

LOCAL SINGULARITIES OF COMPACT MULTIPLY WARPED RICCI FLOW SOLUTIONS

JAMES ISENBERG, DAN KNOPF, ZILU MA, AND NATAŠA ŠEŠUM

ABSTRACT. We demonstrate that any four-dimensional shrinking Ricci soliton $(\mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$, where \mathcal{B} is any two-dimensional complete noncompact surface and g is a warped product metric over the base \mathcal{B} , has to be isometric to the generalized cylinder $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ equipped with the standard cylindrical metric. After completing this classification, we study Ricci flow solutions that are multiply warped products — but not products — and provide rigorous examples of the formation of generalized cylinder singularity models $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{S}^\ell$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The metrics we study	4
3. Classifying warped product shrinking solitons on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$	6
4. General elementary estimates	13
5. Multiply warped products over \mathbb{S}^1	20
6. Multiply warped products over closed surfaces	25
Appendix A. Geometric basics	27
Appendix B. Hessian evolution	28
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Our goals in this paper are to **(i)** classify four-dimensional shrinking Ricci solitons $(\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$, where \mathcal{B}^2 is a general two-dimensional complete noncompact surface, and g is a warped product metric over \mathcal{B}^2 , and then **(ii)** study the formation of Ricci flow singularity models that are generalized cylinders of the form $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{S}^\ell$, with $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$. While these models are expected to occur and arise trivially from product solutions, we provide rigorous analyses of singularities that form from solutions that are not products. Our motivations are as follows.

Ricci solitons, manifolds (\mathcal{M}, g) with $\text{Rc}[g] + \lambda g + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$, are generalized stationary solutions that frequently arise as singularity models of the flow, that is, as limits of parabolic dilations of finite-time singularities. Indeed, for Type-I singularities, which are conjecturally generic for compact solutions and satisfy $\sup(T - t)|\text{Rm}| < \infty$, one can by [EMT11] always extract a nontrivial gradient shrinking soliton limit; *i.e.*, one with $\lambda < 0$ and $X = \text{grad}(f)$ for a nonconstant potential function f .

DK thanks the Simons Foundation for support from Award 635293. NŠ thanks the NSF for support in DMS 2105508.

For mean curvature flow (MCF), a series of influential papers by Colding and Minicozzi starting with [CM12] prove that the only stable shrinking MCF solitons are generalized cylinders $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{S}^\ell$. For Ricci flow, somewhat less is known, but the reader should consult the work in the recent [CM22]. In any case, generalized Ricci flow cylinders $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{S}^\ell$, with $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$, form an important subclass of shrinking soliton singularity models.

Of these Ricci flow limits, almost all rigorous non-product examples studied to date are neckpinches for which $k = 1$. Our intent in this work is to provide complementary analyses of singularity formations that yield limits with $k \geq 2$. To do so, we employ a multiply warped product *Ansatz* used previously in [CIKS22].

1.1. Summary of our main results. In Section 3.1, we classify warped product four-dimensional Ricci flow shrinkers on $\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

Theorem 1. *Let $(\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$ be a noncompact and nonflat shrinking Ricci soliton, where $g = \check{g} + v^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ and $v : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. Then $(\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$ is isometric to the **bubble sheet** (generalized cylinder $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the standard cylindrical metric).*

Next we focus on constructing nontrivial examples of multiply warped product Ricci flows which develop as singularity models in the form of generalized cylinders. In Section 5, we prove the following result for multiply warped product metrics over the base \mathbb{S}^1 . Essentially, our Main Theorem 2 demonstrates that nontrivial multiply warped product metrics do, under very mild hypotheses, develop neckpinch singularities that are qualitatively similar to those analyzed in [Sim00], [AK04], [AK07], [IKS16], and elsewhere.

Theorem 2. *Let $(\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}_1^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}_2^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}_A^{n_A}, g = \check{g} + \sum_a v_a^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_a^{n_a}})$ be a Ricci flow solution originating from initial data satisfying Assumptions I, III, and IV, as stated below, with all $n_a \geq 2$*

Then there is a single fiber, which we may without loss of generality take to be $(\mathbb{S}_1^{n_1}, v_1^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_1^{n_1}})$, that becomes singular first.

The solution $g(t)$ develops a Type-I singularity at $T < \infty$ for which the singularity limit is $(\mathbb{R}^{n_2 + \cdots + n_A + 1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1}, g_\infty)$.

The metric g_∞ is a product of the flat Euclidean factor $\mathbb{R}^{n_2 + \cdots + n_A}$ with the product metric (Gaussian soliton) on $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1}$ that models the Ricci flow neckpinch.

There exist constants $0 < \delta < C < \infty$ such that the radius v_1 of the smallest sphere at distance σ from the neckpinch is bounded from above by

$$v_1 \leq \sqrt{2(n-1)(T-t)} + \frac{C\sigma^2}{-\log(T-t)\sqrt{T-t}}$$

for $|\sigma| \leq 2\sqrt{-(T-t)\log(T-t)}$, and by

$$v_1 \leq C \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{-\log(T-t)}} \sqrt{\log \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{-(T-t)\log(T-t)}}}$$

for $2\sqrt{-(T-t)\log(T-t)} \leq \sigma \leq (T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$.

In Section 6, we prove an analogous result for multiply warped products over any closed two-dimensional base.

Theorem 3. *Let $(\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}_1^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}_2^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}_A^{n_A}, g = \check{g} + \sum_a v_a^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_a^{n_a}})$ be a Ricci flow solution over a compact surface $(\mathcal{B}^2, \check{g})$ originating from initial data satisfying Assumptions I, II, III, and IV, as stated below, with all $n_a \geq 2$.*

Then there is a single fiber, which we may without loss of generality take to be $(\mathbb{S}_1^{n_1}, v_1^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_1^{n_1}})$, that becomes singular first. The solution $g(t)$ develops a Type-I singularity at $T < \infty$ for which the singularity limit is a direct product

$$(\mathbb{R}^{n_2 + \cdots + n_A} \times \mathcal{K}^{n_1 + 2}, g_\infty = g_{\text{eucl}} + g_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

Here g_{eucl} is a flat Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n_2 + \cdots + n_A}$, and $(\mathcal{K}, g_{\mathcal{K}})$ is a nonflat gradient shrinking soliton on a complete, noncompact manifold $\mathcal{K} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \times S^{n_1}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a two-dimensional complete noncompact base, and $g_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a warped product metric over the base $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

If $n_1 = 2$ is the dimension of the crushed fiber, the following result follows immediately from Theorems 1 and 3.

Corollary 4. *Let $n_1 = 2$ in Theorem 3, and let*

$$(\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}_1^2 \times \mathbb{S}_2^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}_A^{n_A}, g = \check{g} + \sum_a v_a^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_a^{n_a}})$$

be a Ricci flow solution over a compact surface $(\mathcal{B}^2, \check{g})$ originating from initial data satisfying Assumptions I, II, III, and IV, as stated below, with all $n_a \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, our assumptions can be made to guarantee the first fiber $(\mathbb{S}_1^2, v_1^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_1^2})$ becomes singular first.

The solution $g(t)$ develops a Type-I singularity at $T < \infty$ for which the singularity limit is a generalized cylinder $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2 + n_2 + \cdots + n_A}$, with a standard cylindrical metric.

We expect that similar results hold under suitable hypotheses for solutions over compact bases of higher dimensions, but we do not study those in this work.

1.2. Outline of the paper. In Section 2, we introduce the multiply warped product *Ansatz* that we employ in this paper. Then making use of details reviewed in Appendix A, we derive two equivalent forms of the Ricci flow system for such metrics and compute the implied evolution of the scalar curvature of the base.

In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1. This theorem plays an important role in establishing Corollary 4.

In Section 4, we establish some preliminary *a priori* C^k ($k = 0, 1, 2$) estimates for the solutions we study along with sufficient assumptions for each to hold. These estimates are employed elsewhere in the paper to prove our main results.

As noted above, in Section 5, we prove our main result for the formation of generalized cylinder limits forming from multiply warped product solutions over a one-dimensional base manifold

Then in Section 6, as also noted above, we prove our main result for the formation of generalized cylinder limits forming from multiply warped product solutions over a two-dimensional base manifold. We also prove Corollary 4 there.

The appendices provide additional technical details. Specifically, in Appendix A, we recall a few useful identities for the geometry of multiply warped products. In Appendix B, we derive the evolution of the covariant Hessian norm $|\nabla^2 v_a|^2$.

2. THE METRICS WE STUDY

Let $(\mathcal{B}^n, g_{\mathcal{B}})$ be compact. For each $a \in \{1, \dots, A\}$, let $(\mathcal{F}_a^{n_a}, g_{\mathcal{F}_a})$ be a compact Einstein manifold normalized so that $\text{Rc}[g_{\mathcal{F}_a}] = \mu_a g_{\mathcal{F}_a}$. Recall that $\mu_a = n_a - 1$ for the standard round sphere of radius 1. To ensure that singularities form in finite time, we assume that all fibers have nonnegative Einstein constants $\mu_a \geq 0$.

Given smooth functions $v_a : \mathcal{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, one can construct a multiply warped product manifold

$$\left(\mathcal{M}^N := \mathcal{B}^n \times \mathcal{F}_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_A^{n_A}, g := g_{\mathcal{B}} + \sum_{a=1}^A v_a^2 g_{\mathcal{F}_a} \right).$$

This is a Riemannian submersion [ONB66] but is not a product unless all v_a are constant. Accordingly, we find it convenient to introduce the notations

$$\check{g} = g_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \hat{g}_a = g_{\mathcal{F}_a}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_a = v_a^2 \hat{g}_a,$$

in order to write the metric as

$$(1) \quad g = \check{g} + \sum_{a=1}^A g_a = \check{g} + \sum_{a=1}^A v_a^2 \hat{g}_a.$$

We review pertinent details of the geometry of the metric (1) and state our index conventions in Appendix A.

2.1. Notational conventions. Although we study similar metrics in [CIKS22], we warn the reader that the notation used here differs slightly from that in the earlier paper.

Our conventions are consistent with [CIKS22] in that undecorated quantities like Δ and $|\cdot|$ are computed with respect to the metric g on the whole space. We use decorations to indicate if a quantity is computed with respect to the metric \check{g} on the base or with respect to a metric \hat{g}_a on a fiber.

The main difference is that, in our earlier work, we write $g = g_{\mathcal{B}} + \sum_{a=1}^A u_a g_{\mathcal{F}_a}$ and study the functions u_a , whereas below, we study $v_a = \sqrt{u_a}$ and $w_a = \frac{1}{2} \log u_a$.

2.2. Evolution by Ricci flow. Under Ricci flow, the multiply warped product structure is preserved, and the base metric \check{g} and warping functions v_a evolve by a coupled system.

