quad x \in Z_{\min} \Rightarrow \dim \text{Stab}_U(x) = 0$$

where Z_{\min} is the union of connected components of $X^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ where \mathbb{C}^* acts on the fibres of L with minimum weight. We can twist the action of \hat{U} by a (rational) character so that **0 lies just above the minimum weight** for the \mathbb{C}^* action on X , and

(i) the ring $A(X)^{\hat{U}}$ of \hat{U} -invariants is **finitely generated**, so that $X//\hat{U} = \text{Proj}(A(X)^{\hat{U}})$ is **projective**;

(ii) $X \rtimes \hat{U}$ is a **geometric quotient** of $X^{ss, \hat{U}} = X^{s, \hat{U}}$ by \hat{U} and $X^{ss, \hat{U}}$ has a **Hilbert–Mumford** description.

Moreover, even without condition (*) there is a projective completion of $X^{s, \hat{U}}/\hat{U}$ which is a geometric quotient by \hat{U} of an open subset \tilde{X}^{ss} of a \hat{U} -equivariant blow-up \tilde{X} of X .

Examples of non-reductive groups H with internally graded unipotent radicals:

- i) $H = \text{Aut}(Y)$ where Y is a **complete toric variety**;
- ii) H a **parabolic subgroup** of a reductive group G ;
- iii) $H = \{k\text{-jets of germs of biholomorphisms of } (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}$

$$\cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_k \\ 0 & (a_1)^2 & \dots & p_{2k}(a) \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & (a_1)^k \end{pmatrix} : a_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

(and similarly when we replace $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with $(\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$).

If H acts linearly on a projective variety X , and the linearisation is twisted by a suitable rational character of H and $(*)$ holds, then this theorem applies to $X \rtimes H = (X \rtimes \hat{U}) \rtimes (R/\mathbb{C}^*)$, which is $\text{Proj}(A(X)^H) = X^{ss} / \sim$ where the algebra of invariants $A(X)^H = (A(X)^{\hat{U}})^{R/\mathbb{C}^*}$ is finitely generated and $x \sim y$ as before.

When G reductive acts linearly on a projective variety X , \exists a **stratification** (= Morse stratification for $\|\text{moment map}\|^2$)

$$X = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} S_\beta$$

indexed by a finite subset \mathcal{B} of a \dagger ve Weyl chamber, with

(i) $S_0 = X^{ss}$, and for each $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$

(ii) $S_\beta \cong G \times_{P_\beta} Y_\beta^{ss}$ where P_β is a **parabolic subgroup** of G and Y_β^{ss} is an open subset of a projective subvariety \overline{Y}_β of X .

$P_\beta = U_\beta \rtimes L_\beta$, where its unipotent radical U_β is graded by a central 1-parameter subgroup of its Levi subgroup L_β . To construct a quotient of (an open subset of) S_β by G we can study the linear action on \overline{Y}_β of the parabolic subgroup P_β , appropriately twisted, and quotient first by \widehat{U}_β and then by the residual action of the reductive group $P_\beta/\widehat{U}_\beta = L_\beta/\mathbb{C}^*$. We can use this to **stratify moduli stacks** and construct **moduli spaces of unstable objects**.

$H = U \rtimes R$, internally graded unipotent radical U , $R = K_{\mathbb{C}}$
 $H \curvearrowright X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ via $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n+1)$ with $\rho(K) \subseteq U(n+1)$

Define $\mu_H: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\mu_H([x]).a = \bar{x}^T \rho_*(a)x / \|x\|^2 \in \mathbb{C}$ for $a \in \mathfrak{h}$.

$X \rtimes H = \text{GIT quotient for appropriately twisted linearisation (after blowing up if need be)}$.

When $H = R$ is reductive $X \rtimes H \cong X // K = \mu_H^{-1}(0)/K$.

Applications: Betti numbers, intersection pairings on $X \rtimes H \dots$

When $H = P$ is a parabolic in a reductive G **and** the action of H extends to G , then $X \rtimes H \cong (X \rtimes U) \rtimes R \cong X_{\text{impl}P} // K$. After twisting the linearisation by a suitable character of H (or equivalently adding a suitable central constant to μ_H), if $(*)$ holds we have

$$X \rtimes H \cong \mu_H^{-1}(0)/K.$$

Back to simple example:

$\mathbb{P}^n \rtimes \mathbb{C}^+ \cong \mu_{SU(2)}^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) / \text{collapsing on the boundary } \mu_{SU(2)}^{-1}(0);$

$\mathbb{P}^n \rtimes (\mathbb{C}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mu_{SU(2)}^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) \cap \mu_{S^1}^{-1}(\xi) / (S^1 \text{ and collapsing}).$

Suppose $\mu_{SU(2)}^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}) \cap \mu_{S^1}^{-1}(\xi) \subseteq \mu_{SU(2)}^{-1}((\mathfrak{t}_+^*)^o) \cap \mu_{S^1}(\xi)$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}^n \rtimes (\mathbb{C}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mu_{SU(2)}^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}) \cap \mu_{S^1}^{-1}(\xi) / S^1 = \mu_{\mathfrak{t}^\perp}^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_{S^1}^{-1}(\xi) / S^1$$

where $\mu_{\mathfrak{t}^\perp} : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^\perp \cong \text{Lie}\mathbb{C}^+$ is projection of $\mu_{SU(2)}$ onto \mathfrak{t}^\perp . So

$$\mathbb{P}^n \rtimes (\mathbb{C}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mu^{-1}(0) / S^1$$

where $\mu = (\mu_{\mathfrak{t}^\perp}, \mu_{S^1} - \xi) : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^\perp \times (\text{Lie}S^1)^*$.

