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## 1 Introduction

A classical and important construction which arises in many contexts is that of the Dedekind sum which is defined for coprime integers $a$ and $c$ by

$$
s(a, b)=\sum_{k=1}^{|b|-1}\left(\left(\frac{k}{b}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{k a}{b}\right)\right)
$$

where $((x))=x-[x]-1 / 2$. Dedekind sums arise naturally in various topological settings, one of the most famous being Hirzebuch's description of $4 s(b, a)$ as the signature defect of the Lens space $L(a, b)$ coming from Rademacher's cotangent formula

$$
s(a, b)=\frac{1}{4|b|} \sum_{k=1}^{|b|-1} \cot \left(\frac{k \pi}{b}\right)\left(\left(\frac{k a \pi}{b}\right)\right),
$$

as well as in Walker's formula for the generalized Casson invariant.
From the point of view of this note, it is the beautiful construction in [1] of Dedekind sums based upon the classical modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ that is of interest. We describe some this briefly, as it is useful in the development of what follows. It is shown in [1] that there exists a 2-cocycle $\epsilon: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \times \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and a function $\phi: \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ (the Rademacher $\phi$ function) which satisfy $\delta \phi=3 \epsilon$ (where $\delta$ is the coboundary operator). Furthermore, it is shown in [1] that the function $\phi$ is closely related to the Dedekind sums mentioned above. Namely, in [1] the authors define a Dedekind symbol $S$ on $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$ which maps $\infty$ to $\infty$ and otherwise, $S\left(\frac{a}{c}\right)=\phi(M)+\chi(M)$ where $M \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfies $M(\infty)=\frac{a}{c}$ and $\chi$ is

[^0]a function depending on the entries of $M$ (see §2.2). As pointed out in (0.8) of [1], the relationship between $S$ and the Dedekind sum $s$ above is $S\left(\frac{a}{c}\right)=12 \operatorname{sign}(c) s(a, c)$.

For us, since $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$ coincides with the cusp set (that is the set of all parabolic fixed points) of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}), S$ can be viewed as a function defined on the cusp set of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$. In [2] it was shown that there exist finite coarea Fuchsian groups not commensurable with the modular group but whose cusp set is precisely $\mathbf{Q} \cup$ $\infty$. The purpose of this note is to show that these groups give rise to very natural generalizations of Dedekind sums.

We begin by recalling briefly the construction of [2]. The starting point of that paper was to take the two generator group $\Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ generated by elements $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ as below

$$
g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(-1+t) / \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}} & u^{2} / \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}} \\
1 / \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}} & 1 / \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u / \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}} & u / \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}} \\
1 /\left(u \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}}\right) & \left(t-u^{2}\right) / u \sqrt{-1+t-u^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the parameters $u^{2}$ and $t$ are real and satisfy $t>u^{2}+1$.
One sees easily that in the hyperbolic plane, $g_{1}$ maps the directed edge $\{-1,0\}$ to the directed edge $\left\{\infty, u^{2}\right\}$ and $g_{2}$ mapping $\{\infty,-1\}$ to $\left\{u^{2}, 0\right\}$, and moreover the commutator

$$
g_{1} \cdot g_{2}^{-1} \cdot g_{1}^{-1} \cdot g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & -2 t \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is parabolic and generates the stabiliser of infinity. It follows that $\mathbf{H}^{2} / \Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ is a complete finite area once punctured torus. This family includes a modular torus as $\Delta(1,6)$, as well as other arithmetic once punctured tori, and if $u^{2}$ and $t$ are chosen to be rational the set of cusps of these groups must be a subset of $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$. In the arithmetic cases, the cusp set is precisely $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$, although this is not always the case for rational pairs $\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$. (See [2]).

Despite the apparently complicated nature of the entries in these matrices because of the presence of square roots, an easy computation shows that if one considers $G=$ $\operatorname{ker}\{\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 2\}$, then the trace-field of $G$, and hence the invariant trace-field of $\Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ is the field $\mathbf{Q}\left(u^{2}, t\right)$. In fact all the entries of the matrix representatives for $G$ lie in the field $\mathbf{Q}\left(u^{2}, t\right)$. This real field will be called the invariant field of definition of $\Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ as it is the most germane field for our considerations. In particular, the cusp set of $\Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ can clearly be no larger than the field $\mathbf{Q}\left(u^{2}, t\right) \cup \infty$

The main result of [2] is that there are rational choices of parameters $\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ which give rise to nonarithmetic groups whose cusp sets are precisely the rationals. Such
groups we call pseudomodular. There is a good deal of evidence that such groups exist for fields more general than the rationals, that is to say, their cusp sets are equal to their invariant field of definition - such groups we will describe as maximally cusped. It is these groups which we will use to construct Dedekind sums; since our family includes the modular group, it will include a construction of the classical Dedekind sum. In this note we will show

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that $\Delta$ as above has invariant field of definition $K$ and is maximally cusped. Then associated to $\Delta$ is a function

$$
S_{\Delta}: K \cup \infty \rightarrow K \cup \infty
$$

Such functions we say are generalized Dedekind sums.