In what follows, we find it convenient to study two equivalent forms of this system. The first is independent of a choice of gauge and uses the Laplacian Δ of the full metric:

$$(2a) \quad \partial_t \check{g} = -2\check{\text{Rc}} + 2 \sum_{a=1}^A n_a v_a^{-1} \check{\nabla}^2 v_a,$$

$$(2b) \quad \partial_t v_a = \Delta v_a - \frac{\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a},$$

where $\check{\text{Rc}} = \text{Rc}[\check{g}]$.

To derive the second form of the system, we fix a gauge. We begin by defining the vector field

$$X := \sum_a n_a v_a^{-1} \nabla v_a \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathcal{L}_X \check{g})_{ij} = 2 \sum_a n_a \left\{ v_a^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)_{ij} - v_a^{-2} \nabla_i v_a \nabla_j v_a \right\}.$$

Then we find that (2a) is equivalent to

$$\partial_t \check{g} = -2\check{\text{Rc}} + 2 \left(\sum_a n_a v_a^{-2} \nabla v_a \otimes \nabla v_a \right) + \mathcal{L}_X \check{g}.$$

Similarly, defining $w_a := \log v_a$, one deduces from (2b) that

$$\partial_t w_a = \check{\Delta} w_a - \mu_a e^{-2w_a} + \mathcal{L}_X w_a.$$

Hence, as long as a solution remains smooth, one can invert the diffeomorphisms generated by X in order to study the simpler system

$$(3a) \quad \partial_t \check{g} = -2\check{\text{Rc}} + 2 \sum_a n_a \nabla w_a \otimes \nabla w_a,$$

$$(3b) \quad \partial_t w_a = \check{\Delta} w_a - \mu_a e^{-2w_a},$$

in which $\check{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian of the base.

We find it convenient below to use both systems (2) and (3). Note that in either system, \check{g} evolves but each \hat{g}_a is fixed.

2.3. Evolution of the scalar curvature of the base. We assume in this subsection that the dimension of the base is strictly greater than one. We then derive the evolution equation satisfied by \check{R} .

It is well known that if \check{g} is any evolving Riemannian metric, then one has the variation formula

$$\partial_t \check{g} =: \tilde{h} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \check{R} = -\check{\Delta} \tilde{H} + \delta^2 \tilde{h} - \langle \check{\text{Rc}}, \tilde{h} \rangle_{\check{g}} =: Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3,$$

where $\tilde{H} := \check{g}^{ij} \tilde{h}_{ij}$ and $(\delta \tilde{h})_j = -\check{g}^{ij} \nabla_i \tilde{h}_{ij}$. Because \check{R} is invariant under diffeomorphism, we use form (3a) to choose

$$\tilde{h} = -2\check{\text{Rc}} + 2 \sum_a n_a v_a^{-2} \nabla v_a \otimes \nabla v_a \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{H} = -2\check{R} + 2 \sum_a n_a v_a^{-2} |\nabla v_a|^2.$$

We proceed to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &:= -\check{\Delta} \tilde{H} \\ &= 2\check{\Delta} \check{R} \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_a n_a \left\{ v_a^{-2} |\check{\nabla}^2 v_a|^2 + v_a^{-2} \langle \check{\Delta} \nabla v_a, \nabla v_a \rangle - 2v_a^{-3} \langle \nabla v_a, \nabla |\nabla v_a|^2 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - v_a^{-3} |\nabla v_a|^2 \check{\Delta} v_a + 3v_a^{-4} |\nabla v_a|^4 \right\}, \\ Y_2 &:= \delta^2 \tilde{h} \\ &= -\check{\Delta} \check{R} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_a n_a \left\{ v_a^{-2} ((\check{\Delta} v_a)^2 + |\check{\nabla}^2 v_a|^2 + \langle \nabla \check{\Delta} v_a, \nabla v_a \rangle + \langle \check{\Delta} \nabla v_a, \nabla v_a \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - v_a^{-3} (4|\nabla v_a|^2 \check{\Delta} v_a + 3\langle \nabla v_a, \nabla |\nabla v_a|^2 \rangle) + 6v_a^{-4} |\nabla v_a|^4 \right\}, \\ Y_3 &:= -\langle \check{\text{Rc}}, \tilde{h} \rangle_{\check{g}} = 2|\check{\text{Rc}}|_{\check{g}}^2 - 2 \sum_a n_a v_a^{-2} \check{\text{Rc}}(\nabla v_a, \nabla v_a). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms and commuting derivatives using the Ricci identities, the evolution equation for \check{R} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \check{R} &= \check{\Delta} \check{R} + 2|\check{R}c|^2 - 4 \sum_a n_a v_a^{-2} \check{R}c(\nabla v_a, \nabla v_a) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_a n_a \left\{ v_a^{-2} [(\check{\Delta} v_a)^2 - |\check{\nabla}^2 v_a|^2] + v_a^{-3} [\langle \nabla v_a, \nabla |\nabla v_a|^2 \rangle - 2|\nabla v_a|^2 \check{\Delta} v_a] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify this formula, we write $w_a = \log v_a$ as above and write the preceding equation as:

$$(4) \quad (\partial_t - \check{\Delta}) \check{R} = 2|\check{R}c|^2 - 4 \sum_a n_a \check{R}c(\nabla w_a, \nabla w_a) + 2 \sum_a n_a \left\{ (\check{\Delta} w_a)^2 - |\check{\nabla}^2 w_a|^2 \right\}.$$

3. CLASSIFYING WARPED PRODUCT SHRINKING SOLITONS ON $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1. Its motivation is as follows. Elsewhere in this paper, we show (under mild hypotheses) that a multiply warped product $(\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}^d \times \mathbb{S}_1^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}_2^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}_A^{n_A}, g = \check{g} + \sum_a v_a^2 \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}_a^{n_a}})$ for which one fiber (without loss of generality, \mathbb{S}^{n_1}) is sufficiently small, develops a finite-time singularity modeled by $\mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}^K$, where \mathcal{K} is a shrinking soliton of dimension $d + n_1$ and $K = n_2 + \cdots + n_a$. In general, one does not know much about the structure of \mathcal{K} . But if the base and the crushed fiber are both two-dimensional, one can say considerably more, which we now prove. The manifold considered in this section is a shrinking (ancient) Ricci soliton with topology $\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

3.1. Uhlenbeck frame. First, we obtain information about a singularity limit of a solution over \mathcal{B}^2 if the fiber that crushes is \mathbb{S}^2 , using Hamilton's interpretation of Rm as a symmetric bilinear operator on $\mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$ and Uhlenbeck's trick [Ham86]. Because the limit soliton \mathcal{K}^4 is a warped product, we are able to exploit a time-independent change of basis, an approach which is generally infeasible in dimension four.

We assume here that (e_1, e_2) is a (local) orthonormal frame field for $T\mathcal{B}^2$ and (e_3, e_4) is a (local) orthonormal frame field for $T\mathbb{S}^2$, both of which are evolving by Uhlenbeck's trick to remain orthonormal.

We define

$$\lambda_1 := \check{R}, \quad \lambda_2 := \frac{1 - |\nabla v|^2}{v^2}, \quad \lambda_3 := -\frac{\check{\nabla}_{11}^2 v}{v}, \quad \lambda_4 := -\frac{\check{\nabla}_{22}^2 v}{v}, \quad \lambda_5 := -2\frac{\check{\nabla}_{12}^2 v}{v}.$$

We note the factor of 2 in λ_5 , which simplifies some formulas below.

We begin with a natural orthogonal basis β of $\wedge^2 T\mathcal{M}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_2, & \beta_2 &= e_1 \wedge e_3, & \beta_3 &= e_1 \wedge e_4, \\ \beta_4 &= e_2 \wedge e_3, & \beta_5 &= e_2 \wedge e_4, & \beta_6 &= e_3 \wedge e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas in Appendix A.4 above and bearing in mind that $(e_1, e_2; e_3, e_4)$ is an orthonormal basis for g , one computes the matrix of the curvature operator with respect to the basis β . We obtain $M_{11} = R_{1221} + R_{2112} = 2R_{1221}$, $M_{12} = 2R_{1231}$,

$M_{13} = 2R_{1241}$, and so forth, yielding the matrix

$$M_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lambda_3 & 0 & \lambda_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\lambda_3 & 0 & \lambda_5 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_5 & 0 & 2\lambda_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_5 & 0 & 2\lambda_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now use the Hodge-star decomposition $\Lambda^2 TM = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$, where

$$\Lambda_+^2 = \{\phi \in \Lambda^2 TM \mid * \phi = \phi\}, \quad \Lambda_-^2 = \{\psi \in \Lambda^2 TM \mid * \psi = -\psi\}.$$

We obtain orthonormal bases (ϕ_i) and (ψ_i) for Λ_+^2 and Λ_-^2 , respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}\phi_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4, & \sqrt{2}\phi_2 &= e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_4 \wedge e_2, & \sqrt{2}\phi_3 &= e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3, \\ \sqrt{2}\psi_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_3 \wedge e_4, & \sqrt{2}\psi_2 &= e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_4 \wedge e_2, & \sqrt{2}\psi_3 &= e_1 \wedge e_4 - e_2 \wedge e_3. \end{aligned}$$

The basis $\alpha = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ is given by the right action $\alpha = \beta \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is the orthogonal matrix

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix of the curvature operator with respect to α is $M_\alpha = \mathcal{A}^{-1} M_\beta \mathcal{A}$. One finds easily that M_α has the block structure

$$M_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad A = C = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & -\lambda_5 \\ 0 & \lambda_5 & b_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be expressed in terms of

$$a_1 := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \quad a_2 := \lambda_3 + \lambda_4, \quad b_1 := \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \quad b_2 := \lambda_3 - \lambda_4.$$

As explained in [Ham86], Uhlenbeck's trick leads to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)A &= A^2 + 2A^\# + BB^T, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)B &= AB + BC + 2B^\#, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)C &= C^2 + 2C^\# + B^T B. \end{aligned}$$

Then using standard matrix operations, we compute that the components of M_α evolve by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)a_1 &= a_1^2 + 2a_2^2 + b_1^2, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)a_2 &= a_2^2 + 2a_1a_2 + b_2^2 + \lambda_5^2, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)b_1 &= 2a_1b_1 + 2b_2^2 + 2\lambda_5^2, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)b_2 &= 2a_2b_2 + 2b_1b_2 = 2(a_2 + b_1)b_2, \\ (\partial_t - \Delta)\lambda_5 &= 2a_2\lambda_5 + 2b_1\lambda_5 = 2(a_2 + b_1)\lambda_5. \end{aligned}$$

It is important to note that the warped product structure means that these are truly partial differential equations, rather than the ordinary differential inequalities one is usually forced to work with in dimension four. This is because we are able to make a single time-independent choice of bases.