Is there a similar description of $X \rtimes H$ more generally?

Hope: Given (*) AND after twisting by a suitable character (add a suitable central constant to μ_H), then for a suitable projective embedding of X :

$\mu_{\hat{U}}^{-1}(0)$ is a slice for the action of $U \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*$ on the open subset

$$X^{s, \hat{U}} = \hat{U} \mu_{\hat{U}}^{-1}(0) \cong \hat{U} \times_{S^1} \mu_{\hat{U}}^{-1}(0)$$

of X , so that $\mu_{\hat{U}}^{-1}(0)/S^1 \cong X^{s, \hat{U}}/\hat{U} = X \rtimes \hat{U}$ and

$$\mu_H^{-1}(0)/K \cong (X \rtimes \hat{U}) \rtimes (R/\mathbb{C}^*) \cong X \rtimes H.$$

Applications: calculating Betti numbers, generators for the cohomology ring and intersection pairings on

$$X \rtimes H = \mu_H^{-1}(0)/K,$$

via $\|\mu_H\|^2$ as an equivariantly perfect Morse function and Shaun Martin's approach to intersection pairings by reducing to torus quotients.

Kähler picture following Greb–Miebach (2018):

unipotent $U \leq G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$ simply-connected semisimple;
 U acting holomorphically on (X, ω) compact Kähler.

Questions: (a) analogue of ‘linear action’?

(b) analogue of ‘reductive envelope’ $\overline{G \times_U X}$?

(c) use of moment maps for K -action to construct and study quotients for U -action?

(d) constraints on ω to allow it to be extended to a K -invariant Kähler form on $\overline{G \times_U X}$?

(e) link with non-reductive GIT?

Thm (Greb–Miebach) TFAE: (1) $G \times_U X$ is Kähler;

(2) the U -action on X is ‘meromorphic’ (i.e. extends to meromorphic $\overline{U} \times X \dashrightarrow X$ for a suitable compactification \overline{U});

(3) \exists ‘Hamiltonian G -extension’: Z compact Kähler with Hamiltonian K -action, U -equivariant embedding $X \hookrightarrow Z$, $[\omega_Z|_X] = [\omega]$.

Then $X \hookrightarrow G \times_U X \hookrightarrow G \times_Z \cong G/U \times Z \hookrightarrow V \times Z$ when G/U is embedded as a G -orbit in a representation V of G with flat K -invariant Kähler structure, and we can define

$$X^{ss,U}[\omega] = X \cap \{y \in G/U \times Z : \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{Gy} \neq \emptyset\}$$

where $\mu = \mu_Z + \mu_V$ for moment maps $\mu_Z : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ and $\mu_V : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$.

Thm (Greb–Miebach) (i) $X^{ss,U}[\omega]$ is independent of the choice of the Hamiltonian G -extension Z (for fixed $G = K_{\mathbb{C}}$), but can depend on G and the Kähler metric on G/U ;

(ii) \exists geometric quotient $\pi : X^{ss,U}[\omega] \rightarrow X^{ss,U}[\omega]/U = Q$ smooth, $Q \subseteq \overline{Q}$ compact cx space, $\overline{Q} \setminus Q$ analytic, π extends to mero $X \dashrightarrow \overline{Q}$;

(iii) \overline{Q} has a stratified Kähler structure restricting to a smooth Kähler form ω_Q on Q with $[\pi^*\omega_Q] = [\omega]$.

$H = U \rtimes R$ with unipotent radical U graded by $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow Z(R)$.

$$\widehat{U} = U \rtimes \lambda(\mathbb{C}^*) \trianglelefteq H$$

Adjoint action $\phi : H \rightarrow GL(\text{Lie}(\widehat{U}))$ restricts to an injection

$$\phi|_U : U \rightarrow SL(\text{Lie}(\widehat{U})) \cong SL(d+1) = G \text{ where } d = \dim(U).$$

Multiplying ϕ by a character gives $\widehat{\phi}$ with $\widehat{\phi}|_U = \phi|_U$ and

$$\widehat{\phi}(\widehat{U}) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_d \\ 0 & (a_0)^{k_1} & \dots & p_{1d}(\underline{a}) \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & (a_0)^{k_d} \end{pmatrix} : a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*, a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

where $k_j > 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$ and the entries $p_{ij}(a_0, \dots, a_d)$ above the diagonal are polynomials in a_0, \dots, a_d , homogeneous of degree i and weighted homogeneous of degree k_j .

We can use this to construct [reductive envelopes/Hamiltonian \$G\$ -extensions](#).

Lemma (Bérczi–K 2017; compare with the universal symplectic implosion’s embedding in an affine space with flat Kähler metric)

$GL(d+1)/\hat{\phi}(\hat{U}) = (SL(d+1)/U)/(\text{finite group})$ is embedded (with good control over its boundary) in an open affine subset of

$$\mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d+1} \wedge^j \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^d \text{Sym}^{k_i} \mathbb{C}^{d+1}\right)\right)$$

as the $GL(d+1)$ -orbit of $[\mathfrak{p}]$ given by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \sum_{j=0}^d e_0 \wedge (e_1 + (e_0)^{k_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} p_{ij}(e_0, \dots, e_d) + (e_0)^{k_j}) \in V$$

where e_0, \dots, e_d is the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^{d+1} .

Use this embedding and a large positive scalar multiple of the flat Kähler metric on V as input for the Greb–Miebach construction to realise the hope of a ‘moment map’ description of $X \rtimes H$.

HAPPY BIRTHDAY, DAN!