## 2 The Construction.

Following [1], we first construct an analogoue of the Rademacher $\phi$ function. Fix one of the groups $\Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ of [2]; (at this stage it is not necessary that the group be pseudomodular) and suppose that its invariant field of definition is $K$.

All once punctured tori are hyperelliptic so we can adjoin to this group the orientation preserving involution $\tau$ which conjugates the generators to their inverses, to form a new discrete group $\Gamma$. The surface $F=\mathbf{H}^{2} / \Gamma$ is a sphere with three cone points of angle $\pi$ and a cusp. Note that as an element of GL( $2, \mathbf{R}$ ), $\tau$ is represented by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 2 u \\ -2 / u & 0\end{array}\right)$, so $\tau(\infty)=0$.

Following [1], we define an area 2-cocycle

$$
\epsilon: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}
$$

by setting $\epsilon(A, B)=\operatorname{area}(\infty, A \infty, A B \infty) / \pi$ where this area is to be regarded as oriented, it follows that $\epsilon$ takes on the values $0, \pm 1$.

Equivalently, one can usefully think of $\epsilon(A, B)$ as the sign of $A B \infty-A \infty$, where this is to be interpreted as zero if either term of the difference is infinite.

Notice that $\epsilon$ is a cocycle, because the coboundary

$$
\delta \epsilon(A, B, C)=\epsilon(B, C)-\epsilon(A B, C)+\epsilon(A, B C)-\epsilon(A, B)
$$

involves four triangular areas and the first has vertices $(\infty, B \infty, B C \infty)$ which has same oriented area as $(A \infty, A B \infty, A B C \infty)$, so that taken together with other three this forms a tetrahedron, and hence the total area is 0 .

Lemma 2.1 There is a unique $K$-valued 1-cochain $\Gamma \rightarrow K$ with coboundary $\epsilon$.

Proof. Note that $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z} / 2 * \mathbf{Z} / 2 * \mathbf{Z} / 2$ so that

$$
H^{1}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong 0
$$

and

$$
H^{2}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 2
$$

since the integral homology of $\mathbf{Z} / 2$ is zero in odd dimensions and $\mathbf{Z} / 2$ in even dimensions. For our purposes, we need only use that $H^{2}(\Gamma ; K)=H^{1}(\Gamma ; K)=0$. The fact that $H^{2}(\Gamma ; K)=0$ implies immediately the existence of a $K$-valued 1-cochain with coboundary $\epsilon$.

We prove uniqueness as follows. If $\delta\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\epsilon=\delta\left(\phi_{2}\right)$, then $\phi_{i}$ are both cocycles and hence since $H^{1}(\Gamma ; K)=0$, both are coboundaries. It follows that there is a 0 -cochain $\beta$ with $\delta(\beta)=\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}$. We are computing group cohomology with trivial coefficients, so that this coboundary map is zero and $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ as required.

Definition. We shall denote this $K$-valued 1-cochain by $\phi$.

### 2.1 Computation of $\phi$

It will be useful to have a computation of the cochain $\phi$. A consequence of Lemma 2.1 is that there is a function $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(A B)-\phi(A)-\phi(B)=-\lambda \operatorname{sign}(A B \infty-A \infty) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in K$ which will be determined.
Taking $A=B=I$ we see that $\phi(I)=0$. Taking $A=B=-I$, we also get $\phi(-I)=0$. Taking $A=-I$ and $B=g$, we deduce from $(*)$ that $\phi(g)=\phi(-g)$ for every $g \in \Gamma$.

More generally, if $A$ and $B$ both stabilise $\infty$, then the relation says

$$
\phi(A B)-\phi(A)-\phi(B)=0
$$

that is to say, $\phi$ is a homomorphism on $\operatorname{stab}(\infty)$.
Note that in the group $\Gamma$, we have that $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tau$ stabilises infinity and one checks easily that this is the generating matrix for the parabolic subgroup and is given by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & t \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

By scaling by an appropriate element of $K$, we may assume that $\lambda$ is chosen so that $\phi$ maps this generating parabolic matrix to $t$, so that $\phi$ is now determined on the parabolic subgroup.

It also follows from (*) that

$$
\phi\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)=-\phi(\alpha)=-\phi(-\alpha)
$$

for any element $\alpha$, in particular, if $\xi$ is any projective involution in $\Gamma$, (that is to say $\left.\xi^{2}= \pm I\right)$ we deduce that $\phi(\xi)=0$.