The considerations above yield the following:

Lemma 5. *If $(M^4 = \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g_t)_{t \leq 0}$ is an ancient Ricci flow that is a warped product with complete time slices, then $\check{R} \geq 0$.*

Proof. We observe that $a_1 + b_1 = 2\check{R}$ and that

$$(5) \quad (\partial_t - \Delta)(a_1 + b_1) = (a_1 + b_1)^2 + 2(a_2^2 + b_2^2 + \lambda_5^2) \geq (a_1 + b_1)^2.$$

The set $a_1 + b_1 = 2\check{R} \geq 0$ is closed and convex in the space of symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ and is invariant under parallel translation. So the tensor maximum principle applies and lets us conclude that $\check{R} \geq 0$ on the ancient limiting soliton by using Bing-Long Chen's argument [BLC09]. \square

Before we prove Theorem 1, we recall some identities that hold on gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. Using those we derive new identities involving the warping function, that play an important role in proving the classification result above.

3.2. Standard formulas. The gradient Ricci soliton equation is

$$\text{Rc}[g] + \lambda g + \nabla^2 f = 0,$$

where $\lambda < 0$ on a shrinker. By scaling we may assume $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$, yielding

$$(6) \quad \text{Rc} + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{2}g = 0,$$

$$(7) \quad \Delta(df) + \text{Rc}(df) = 0,$$

$$(8) \quad R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = c_n,$$

where c_n is a constant that can be determined with extra hypotheses.

3.3. Warped product geometry. For $i, j, k, \ell \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we write $g_{\alpha\beta} = v^2 \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ and observe that the geometric curvature data of g are determined by

$$(9) \quad R_{ijkl} = \check{R}_{ijkl},$$

$$(10) \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = v^2 \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} (g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma} - g_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\beta\delta}),$$

$$(11) \quad R_{i\alpha\beta j} = -v^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v)_{ij} g_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(12) \quad R_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \check{R} \check{g}_{ij} - nv^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v)_{ij},$$

$$(13) \quad R_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{ (n-1) \frac{1 - |\nabla v|^2}{v^2} - v^{-1} \check{\Delta} v \right\} g_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(14) \quad R = \check{R} - 2n \frac{\check{\Delta} v}{v} + n(n-1) \frac{1 - |\nabla v|^2}{v^2}.$$

These formulas may be compared to Proposition 9.106 of [Besse].

3.4. Warped product shrinkers. Consider a shrinking soliton limit $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 \times_v \mathcal{F}^n$. Then recalling that $N = n + 2$, one defines

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rc}_f &:= \text{Rc} + \nabla^2 f, \\ \check{\Delta}_f \zeta &:= \check{\Delta} \zeta - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \zeta \rangle, & (\forall \zeta : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}), \\ d\nu &:= (4\pi)^{-N/2} e^{-f} dV, \\ d\check{\nu} &:= (4\pi)^{-N/2} e^{-f} |\mathcal{F}^n| dA, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $\check{\Delta}_f$ is self-adjoint with respect to either measure above. Then one has

$$(15) \quad \text{Rc}_f = \frac{1}{2}g, \quad (\text{full:soliton}),$$

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\check{R} - 1)\check{g}_{ij} = nv^{-1}\check{\nabla}_{ij}^2 v - \check{\nabla}_{ij}^2 f, \quad (\text{base:tensor}),$$

$$(17) \quad \check{R} = 1 + nv^{-1}\check{\Delta}v - \check{\Delta}f, \quad (\text{base:scalar}),$$

$$(18) \quad v^{-1}\check{\Delta}_f v = (n-1)v^{-2}(1 - |\nabla v|^2) - \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\text{fiber:scalar}),$$

$$(19) \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} \zeta d\nu = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \zeta v^n d\check{\nu}, \quad (\forall \zeta : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}).$$

We are most interested in the formulas above in the case $n = 2$.

3.5. A new identity. We trace (16) with \check{g}^{-1} to get (17), which we write here as

$$(20) \quad (\check{R} - 1) = \frac{2}{v}\check{\Delta}v - \check{\Delta}f.$$

Next, we contract (16) with $\check{\nabla}^2 v$ to get

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\check{R} - 1)\check{\Delta}v = \frac{2}{v}|\check{\nabla}^2 v|^2 - \langle \check{\nabla}^2 v, \check{\nabla}^2 f \rangle,$$

and then contract (16) with $\check{\nabla}^2 f$ to get

$$(22) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\check{R} - 1)\check{\Delta}f = \frac{2}{v}\langle \check{\nabla}^2 v, \check{\nabla}^2 f \rangle - |\check{\nabla}^2 f|^2.$$

Now, we add (21) and $\frac{v}{n}$ times (22) and substitute (20) to obtain, after a wee bit of algebra,

$$(23) \quad \frac{2}{v}\left\{|\check{\nabla}^2 v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\check{\Delta}v)^2\right\} = \frac{v}{2}\left\{|\check{\nabla}^2 f|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\check{\Delta}f)^2\right\}.$$

This can be rewritten in a slicker way. Let $A = \check{\nabla}^2 v$ and $B = \check{\nabla}^2 f$, and denote their trace-free parts by $\overset{\circ}{A}$ and $\overset{\circ}{B}$, respectively. Then, whatever the dimension n of the fibers may be, equation (23) is equivalent to

$$(24) \quad \left| \overset{\circ}{A} \right|^2 = \left(\frac{v}{2}\right)^2 \left| \overset{\circ}{B} \right|^2.$$

For use in the proofs of the next Lemma and Theorem 1, we define

$$(25) \quad h := b_2^2 + \lambda_5^2.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$ be a nonflat shrinking Ricci soliton as above. Then the identities*

$$a_2 + \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_2 b_1 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \nabla f, \nabla v \rangle = 0,$$

hold everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, where a_1, a_2, b_1, λ_5 are defined in Section 3.1.

Proof. On a shrinker in our setting, by (17) and (18), we have

$$\check{R} - 1 = 2v^{-1}\check{\Delta}v - \check{\Delta}f, \quad v^{-1}\check{\Delta}_f v = \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Plugging the formula for $2v^{-1}\check{\Delta}v$ into the second equation, one gets

$$\check{R} - 1 + \check{\Delta}f - 2v^{-1}\nabla v \cdot \nabla f = 2\lambda_2 - 1,$$

which is the same as

$$\check{R} - 2\lambda_2 = -\Delta f.$$

By taking the trace of (15) we obtain $R + \Delta f = 2$, and hence by (14), we have

$$\check{R} - 2\lambda_2 = R - 2 = \check{R} + 4a_2 + 2\lambda_2 - 2,$$

and thus on the static shrinker, or at time -1 of the flow, we have

$$a_2 + \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

as claimed. The third identity, $\langle \nabla f, \nabla v \rangle = 0$ follows immediately by adding (18) to the previous identity.

To prove the final identity, recall that if Q scales like R on a shrinker, then

$$\square Q = Q - \Delta_f Q,$$

where, here and below, $\square = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta$.

Also recall that $\square \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}\square(a_1 - b_1) = 2\lambda_2^2 + a_2^2 - h$, where h is defined in (25). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &= a_2 + \lambda_2 - \Delta_f(a_2 + \lambda_2) = \square(a_2 + \lambda_2) \\ &= a_2^2 + 2a_1 a_2 + h + 2\lambda_2^2 + a_2^2 - h \\ &= 2(a_2 + \lambda_2)^2 + 2a_2(a_1 - 2\lambda_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + 2a_2 b_1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$a_2 b_1 \equiv 0,$$

concluding the proof of the Lemma. \square

We are now ready to achieve the goal of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1. By the strong maximum principle, either $\check{R} > 0$ everywhere or $\check{R} \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. We show below that the former case cannot happen.

Assume first that $\check{R} \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Recall that by (5), we have

$$(26) \quad \square \check{R} = 2\check{R}^2 + a_2^2 + h,$$

where h is defined in (25). Since $\check{R} \equiv 0$, (26) implies that $a_2 \equiv 0$, $b_2 \equiv 0$, and $\lambda_5 \equiv 0$. This implies that $\check{\nabla}^2 v = 0$ on \mathcal{B}^2 . By (16), $\check{\nabla}^2 f = \frac{1}{2}\check{g}$, and thus $(\mathcal{B}^2, \check{g})$ must be isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 with the Euclidean metric (see, e.g., page 218 of [DZ15]). Then $v = ax_1 + bx_2 + c$, for some constants $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $v > 0$, this requires that $a = b = 0$, and hence $v \equiv c$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . This yields the conclusion of Theorem 1.

Assume now that $\check{R} > 0$ everywhere on $\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Then \mathcal{B}^2 is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Our goal is to show that this is not possible. In order to achieve this, we define $G := \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\check{R}}$. We claim that $h \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and to show this we first compute its evolution equation.

By computations in Section 3.1, we have

$$\square h = 4(a_2 + b_1)h - 2|\nabla b_2|^2 - 2|\nabla \lambda_5|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\square \sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2}h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\square h + \frac{1}{4}h^{-\frac{3}{2}}|\nabla h|^2 = 2(a_2 + b_1)\sqrt{h} - (|\nabla b_2|^2 + |\nabla \lambda_5|^2)h^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}h^{-\frac{3}{2}}|\nabla h|^2.$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}|\nabla h|^2 &= |b_2 \nabla b_2 + \lambda_5 \nabla \lambda_5|^2 = b_2^2 |\nabla b_2|^2 + \lambda_5^2 |\nabla \lambda_5|^2 + 2b_2 \lambda_5 \langle \nabla b_2, \nabla \lambda_5 \rangle \\ &\leq b_2^2 |\nabla b_2|^2 + \lambda_5^2 |\nabla \lambda_5|^2 + \lambda_5^2 |\nabla b_2|^2 + b_2^2 |\nabla \lambda_5|^2 \\ &= (b_2^2 + \lambda_5^2)(|\nabla b_2|^2 + |\nabla \lambda_5|^2), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\square \sqrt{h} \leq 2(a_2 + b_1)\sqrt{h}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \square G &= \frac{\square \sqrt{h}}{\check{R}} - \frac{G}{\check{R}} \square \check{R} + 2\langle \nabla G, \nabla \log \check{R} \rangle \\ &\leq 2(b_1 + a_2)G - \frac{G}{\check{R}}(2\check{R}^2 + a_2^2 + h) + 2\langle \nabla G, \nabla \log \check{R} \rangle \\ &= (2b_1 + 2a_2 - 2\check{R} - a_2^2/\check{R})G - \check{R}G^3 + 2\langle \nabla G, \nabla \log \check{R} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We now define $P := 2b_1 + 2a_2 - 2\check{R} - a_2^2/\check{R}$. We claim that $P < 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Indeed, at any point of our manifold, by Lemma 6, there are two cases:

Case 1: $a_2 = 0$. Then $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$P = 2b_1 + 2a_2 - 2\check{R} - a_2^2/\check{R} = 2b_1 - 2\check{R} = -2\lambda_2 = -1 < 0.$$

Case 2: $b_1 = 0$. Then

$$P = 2a_2 - 2\check{R} - a_2^2/\check{R} \leq -\check{R} < 0$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

Recall that f is the shrinker potential. Since G is a scaling invariant quantity, at time $t = -1$, by the computations above, on the soliton $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$ with a warped product structure, we have

$$-\Delta_f G = \square G \leq PG - \check{R}G^3 + 2\langle \nabla G, \nabla \log \check{R} \rangle.$$

Let $\tilde{f} = f - 2 \log \check{R}$. Then we have

$$-\Delta_{\tilde{f}} G \leq PG - \check{R}G^3.$$

Let $\eta = \eta_r$ be a standard cutoff function with $\eta_r|_{B_r(o)} = 1$, $|\nabla \eta_r| \leq 10/r$. Multiplying the inequality above by $\eta^2 G e^{-\tilde{f}}$ and integrating it over the entire manifold $M := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ yields

$$-\int_M \eta^2 G \Delta_{\tilde{f}} G e^{-\tilde{f}} dV_g \leq \int_M \eta^2 (PG^2 - \check{R}G^4) e^{-\tilde{f}} dV_g,$$

where the left-hand side after integration by parts can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \eta^2 |\nabla G|^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g + 2 \int_M \eta G \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla G e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_M \eta^2 |\nabla G|^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g - 2 \int_M |\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_M \eta^2 |\nabla G|^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g - \frac{C}{r^2} \int_M G^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

By (23), we have

$$h = 2| -v^{-1} \check{\nabla} v + \frac{1}{2} v^{-1} \check{\Delta} v \check{g} |^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\check{\nabla}^2 f - \frac{1}{2} \check{\Delta} f \check{g}|^2 \leq 2 |\nabla^2 f|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad \int_M G^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g &= \int_M \check{R}^2 G^2 e^{-f} dV_g = \int_M h e^{-f} dV_g \\ &\leq 2 \int_M |\nabla^2 f|^2 e^{-f} dV_g = 2 \int_M |\frac{1}{2} g - \text{Rc}|^2 e^{-f} dV_g \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we use [MS13] to see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |\text{Rc}|^2 e^{-f} dV_g \leq C$, and the result from [CZ10] that the soliton potential has quadratic growth. Combining the estimates above, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 |\nabla G|^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 (P G^2 - \check{R} G^4) e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g + C/r^2.$$

Taking $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality above, using the fact that $P \leq 0$, and bearing in mind that (27) holds, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla G|^2 e^{-\bar{f}} dV_g = 0.$$

Hence, $G \equiv 0$ and $b_2 = \lambda_5 = 0$. By (23), this implies $\check{\nabla}^2 f = \mu \check{g}$ for some function μ , where f is the soliton potential function. By Tashiro's theorem [Tas65], the base itself is a warped product $\check{g} = dr^2 + \rho^2(r) d\theta^2$, where $\rho(r) = |\nabla f|/a = f'(r)/a > 0$ for some constant $a > 0$ such that $\rho'(0) = 1$. Furthermore, since $h \equiv 0$, we have

$$v'' dr^2 + \rho \rho' v' d\theta^2 = \check{\nabla}^2 v = \frac{1}{2} \check{\Delta} v \check{g} = \frac{1}{2} (v'' + \rho^{-1} \rho' v') \check{g}.$$

This implies that

$$v'' = \rho^{-1} \rho' v', \quad \iff \quad \rho v'' = \rho' v'.$$

Then $(v'/\rho)' = 0$ and $v' = b f'(r)$ for some constant b . By Lemma 6, we have $f'(r) v'(r) \equiv 0$. Together, these imply that $b = 0$, and hence that $v'(r) \equiv 0$, which implies $v \equiv c$ on \mathbb{R}^2 for some constant c . This together with $a_2 + \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ implies further that that $v^2 \equiv 2$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . By examining the curvature representation discussed in Section 3.1, we see that our soliton $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g)$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature and thus, by [LNW18, Corollary 3.1], is isometric to the bubble sheet soliton metric on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. This in particular implies that $\check{R} \equiv 0$, showing that the case $\check{R} > 0$ everywhere on \mathbb{R}^2 cannot occur, as claimed above.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

4. GENERAL ELEMENTARY ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish a few preliminary C^k ($k = 0, 1, 2$) estimates that are useful for our applications below.

 4.1. C^0 estimates.

Lemma 7. *For as long as a solution exists, the size of each fiber with $\mu_a \geq 0$ satisfies*

$$(v_a)_{\max}(t) \leq (v_a)_{\max}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad (v_a)_{\min}(t) \leq \sqrt{2\mu_a(T_a - t)}$$

if there exists a first time $T_a > 0$ such that $(v_a)_{\min} = 0$.

Proof. Equation (2b) implies that v is a subsolution of the heat equation, which proves the first claim.

If T_a exists, the second claim follows from $\frac{d}{dt}(v_a^2)_{\min}(t) \geq -2\mu_a$ by integration from t to T_a . \square

Without loss of generality, we relabel if necessary so that \mathcal{F}_1 is crushed first.

Assumption I. *The initial data satisfies the assumption of single fiber pinching if*

$$\frac{(v_a^2)_{\min}(0)}{2\mu_a} \geq \frac{(v_1^2)_{\max}(0)}{\mu_1}$$

for all $a \in \{2, 3, \dots, A\}$.

The reason for the name above is the following:

Lemma 8. *Consider a multiply warped product over any compact base \mathcal{B}^n that satisfies Assumption I. Then there exist a time $T < \infty$ and a constant $\delta > 0$ so that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow T} \{ \min_{x \in \mathcal{B}^n} v_1(x, t) \} = 0$, but $\min_{x \in \mathcal{B}^n} \{ v_a(s, t) \} \geq \delta > 0$ for all $t \in [0, T)$ and all $a \in \{2, 3, \dots, A\}$.*

Proof. By the maximum principle applied to the evolution equation (2b) satisfied by v_a , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(v_a^2)_{\min} \geq -2\mu_a,$$

for $a \in \{2, 3, \dots, A\}$, yielding

$$(28) \quad (v_a^2)_{\min}(t) \geq (v_a^2)_{\min}(0) - 2\mu_a t = 2\mu_a \left(\frac{(v_a^2)_{\min}(0)}{2\mu_a} - t \right).$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(v_1^2)_{\max} \leq -2\mu_1,$$

implying that

$$(v_1^2)_{\max}(t) \leq 2\mu_1 \left(\frac{(v_1^2)_{\max}(0)}{2\mu_1} - t \right).$$

This implies that there exists a finite time

$$T \leq \frac{(v_1^2)_{\max}(0)}{2\mu_1} < \infty \quad \text{at which} \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow T} \{ \min_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} v_1(s, t) \} = 0.$$

Finally, Assumption **I** and inequality (28) together imply that for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $a \in \{2, 3, \dots, A\}$, we have

$$(v_a^2)_{\min}(t) \geq 2\mu_a \left(\frac{(v_a^2)_{\min}(0)}{2\mu_a} - T \right) \geq 2\mu_a \left(2 \frac{(v_1^2)_{\max}(0)}{2\mu_1} - T \right) \geq \frac{\mu_a}{\mu_1} (v_1^2)_{\max}(0) \geq \delta,$$

where $\delta := \min_{a \in \{2, \dots, A\}} \frac{\mu_a}{\mu_1} (v_1^2)_{\max}(0) > 0$. \square

4.2. A scale-invariant C^1 estimate.

Lemma 9. *If $n_a \geq 2$, then for as long as a solution exists,*

$$|\nabla v_a|_{\max}^2(t) \leq \max \left\{ |\nabla v_a|_{\max}^2(0), \frac{\mu_a}{n_a - 1} \right\}.$$

Proof. Consulting Appendix C of [CIKS22] and using the consequence of (44) that

$$|\nabla^2 v_a|^2 \geq |\check{\nabla}^2 v_a|_{\check{g}}^2 + n_a \frac{|\nabla v_a|^4}{v_a^2},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (|\nabla v_a|^2) &= \Delta (|\nabla v_a|^2) - \frac{1}{2} v_a^{-2} |\nabla^2 (v_a^2)|^2 + v_a^{-2} |\nabla v_a|^2 (2\mu_a + 4|\nabla v_a|^2) \\ &= \Delta (|\nabla v_a|^2) - 2|\nabla^2 v_a|^2 - 4 \frac{\nabla^2 v_a (\nabla v_a, \nabla v_a)}{v_a} + 2 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} (\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2) \\ &\leq \Delta (|\nabla v_a|^2) - 2|\check{\nabla}^2 v_a|_{\check{g}}^2 - 2 \frac{\langle \nabla |\nabla v_a|^2, \nabla v_a \rangle}{v_a} + 2 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} (\mu_a - (n_a - 1)|\nabla v_a|^2), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $|\nabla v_a|^2$ cannot increase at a maximum if $|\nabla v_a|^2 \geq \mu_a / (n_a - 1)$. \square

4.3. The curvature of $(\mathcal{B}^2, \check{g})$. In order to derive C^2 estimates for the warping functions, we need an estimate for the curvature of the base. Here, we derive such an estimate for a base surface. The proof simplifies if one uses the gauged system (3).

If the base is two-dimensional, equation (4) becomes

$$(29) \quad (\partial_t - \check{\Delta}) \check{R} = \check{R}^2 - 2\check{R} \sum_a n_a |\nabla w_a|^2 + 2 \sum_a n_a \{ (\check{\Delta} w_a)^2 - |\check{\nabla}^2 w_a|^2 \},$$

where we recall that $w_a = \log v_a$. We define

$$p := \sum_a n_a |\nabla w_a|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad f := \check{R} + 2p,$$

so that we may use f as an upper bound for \check{R} .

We define an initial metric on a warped product with a two-dimensional base to be η -tame if $f_{\max}(0) \leq \eta$.

Assumption II. *Our initial data is η -tame for $0 < \eta \ll 1$, to be determined.*

Note that we can always satisfy Assumption **II** simply by taking a sufficiently large homothetic dilation of $(\mathcal{B}^2, \check{g}(0))$.

We begin by deriving an upper bound.