Now in the notation introduced above we have

$$
\phi\left(g_{1} \tau\right)-\phi\left(g_{1}\right)-\phi(\tau)=-\lambda \operatorname{sign}\left(u^{2}-t+1\right)
$$

Since $g_{1} \tau$ and $\tau$ are both projective involutions and recalling that the groups in question are required to have $0>1+u^{2}-t$ we get

$$
\phi\left(g_{1}\right)=-\lambda
$$

By considering $\tau g_{2}$, a similar computation also shows $\phi\left(g_{2}\right)=-\lambda$.
Now for any $k \in K$, for which the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & k \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ lies in $\Gamma$, we have that

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot \tau\right)-\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)-0=0
$$

In the special case that $k=t$, the leftmost term is the product $\left(g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \tau\right) \cdot \tau=$ $-g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}$, so we deduce from the properties described above that $\phi\left(g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}\right)=t$.

Since $\left(\begin{array}{cc}t / u & -u \\ 1 / u & 0\end{array}\right)=g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}$, (or from purely geometric considerations) we see that $g_{2} g_{1}^{-1} \infty=0$. Finally, noting that $g_{2} \infty=u^{2}>0$ together with the relation

$$
\phi\left(g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right)-\phi\left(g_{2}\right)+\phi\left(g_{1}\right)=-\lambda \operatorname{sign}\left(0-u^{2}\right)
$$

it follows that $\lambda=-t$, since the leftmost term is $-t$ by the previous calculation and the inverse rule.

To sum up, we now have a complete inductive description of $\phi$ on the group $\Gamma$, namely it satisfies

$$
\phi(A B)-\phi(A)-\phi(B)=t \cdot \operatorname{sign}(A B \infty-A \infty)
$$

and

$$
\phi\left(g_{1}\right)=\phi\left(g_{2}\right)=\phi\left(g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right)=t
$$

Remark This is in keeping with the computations of [1] which are for the modular group and have $\lambda=-3$.

### 2.2 Generalized Dedekind sums.

Now fix some maximally cusped $\Delta=\Delta\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)$ defined over the field $K$.

For any $M \in \Delta$, by applying the cocycle condition we have

$$
\phi\left(M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)-\phi(M)-k=t \cdot \operatorname{sign}(M \infty-M \infty)=0
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\phi\left(M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & k  \tag{**}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)=\phi(M)+k
$$

For $M \in \Delta \backslash \operatorname{stab}(\infty)$, set

$$
\chi(M)=\left(M_{1,1}+M_{2,2}\right) / M_{2,1} .
$$

Since $M_{2,1} \neq 0$, the value $\chi(M)$ is an element of the field $K$, since the groups $\Delta$ consist of matrices of the shape $\sqrt{r} X$ for a matrix $X \in \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ and $r \in K$. Now a matrix computation shows that

$$
\chi\left(M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)=\chi(M)+k
$$

so that by taking the difference between this and (**) we get

$$
\phi\left(M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)-\chi\left(M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)=\phi(M)-\chi(M)
$$

which is to say the function

$$
S(M)=\phi(M)-\chi(M)
$$

is invariant under right muliplication by the parabolic subgroup.
These observations are independent of whether $\Delta$ is maximally cusped or not. If we now assume that it is, we can define a generalized Dedekind sum as follows.

Given any element $\kappa \in K$, since $\Delta$ is maximally cusped, there is an element $M \in \Delta$ with $M(\infty)=\kappa$ and we may set

$$
S_{\Delta}(\kappa)=S(M)
$$

The ambiguity in such $M \in \Delta$ is accounted for by right multiplication by elements of the parabolic subgroup $\operatorname{stab}(\infty)$ so that this function depends only on $\kappa$. We will define $S_{\Delta}(\infty)=\infty$, and this defines the advertized function in Theorem 1.1.

Remark. This construction gives is a scalar multiple of the classical Dedekind sum when $\left(u^{2}, 2 t\right)=(1,6)$. (See [1], (0.8) )

Examples. It is proved in [2] that the group $\Delta(3 / 5,4)$ is pseudomodular so provides an example of a generalized Dedekind sum of this type. It is not difficult to write a computer program which computes its values based upon the iterative procedure outlined above. A table of the groups currently proven to be pseudomodular (and some conjectural examples) is provided in [2].

In subsequent work, the authors have extended this table of conjectural examples to groups which are maximally cusped for real quadratic number fields, for example $\Delta(1,2(1+\sqrt{13}) / 2)$ appears to be maximally cusped. Questions about whether there are analogues of, for example, Dedekind reciprocity and formulae of the classical type seem interesting and appear worthy of further investigation.
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