Lemma 10. *If the base is two-dimensional and Assumptions **I** and **II** hold for some η sufficiently small, then there exists C_0 depending only on the initial data such that for as long as a smooth solution exists,*

$$\check{R} \leq \max \left\{ C_0, \frac{2\mu_1}{3v_1^2} \right\}.$$

Proof. By (3b), one has $(\partial_t - \check{\Delta})w_a = -\mu_a v_a^{-2}$, and by the Bochner formula, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \check{\Delta})p &= \sum_a n_a \left\{ -2|\check{\nabla}^2 w_a|^2 - 2\check{\text{Rc}}(\nabla w_a, \nabla w_a) + 4\mu_a v_a^{-2} |\nabla w_a|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\check{\text{Rc}}(\nabla w_a, \nabla w_a) - 2 \sum_b n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle^2 \right\} \\ &= -2 \sum_a n_a |\check{\nabla}^2 w_a|^2 + 4 \sum_a n_a \mu_a v_a^{-2} |\nabla w_a|^2 - 2 \sum_{a,b} n_a n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8, there exist T and δ such that $(v_1)_{\min} \searrow 0$ as $t \nearrow T$, but $(v_a)_{\min} \geq \delta$ as $t \nearrow T$ for all $a \in \{2, \dots, A\}$. Thus by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \check{\Delta})f &= (\check{R}^2 - 2\check{R}p) + 2 \sum_a n_a \{ (\check{\Delta} w_a)^2 - |\check{\nabla}^2 w_a|^2 \} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_a n_a |\check{\nabla}^2 w_a|^2 + 4 \sum_a n_a \mu_a v_a^{-2} |\nabla w_a|^2 - 2 \sum_{a,b} n_a n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle^2 \\ &\leq \check{R}^2 - 2\check{R}p + 4n_1 \mu_1 v_1^{-2} |\nabla w_1|^2 + C_\delta \\ &= (f - 2p)^2 - 2(f - 2p)p + 4n_1 \mu_1 v_1^{-2} |\nabla w_1|^2 + C_\delta \\ &= f^2 + 8p^2 - 6fp + 4n_1 \mu_1 v_1^{-2} |\nabla w_1|^2 + C_\delta \\ &\leq 2f^2 + 20p^2 + C_\delta + 2n_1(2\mu_1 - 3fv_1^2) v_1^{-2} |\nabla w_1|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_δ is independent of T .

Here, we make the following observation: if $f \geq \frac{2\mu_1}{3v_1^2}$ at any point, then by Assumption I and Lemma 9, the inequality

$$(30) \quad 0 < p \leq C_0 v_1^{-2} \leq \frac{3C_1}{2\mu_1} f$$

holds at that point.

We now let ϕ solve the ODE $\phi'(t) = C_2 \phi^2(t) + C_\delta$ with the initial value $\phi(0) = f_{\max}(0)$, where $C_2 = 2 + 45C_1^2/\mu_1^2$. By Assumption II, we may assume that $\phi(T) \leq C_0$. Then there are two cases:

- (1) If $2\mu_1 - 3fv_1^2 \leq 0$ wherever $f_{\max}(t)$ is attained, then by estimate (30) above, we have

$$(\partial_t - \check{\Delta})f \leq C_2 f^2 + C_\delta.$$

Then the maximum principle implies that $f_{\max}(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \phi(T)$ stays bounded.

- (2) If $2\mu_1 - 3fv_1^2 > 0$ at any point that $f_{\max}(t)$ is attained, then we have $f_{\max} \leq \frac{2}{3}\mu_1 v_1^{-2}$ at that point.

Because $\check{R} \leq f$, this concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

An analogous lower bound is even easier to obtain, albeit at the cost of a larger constant. To serve as a lower bound for \check{R} , we define $\check{f} := \check{R} - p$.

Lemma 11. *If the base is two-dimensional and Assumption I holds, there exists C_1 depending only on the initial data such that for as long as a smooth solution exists,*

$$\check{R} \geq -\frac{C_1}{v_1^2}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 10, one finds by using Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 that

$$(\partial_t - \check{\Delta})\tilde{f} \geq \tilde{f}^2 - p^2 - 4n_1\mu_1v_1^{-2}|\nabla w_1|^2 - C_\delta \geq \tilde{f}^2 - C'_\delta v_1^{-4},$$

where C_δ, C'_δ depend only on the initial data. The RHS is positive if $f < -\sqrt{C'_\delta}v_1^{-2}$. The result follows because $\check{R} \geq \tilde{f}$. \square

4.4. C^2 estimates. To state our C^2 bound for v_a , for each $a \in \{1, \dots, A\}$, we define

$$\chi_a := |\nabla^2 v_a|^2 \quad \text{which is bounded above by} \quad F := \sum_b (B + |\nabla v_b|^2)\chi_b,$$

where $B > 1$ is a large positive constant to be determined below.

In Appendix B, we derive that the norm of each covariant Hessian evolves as follows. (Here and below, we use subscripted variables to denote partial derivatives.)

Lemma 12. *Each quantity $\chi_a = |\nabla^2 v_a|^2$ evolves by*

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_a)_t &= \Delta \chi_a - 2|\nabla^3 v_a|^2 - 2 \left\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + 4\text{Rm}(\check{\nabla}^2 v_a, \check{\nabla}^2 v_a) - 4 \sum_b n_b v_b^{-2} \langle \nabla v_a, \nabla v_b \rangle \langle \check{\nabla}^2 v_a, \check{\nabla}^2 v_b \rangle_{\check{g}} \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_b n_b \mu_b v_b^{-4} \langle \nabla v_a, \nabla v_b \rangle^2 - 4 \sum_b n_b (n_b - 1) v_b^{-4} |\nabla v_b|^2 \langle \nabla v_a, \nabla v_b \rangle^2 \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_b \sum_{c \neq b} n_b n_c v_b^{-2} v_c^{-2} \langle \nabla v_a, \nabla v_b \rangle \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_c \rangle \langle \nabla v_c, \nabla v_a \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the reaction term is boxed above.

Theorem 13. *Suppose that the base is one-dimensional, or else that the base is two-dimensional and Assumptions I and II hold for some η small enough so that Lemma 10 applies.*

Then there exist positive constants C_, C^* depending only on the initial data such that:*

$$F_t \leq \Delta F + C_* \sum_a v_a^{-4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} F_{\max} \leq C^* (\min\{v_a\})^{-4}.$$

In particular, the flow exists until the first time $T > 0$ that some $v_a = 0$.

Proof. In the estimates below, C and ε denote uniform large and small constants, respectively. We allow C, ε to change from line to line without introducing circular dependencies.

The first part of the reaction term (boxed above) is easily estimated,

$$\mu_a \left| \left\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 (v_a^{-1}) \right\rangle \right| \leq \mu_a \frac{\chi_a}{v_a^2} + 2\mu_a \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^3} \sqrt{\chi_a}.$$

To estimate the second part, we first expand it, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a} \right) \right\rangle &= \frac{2}{v_a} \nabla^3 v_a (\nabla^2 v_a, \nabla v_a) + \frac{2}{v_a} \text{tr}(\nabla^2 v_a)^3 - \frac{4}{v_a^2} (\nabla^2 v_a)^2 (\nabla v_a, \nabla v_a) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{v_a^3} \nabla^2 v_a (\nabla v_a, \nabla v_a) |\nabla v_a|^2 - \frac{1}{v_a^2} \chi_a |\nabla v_a|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality ($|\alpha\beta| \leq \epsilon\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\beta^2$), we initially estimate

$$2 \left| \left\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a} \right) \right\rangle \right| \leq |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 + 2(2+4+1) \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} \chi_a + 4 \frac{\chi_a^{3/2}}{v_a} + 4 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^4}{v_a^3} \sqrt{\chi_a}.$$

We then use Young’s inequality,

$$4 \frac{\chi_a^{3/2}}{v_a} \leq \epsilon \chi_a^2 + \frac{C}{v_a^4},$$

and the weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality again,

$$4 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^4}{v_a^3} \sqrt{\chi_a} \leq \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} \chi_a + C \frac{|\nabla v_a|^6}{v_a^4},$$

which, together with the bound $|\nabla v_a|^2 \leq C$ from Lemma 9, yields the refinement

$$2 \left| \left\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a} \right) \right\rangle \right| \leq |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 + 15 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} \chi_a + \epsilon \chi_a^2 + \frac{C}{v_a^4}.$$

If the base is two-dimensional, then by Lemma 7 and Lemma 10, we have

$$4 |\check{\text{Rm}}(\check{\nabla}^2 v_a, \check{\nabla}^2 v_a)| \leq \frac{C}{v_1^2} \chi_a \leq \epsilon \sum_b \chi_b^2 + C' \sum_b v_b^{-4}.$$

So, collecting terms and again using $|\nabla v_a|^2 \leq C$ and the weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_a)_t &\leq \Delta \chi_a - |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 + \mu_a \left(\frac{\chi_a}{2v_a^2} + \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^3} \sqrt{\chi_a} \right) + 15 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} \chi_a \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_b n_b v_b^{-2} |\nabla v_b| |\nabla v_a| \sqrt{\chi_b} \sqrt{\chi_a} + \epsilon \chi_a^2 + C v_a^{-4} + C \sum_b v_b^{-4} |\nabla v_b|^4 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \sum_b \chi_b^2 + C \sum_b v_b^{-4} \\ (31) \quad &\leq \Delta \chi_a - |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 + \epsilon \chi_a^2 + \epsilon \sum_b \chi_b^2 + C \sum_b v_b^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the final inequality above, estimate (31), we use the estimate

$$4 \sum_b n_b v_b^{-2} |\nabla v_b| |\nabla v_a| \sqrt{\chi_b} \sqrt{\chi_a} \leq \epsilon \sum_b \chi_b \chi_a + C \sum_b v_b^{-4}.$$

Next we recall from Lemma 9 that

$$(|\nabla v_a|^2)_t = \Delta(|\nabla v_a|^2) - 2\chi_a - 4 \frac{\nabla^2 v_a(\nabla v_a, \nabla v_a)}{v_a} + 2 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2} (\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2).$$

It follows from this and (31) by straightforward computation that

$$\begin{aligned} (|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a)_t &\leq \Delta(|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a) - 2 \langle \nabla |\nabla v_a|^2, \nabla \chi_a \rangle - |\nabla v_a|^2 |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 \\ &\quad + |\nabla v_a|^2 \left(\epsilon \chi_a^2 + \epsilon \sum_b \chi_b^2 + C \sum_b v_b^{-4} \right) \\ &\quad - 2\chi_a^2 + 4 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a^{3/2}}{v_a} + 2 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a}{v_a^2} (\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2). \end{aligned}$$

To improve this estimate, for $\beta > 0$ to be chosen below, we use estimate

$$2|\langle \nabla |\nabla v_a|^2, \nabla \chi_a \rangle| \leq 8|\nabla^3 v_a| |\nabla^2 v_a|^2 |\nabla v_a| \leq \beta |\nabla v_a|^2 |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 + \frac{16}{\beta} \chi_a^2,$$

the consequence of Young's inequality, which says that

$$4 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a^{3/2}}{v_a} \leq \varepsilon \chi_a^2 + \frac{C}{v_a^4},$$

and the consequence of Lemma 9 and the weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$2 \frac{|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a}{v_a^2} (\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2) \leq \varepsilon \chi_a^2 + \frac{C}{v_a^4},$$

to obtain the further refinement

$$(32) \quad (|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a)_t \leq \Delta(|\nabla v_a|^2 \chi_a) + (\beta - 1) |\nabla v_a|^2 |\nabla^3 v_a|^2 + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{16}{\beta} - 2 \right) \chi_a^2 \\ + |\nabla v_a|^2 \left(\varepsilon \chi_a^2 + \varepsilon \sum_b \chi_b^2 + C \sum_b v_b^{-4} \right).$$

Finally, we combine estimates (31) and (32) to see that

$$[(B + |\nabla v_a|^2) \chi_a]_t \leq \Delta[(B + |\nabla v_a|^2) \chi_a] + \{(\beta - 1) |\nabla v_a|^2 - B\} |\nabla^3 v_a|^3 \\ + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{16}{\beta} - 2 \right) \chi_a^2 + (B + |\nabla v_a|^2) \left\{ \varepsilon \sum_b \chi_b^2 + C \sum_b v_b^{-4} \right\}.$$

We choose $\beta = 32$, $B \geq \beta \max\{|\nabla v_b|^2\}$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ small enough that $2B\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We note that we can make ε as small as we wish by increasing C if necessary. Thus it follows from these choices that the quantity $F = \sum_a (B + |\nabla v_a|^2) \chi_a$ defined above satisfies

$$F_t \leq \Delta F - \sum_a \chi_a^2 + C \sum_a v_a^{-4} \leq \Delta F + C \sum_a v_a^{-4}.$$

The sum $\sum_a v_a^{-4}$ is finite as long as each $v_a > 0$. Because there exists a first time $T > 0$ such that any $v_a = 0$, the conclusion follows readily. \square

By integrating the estimate in Theorem 13, one immediately obtains:

Corollary 14. *If there exists $c > 0$ such that $\min_{x \in \mathcal{B}} v_a(x, t) \geq c\sqrt{T-t}$ for all $1 \leq a \leq A$, then*

$$F_{\max} \leq \frac{C}{T-t}.$$

Combining this with Lemma 9 and the curvature formulas in Appendix A.4, one then obtains:

Corollary 15. *If there exists $c > 0$ such that $\min_{x \in \mathcal{B}} v_a(x, t) \geq c\sqrt{T-t}$ for all $1 \leq a \leq A$ and at least one $v_b \rightarrow 0$ as $t \nearrow T < \infty$, then the solution encounters a Type-I singularity at T .*

In Sections 5 and 6, we establish sufficient conditions for the Corollary to hold for one-dimensional and two-dimensional bases, respectively.

We recall that Lemma 8 applies to any solution satisfying Assumption I.

Theorem 16. *Suppose a solution flowing from initial data satisfying Assumption I satisfies the Type-I hypotheses of Corollary 15 as $t \nearrow T < \infty$. Suppose also that the base is \mathbb{S}^1 or a surface \mathcal{B}^2 that also satisfies Assumption II. And suppose that $\inf_{t \nearrow T} v_a(\cdot, t) = 0$ for all $1 \leq a \leq A' < A$ but not for any $A' + 1 \leq a \leq A$.*

We define rescaled metrics $\tilde{g}(\cdot, \tau) := (T - t)^{-1} g(\cdot, t)$, where $\tau := -\log(T - t)$. And we define $N_{\text{gs}} := n + \sum_{a=1}^{A'} n_a$ and $N_{\text{fl}} := \sum_{a=A'+1}^A n_a$.

Then as $\tau_i \rightarrow \infty$, the solutions $(\mathcal{M}, \tilde{g}(\cdot, \tau_i))$ converge subsequentially smoothly and locally uniformly to

$$(\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{fl}}}, g_\infty),$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}$ is a nonflat gradient shrinking Ricci soliton and $\mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{fl}}}$ is flat.

Proof. By the Type-I hypothesis, the rescaled metrics satisfy $|\text{Rm}[\tilde{g}](\cdot, \tau)| \leq C$ for all $\tau \in [-\log T, \infty)$. By [EMT11], this implies that for any sequence $\tau_i \rightarrow \infty$ and Type-I singular points o_i , there is smooth subsequential convergence of the pointed solutions $(\mathcal{M}, \tilde{g}(\cdot, \tau + \tau_i), o_i)$ to a Ricci flow solution $(\mathcal{M}_\infty, g_\infty(\cdot, \tau), o_\infty)$ that is a nonflat gradient shrinking Ricci soliton.

We claim that the limit splits N_{fl} flat directions and hence has an $\mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{fl}}}$ factor. We recall that, without rescaling, the norm of the curvature is given by

$$|\text{Rm}|^2 = g^{I\tilde{I}} g^{J\tilde{J}} g^{K\tilde{K}} g^{L\tilde{L}} R_{IJKL} R_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}\tilde{K}\tilde{L}},$$

where we may assume that both vectors in each index pair (I, \tilde{I}) , (J, \tilde{J}) , (K, \tilde{K}) and (L, \tilde{L}) are tangent to the same factor. We write this norm as

$$|\text{Rm}|^2 = \Sigma_{\text{fl}} + \Sigma_{\text{gs}},$$

where Σ_{fl} is the sum of all the terms with at least one index pair corresponding to a fiber $a \in \{A', \dots, A\}$, and Σ_{gs} is the sum of all the other terms.

In order to see that the limit splits off a Euclidean factor of dimension N_{fl} , it suffices to show that the rescaled sum $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{fl}} = (T - t)^2 \Sigma_{\text{fl}}$ tends to zero as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. To see this, we recall the formulas in Appendix A.4 and consider all the terms that contribute to $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{fl}}$, that is, all terms corresponding to the fibers $a \in \{A', \dots, A\}$. The four types of curvature considered in Appendix A.4 are as follows:

- (a) We do not need to consider \check{R} , because this corresponds to a term in Σ_{gs} .
- (b) Curvature terms that pair the a^{th} fiber with itself: by formula (45b), these terms in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{fl}}^{1/2} = (T - t) \Sigma_{\text{fl}}^{1/2}$ are bounded by

$$C(T - t) \frac{1 + |\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a^2},$$

where C is a uniform constant. Since $v_a \geq \delta > 0$ by Lemma 8, and Lemma 9 applies, these terms converge to zero as $t \rightarrow T$, equivalently as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

- (c) Curvature components that pair the a^{th} fiber with any different fiber: by formula (45c), these terms in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{fl}}^{1/2} = (T - t) \Sigma_{\text{fl}}^{1/2}$ are bounded by

$$C(T - t) \frac{|\nabla v_a| |\nabla v_b|}{v_a v_b}.$$

By the Type-I assumption that $\min_{x \in \mathcal{B}} v_b(x, t) \geq c\sqrt{T - t}$ for some $c > 0$ and all $b \in \{1, \dots, A\}$, the consequence of Lemma 8 that $v_a \geq \delta > 0$ for all $a \in \{A' + 1, A\}$, and Lemma 9, these terms are bounded by $C^* \sqrt{T - t}$, which tends to zero as $t \rightarrow T$.

- (d) Curvature components that pair the base with the a^{th} fiber: by (45d), Corollary 14, and the lower bound $v_a \geq \delta > 0$ for all $a \in \{A' + 1, A\}$ again, these terms in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{1/2} = (T - t)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{H}}^{1/2}$ are bounded by

$$C(T - t) \frac{|\nabla^2 v_a|}{v_a} \leq C^* \sqrt{T - t} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 17. *The soliton $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{ss}}}$ constructed in Theorem 16 is a warped product.*

Proof. For each τ , the rescaled manifold $(\mathcal{M}^N, \tilde{g}(\tau))$ is a Riemannian submersion. Following [ONB66], let $\pi_x : T_x \mathcal{M}^N \rightarrow T_b \mathcal{B}^n$ denote the tangent projection with kernel \mathcal{V}_x and orthogonal complement \mathcal{H}_x .

We observe that the size $|\mathcal{F}_a|_{\tilde{g}(\tau)}$ of each fiber is uniformly bounded from below by a positive quantity, the horizontal distribution \mathcal{H} is integrable (equivalently, O’Neill’s tensor A , defined in §2 of [ONB66], vanishes), and the metrics are constant on the vertical distribution \mathcal{V} in the precise sense that $\tilde{g}(\tau)|_{\mathcal{V}_x}$ depends only on $b = \pi(x)$.

The projection $\pi_x : T_x \mathcal{M}^N \rightarrow T_b \mathcal{B}^n$ is independent of τ . Thus, these properties persist as $\tau_i \rightarrow \infty$ in any smooth subsequential Cheeger–Gromov limit, which must therefore be a warped product globally. \square

Corollary 18. *Suppose the base surface \mathcal{B}^2 has genus ≥ 1 or is a large 2-sphere satisfying Assumption II for a sufficiently small η depending only on the diameter of the smallest fiber. Then the soliton $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{ss}}}$ constructed in Theorem 16 is noncompact.*

Proof. Let \check{A} and $d\check{A}$ denote the area and measure, respectively of \mathcal{B}^2 with respect to \check{g} . Then, up to diffeomorphism, it follows from (3a) by a standard variational formula that

$$\partial_t(d\check{A}) = (-\check{R} + n|\nabla w|^2) d\check{A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} \check{A} = -4\pi\chi(\mathcal{B}^2) + n\|\nabla w\|^2.$$

Hence, \check{A} monotonically increases if the genus of \mathcal{B}^2 is at least 1, and decreases at most linearly if \mathcal{B}^2 is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 . In the latter case, we may assume that the area is sufficiently large initially, which is consistent with Assumption II. Thus in either case, after parabolic dilation, the limit base surface has infinite area. \square

5. MULTIPLY WARPED PRODUCTS OVER \mathbb{S}^1

Throughout this section, we assume a one-dimensional closed base $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathbb{S}^1$ and we prove Main Theorem 2. Specifically, we consider multiply warped product metrics on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_A}$ of the form

$$g = (ds)^2 + \sum_{a=1}^A g_a = (ds)^2 + \sum_{a=1}^A v_a^2 \hat{g}_a,$$

where all $n_a \geq 2$ and s denotes the arclength from a fixed but arbitrary point θ_0 on \mathbb{S}^1 .

Using Appendix A.4, we observe that

$$R_{00} = - \left(\sum_a n_a \frac{(v_a)_{ss}}{v_a} \right) ds^2,$$

$$(\text{Rc}_a)_{\alpha\beta} = \left(- \frac{(v_a)_{ss}}{v_a} + (n_a - 1) \frac{1 - (v_a)_s^2}{v_a^2} - \sum_{b \neq a} n_b \frac{(v_a)_s (v_b)_s}{v_a v_b} \right) (g_a)_{\alpha\beta}.$$

In particular, the scalar curvature of the whole manifold is

$$(33) \quad R = -2 \sum_a n_a \frac{(v_a)_{ss}}{v_a} + \sum_a n_a (n_a - 1) \frac{1 - (v_a)_s^2}{v_a^2} - \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} n_a n_b \frac{(v_a)_s (v_b)_s}{v_a v_b}.$$

5.1. The arclength commutator. Using s as a gauge induces a commutator $[\partial_s, \partial_t]$. Specifically, we may suppose there is locally a smooth function ϕ such that

$$(34) \quad s(\theta, t) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \phi(\tilde{\theta}, t) d\tilde{\theta},$$

which implies that

$$\partial_s = \phi^{-1} \partial_\theta \quad \text{and} \quad ds = \phi d\theta.$$

Then $2\phi\phi_t(d\theta)^2 = -2R_{00}(\phi d\theta)^2$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\phi_t}{\phi} = -R_{00}.$$

This yields the commutator

$$(35) \quad [\partial_t, \partial_s] = [\partial_t, \phi^{-1} \partial_\theta] = -\frac{\phi_t}{\phi^2} \partial_\theta = -\frac{\phi_t}{\phi} \partial_s = R_{00} \partial_s = -\sum_a n_a \frac{(v_a)_{ss}}{v_a} \partial_s.$$

5.2. Type-I singularity formation. We begin by constructing an open set of initial data that can form singularities modeled on generalized cylinders.

Assumption III. *Each Einstein constant is at least as large as that of the standard sphere, $\mu_a \geq n_a - 1$; and there are constants $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that the scalar curvature of the whole manifold satisfies $\min R(\cdot, 0) \geq r_0$ and the size of the first fiber satisfies $v_1(\cdot, 0) \leq c_1$.*

We assume in the remainder of this section that the initial data satisfy both Assumptions I and III.

Lemma 19. *We consider a Ricci flow solution over \mathbb{S}^1 that evolves from initial data satisfying both Assumptions I and III. Then there exists a uniform constant c so that*

$$\min v_a(\cdot, t) \geq c\sqrt{T-t}, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

for all fibers with $1 \leq a \leq A$.

Proof. Since $R_t = \Delta R + 2|\text{Rc}|^2 \geq \Delta R$, we have $R \geq r_0$ for as long as the solution remains smooth.

We define $Q := \log(v_1^{2n_1} v_s^{2n_2} \dots v_A^{2n_A})$. Recalling the evolution equation (2b) for v_a and using (43) in the form $\Delta v_a = (v_a)_{ss} + \sum_b n_b \frac{(v_a)_s (v_b)_s}{v_b}$, we then expand

$Q_t = \sum_a 2n_a \frac{(v_a)_t}{v_a}$, yielding

$$(36) \quad Q_t = 2 \sum_a n_a \left\{ \frac{(v_a)_{ss}}{v_a} + \sum_b n_b \frac{(v_a)_s (v_b)_s}{v_a v_b} - \frac{\mu_a + (v_a)_s^2}{v_a^2} \right\}.$$

By (33), (36), and the fact that $R \geq r_0$ is preserved, we obtain the inequality

$$(37) \quad Q_t \leq -r_0 + \sum_a n_a \frac{n_a - 1 - 2\mu_a}{v_a^2} + \sum_a n_a (n_a - 1) \frac{(v_a)_s^2}{v_a^2} + \sum_{b \neq a} n_a n_b \frac{(v_a)_s (v_b)_s}{v_a v_b}.$$

We observe that at any point in space where $Q_{\min}(t)$ is attained, one has

$$\sum_a n_a \frac{(v_a)_s}{v_a} = 0,$$

which implies that at any such point,

$$\sum_{b \neq a} n_a n_b \frac{(v_a)_s (v_b)_s}{v_a v_b} = \sum_a \left(n_a \frac{(v_a)_s}{v_a} \left(\sum_{b \neq a} n_b \frac{(v_b)_s}{v_b} \right) \right) = - \sum_a n_a \frac{(v_a)_s^2}{v_a^2}.$$

Combining this with (37) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_{\min} \leq -r_0 + \sum_a n_a \frac{n_a - 1 - 2\mu_a}{v_a^2} - \sum_a n_a \frac{(v_a)_s^2}{v_a^2},$$

which by our assumption on μ_a implies that

$$(38) \quad \frac{d}{dt} Q_{\min} \leq -r_0 - \sum_a \frac{n_a \mu_a}{v_a^2}.$$

We define $P := v_1^{n_1} v_2^{n_2} \cdots v_A^{n_A}$, so that $Q = 2 \log(P)$. Then estimate (38) implies that at any spatial minimum of P , one has

$$P_t = \frac{1}{2} P Q_t \leq \frac{1}{2} P \left(-r_0 - \sum_a \frac{n_a \mu_a}{v_a^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} v_1^{n_1-2} v_2^{n_2} \cdots v_A^{n_A} (-r_0 v_1^2 - n_1 \mu_1).$$

A consequence of Lemma 7 is $v_1(\cdot, t) \leq \max v_1(\cdot, 0) = c_1$. Thus for c_1 sufficiently small, depending on r_0 and $n_1 \mu_1$, there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2}(r_0 v_1^2 + n_1 \mu_1) \geq c_2$. Furthermore, by our assumed lower bounds for v_2, \dots, v_A and the upper bounds given by Lemma 7, the product P is comparable to $v_1^{n_1}$. Thus there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_{\min} \leq -c_2 v_1^{n_1-2} v_2^{n_2} \cdots v_A^{n_A} \leq -c_3 P^{1-\frac{2}{n_1}}.$$

Integrating this from t to T and using $P_{\min}(T) = 0$ and the fact that $P^{\frac{1}{n_1}}$ and v_1 are comparable, we obtain $c_4, c_5 > 0$ such that

$$P(t)^{\frac{2}{n_1}} \geq c_4(T-t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_1^2(\cdot, t) \geq c_5(T-t).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Thus we have the following estimate for $v_{\min} := \min\{v_a : 1 \leq a \leq A\}$:

Corollary 20. *Assume a solution over \mathbb{S}^1 originates from initial data satisfying both Assumptions I and III. Then there exist uniform constants $0 < c < C < \infty$ such that $c(T-t) \leq v_{\min}^2(t) \leq (T-t)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$.*

Proof. Consequences of Lemma 19 are that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow T} (v_{\min}(t)) = 0$ and $v_{\min}(t) \geq c(T-t)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, where c is a uniform positive constant. The upper bound follows immediately from Lemma 7. \square

Remark 21. Lemma 19 and Corollary 20 now allow us to invoke Corollary 15, Theorem 16, and Corollary 17 from Section 4, yielding a limit

$$(\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{fl}}}, g_{\infty}),$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}$ is a nonflat gradient shrinking soliton of dimension $N_{\text{gs}} = 1 + n_1$ with a warped product structure.

We next show that $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}$ is a cylinder by analyzing $(v_1)_{ss}$.

We recall that v_1 controls the size of the fiber that crushes. To get better control on its second derivative, we now consider

$$L := v_1(v_1)_{ss} \log v_1.$$

Motivation to consider this quantity comes from a paper of the second author [AK04]. In the following theorem, we show that L is bounded from below in a suitable space-time neighborhood of a local singularity.

We define that neighborhood as follows: for fixed $0 < \delta \ll 1$, Corollary 20 implies that there exists $t_{\delta} \in [0, T)$ such that the radius of each neck (each local minimum of v_1) that becomes singular satisfies $v_1 \leq \delta$ for all $t_{\delta} \leq t < T$. By (2b), the Sturmian theorem [Ang88] applies to each v_a and implies that critical points are nondegenerate, except possibly where two critical points merge. Therefore, we may assume that $(v_1)_{ss} > 0$ at each local minimum of v_1 . It follows that

$$\Omega := \left\{ s \in \mathbb{S}^1 : (v_1)_{ss} \log \left(\frac{v_1}{\delta} \right) < 0 \right\}$$

is the union of an open interval around each neck for all $t \in (t_{\delta}, T)$.

Assumption IV. $\mu_1 = n_1 - 1$ and $(v_1)_s^2(\cdot, 0) \leq 1$.

By Lemma 9, it follows from this assumption that $(v_1)_s^2(s, t) \leq 1$ for as long as a smooth solution exists.

Theorem 22. Under Assumptions I, III, and IV, there exists a uniform positive constant C such that for as long as the flow exists,

$$L \geq -C \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

Proof. Corollary 14 and Corollary 20 imply $L \geq C \log(T - t_{\delta}) / \sqrt{T - t_{\delta}}$, at $t = t_{\delta}$. The definition of Ω guarantees $L = 0$ at the boundary of each component of Ω for all times $t_{\delta} < t < T$. To complete the proof, we need to show that L is bounded from below at all interior points for all $t \in [t_{\delta}, T)$. We show this by applying the maximum principle to the evolution of L , using the facts that $(v_1)_{ss} > 0$ and $v_1 < \delta$ inside Ω .

A straightforward but tedious computation yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} L = \Delta L - \frac{2L_s(v_1)_s}{v_1} \left(2 + (\log v_1)^{-1} \right) + \mathcal{N},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} := & -\frac{2\mu_1(\log v_1)(v_1)_s^2}{v_1^2} - \frac{2(\log v_1)(v_1)_s^4}{v_1^2} + 2v_1(\log v_1) \sum_b \frac{n_b(v_1)_s(v_b)_s^3}{v_b^3} \\ & - \frac{\mu_1(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} + \frac{4(v_1)_s^2(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} + \frac{2(v_1)_s^2(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1 \log v_1} + (8 - 4n_1) \frac{(\log v_1)(v_1)_s^2(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} \\ & - 2v_1(\log v_1)(v_1)_{ss} \sum_{b>1} \frac{(v_b)_s^2}{v_b^2} - 2(\log v_1)(v_1)_{ss}^2 - 2v_1(\log v_1) \sum_{b>1} \frac{(v_1)_s(v_b)_s(v_b)_{ss}}{v_b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now derive several inequalities. Using that $\log v_1 < 0$ and $(v_1)_{ss} > 0$ in Ω , we estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2\mu_1 \log v_1 (v_1)_s^2}{v_1^2} - \frac{2 \log v_1 (v_1)_s^4}{v_1^2} + \frac{2 n_1 \log v_1 (v_1)_s^4}{v_1^2} \\ & = -\frac{2 \log v_1}{v_1^2} (v_1)_s^2 (\mu_1 - (n_1 - 1) (v_1)_s^2) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we may estimate that

$$2v_1(\log v_1) \sum_{b>1} \frac{n_b(v_1)_s(v_b)_s^3}{v_b^3} \geq -C$$

for a uniform constant $C > 0$, since $v_b \geq \eta > 0$ for all $b \in \{2, \dots, A\}$. We also have

$$\frac{4(v_1)_s^2(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} + \frac{2(v_1)_s^2 v_{ss}}{v_1 \log v_1} + (8 - 4n_1) \frac{\log v_1 (v_1)_s^2 (v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} \geq 0$$

if $\delta > 0$ is small enough. Moreover, since $n_1 \geq 2$, we have

$$-2v_1(\log v_1) \sum_{b>1} \frac{(v_1)_s(v_b)_s(v_b)_{ss}}{v_b^2} \geq -\frac{C}{\sqrt{T-t}},$$

where we have used Lemma 8, Corollary 14, and Lemma 19.

Combining the inequalities derived above yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} L_{\min} \geq -C(T-t)^{-1/2} - 2 \frac{(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} \left(L_{\min} + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \right).$$

This implies at any interior point that either $L_{\min}(t) \geq -\frac{\mu_1}{2}$ or else that

$$\frac{d}{dt} L_{\min} \geq -C(T-t)^{-1/2},$$

which also implies a lower bound on $L_{\min}(t)$, since the right-hand side is integrable.

Because $L = 0$ at each point of $\partial\Omega$ and $L_{\min}(t_\delta) \geq C \log(T-t_\delta)/\sqrt{T-t_\delta}$, this complete the proof. \square

With Theorem 22 in hand, we are now ready to complete the following:

Proof of Main Theorem 2. By Remark 21, we have a limit $(\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_1}, g_\infty)$, where $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}$ is a nonflat gradient shrinking soliton of dimension $N_{\text{gs}} = 1 + n_1$. By the proof of Theorem 16, the sectional curvatures of the limit $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}$ are convex linear combinations of the limits of

$$\kappa_0 := -\frac{(v_1)_{ss}}{v_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_1 := \frac{1 - (v_1)_s^2}{v_1^2}.$$

We recall the density ϕ of s defined in (34). The commutator (35) shows that ϕ of s is increasing in Ω , hence that the diameter of Ω is increasing. It follows that the nonflat gradient shrinking soliton $\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}$ is noncompact, hence that it has the topology of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1}$. Estimate (39) implies that on that soliton, $(\kappa_0)_\infty = 0$ and $(\kappa_1)_\infty$ is constant and nonzero in space. Therefore, the limit must be the shrinking cylinder soliton; *i.e.*, the Gaussian metric on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_1}$.

More precisely, Theorem 22 implies the bound

$$(39) \quad |\kappa_0| \leq \frac{C}{v_1^2 |\log v_1|}.$$

Because $v_1 \searrow 0$ as $t \nearrow T$, this implies upon parabolic rescaling that $|\tilde{\kappa}_0| \rightarrow 0$ in the rescaled region $\tilde{\Omega}$. With this estimate in hand, the asymptotics are proved exactly as in Section 9 of [AK04]. We omit further details. This completes the proof. \square

6. MULTIPLY WARPED PRODUCTS OVER CLOSED SURFACES

In this section, we consider multiply warped products over a base manifold \mathcal{B}^2 that is a general closed surface, and we prove Theorem 3 and Corollary 4, using estimates we proved in Section 4. Recall that, in our choice of gauge, the Ricci flow system (3) over \mathcal{B}^2 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \check{g} &= -\check{R}\check{g} + 2 \sum_a n_a \nabla w_a \otimes \nabla w_a, \\ \partial_t w_a &= \check{\Delta} w_a - \mu_a e^{-2w_a}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 23. *Consider a Ricci flow solution over \mathcal{B}^2 that evolves from initial data satisfying Assumptions I, II, and III. Then there exist a singularity time $T < \infty$ and a uniform constant c so that*

$$\min v_a(\cdot, t) \geq c\sqrt{T-t}$$

for all fibers $1 \leq a \leq A$ and all times $0 \leq t < T$.

Proof. We define $\mathcal{Q} := 2 \sum_a n_a w_a$ and note that it follows from (2b) that

$$(\partial_t - \check{\Delta})\mathcal{Q} = 2 \sum_a n_a \left(-\mu_a v_a^{-2} + \sum_b n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle \right).$$

We recall from Appendix A.4 that the Ricci curvatures are

$$R_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \check{R} \check{g}_{ij} - \sum_a n_a v_a^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)_{ij},$$

and for each fiber \mathcal{F}_a with $a \in \{1, \dots, A\}$,

$$R_{\alpha\alpha} = \left(-n_a v_a^{-1} \check{\Delta} v_a + \mu_a v_a^{-2} - (n_a - 1) |\nabla w_a|^2 - \sum_{b \neq a} n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle \right) (g_a)_{\alpha\alpha}.$$

Thus we see that

$$R = \check{R} - 2 \sum_a n_a v_a^{-1} \check{\Delta} v_a + \sum_a n_a \mu_a v_a^{-2} + \sum_a n_a |\nabla w_a|^2 - \sum_{a,b} n_a n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle.$$

Since $\check{\Delta}w_a = v_a^{-1}\check{\Delta}v_a - |\nabla w_a|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_t &= 2 \sum_a n_a v_a^{-1} \check{\Delta}v_a - n_a |\nabla w_a|^2 - n_a \mu_a v_a^{-2} + \sum_b n_a n_b \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla w_b \rangle \\ &= \check{R} - R - \sum_a n_a \left(|\nabla w_a|^2 + \mu_a v_a^{-2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla w_a, \nabla \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right).\end{aligned}$$

At any point in space where $\mathcal{Q}_{\min}(t)$ is attained, we have $\nabla \mathcal{Q} = 0$ and thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{Q}_{\min} \leq \check{R} - R - n_1 \mu_1 v_1^{-2}.$$

Because $R_t = \Delta R + 2|\text{Rc}|^2 \geq \Delta R$, we have $R \geq r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ for as long as the solution remains smooth. It follows from Lemma 10 that $\check{R} \leq \max\{C_0, \frac{2\mu_1}{3v_1^2}\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = e^{\mathcal{Q}/2} = v_1^{n_1} \cdots v_A^{n_A}$. Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P}_{\min} \leq \frac{1}{2} v_1^{n_1-2} \cdots v_A^{n_A} \left(-n_1 \mu_1 + \frac{2}{3} \mu_1 - r_0 v_1^2 \right).$$

It follows from Lemma 7 $v_1(\cdot, t) \leq \max v_1(\cdot, 0) = c_1$. Thus for c_1 sufficiently small, depending on r_0 and $n_1 \mu_1$, there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2}(r_0 v_1^2 + n_1 \mu_1 - \frac{2}{3} \mu_1) \geq c_2$. Furthermore, by the upper bounds given by Lemma 7 and the lower bounds from Lemma 8, the product \mathcal{P} is comparable to $v_1^{n_1}$. Thus there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P}_{\min} \leq -c_2 v_1^{n_1-2} v_2^{n_2} \cdots v_A^{n_A} \leq -c_3 \mathcal{P}^{1-\frac{2}{n_1}}.$$

Integrating this from t to T and using $\mathcal{P}_{\min}(T) = 0$ and the fact that $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{n_1}}$ and v_1 are comparable, there exist $c_4, c_5 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}(t)^{\frac{2}{n_1}} \geq c_4(T-t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_1^2(\cdot, t) \geq c_5(T-t).$$

This completes the proof. \square

With this result in hand, one obtains an exact analog of Corollary 20 by replacing Lemma 19 with Lemma 23, yielding the following conclusion:

Corollary 24. *Assume a solution over \mathcal{B}^2 originates from initial data that satisfy Assumptions I, II, and III. Then there exist uniform constants $0 < c < C < \infty$ such that $c(T-t) \leq v_{\min}^2(t) \leq (T-t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Recalling the two-sided bounds for \check{R} given by Lemmas 10 and 11, using Lemma 23 and Corollary 24 now allows us to invoke Corollary 15, Theorem 16, and Corollary 17 from Section 4, yielding a direct product limit

$$(\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{fl}}}, g_{\infty}),$$

where $g_{\infty} = g_{\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}} + g_{\text{fl}}$, and $(\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}, g_{\mathcal{K}^{N_{\text{gs}}}})$ is a nonflat gradient shrinking soliton of dimension $N_{\text{gs}} = 2 + n_1$ with a warped product structure over a complete noncompact two dimensional base $\check{\mathcal{B}}$ on $K_{\text{gs}} = \check{\mathcal{B}} \times S^{m_1}$. Here $N_{\text{fl}} = n_2 + \dots + n_A$. \square

We now prove Corollary 4.

Proof of Corollary 4. Let us assume that $n_1 = 2$. Without loss of generality, the Assumptions can be arranged so that the fiber that crushes is \mathbb{S}^2 . By Theorem 3, we know that our singularity is Type-I, and after Type-I rescaling, our singularity model is $(\mathcal{K}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^{N_n}, g_\infty)$, with a direct product metric $g_{\mathcal{K}^4} + g_{\text{eucl}}$, where $N_{fl} = n_2 + \dots + n_A$. Here g_{eucl} is the Euclidean metric on the factor \mathbb{R}^{N_n} , $\mathcal{K}^4 = \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and $g_{\mathcal{K}^4}$ is a warped product metric over a two-dimensional base $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. By Theorem 1, we know that the shrinker $(\mathcal{K}^4, g_{\mathcal{K}^4})$ is isometric to a generalized cylinder $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with a standard cylindrical metric, as claimed. \square

APPENDIX A. GEOMETRIC BASICS

A.1. Metrics. Here we review the geometry of a multiply warped product manifold

$$\left(\mathcal{M}^N := \mathcal{B}^n \times \mathcal{F}_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_A^{n_A}, g := g_{\mathcal{B}} + \sum_{a=1}^A v_a^2 g_{\mathcal{F}_a} \right),$$

where $N := n + \sum n_a$.

If we work in local coordinates, we use lowercase Roman indices $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ on the base and Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, \dots, n_a\}$ in each fiber $a \in \{1, \dots, A\}$. We use uppercase Roman indices to range over all possible values.

A.2. Connections. Using the convention explained above, one finds that the Levi-Civita connection of (1) has a block structure determined by

$$(40a) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \check{\Gamma}_{ij}^k,$$

$$(40b) \quad (\Gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta}^k = -\frac{\partial_k v_a}{v_a} (g_a)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\Gamma_a)_{i\beta}^\gamma = \frac{\partial_i v_a}{v_a} \delta_\beta^\gamma,$$

$$(40c) \quad (\Gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = (\hat{\Gamma}_a)_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma.$$

A.3. A few elementary formulas. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any smooth function. By recalling some facts from [CIKS22], we note the following basic identities:

$$(41) \quad |\nabla\varphi|^2 = |\check{\nabla}\varphi|_{\check{g}}^2,$$

$$(42) \quad \nabla^2\varphi = (\check{\nabla}^2)\varphi + \sum_a \frac{\langle \nabla v_a, \nabla\varphi \rangle}{v_a} g_a,$$

$$(43) \quad \Delta\varphi = \check{\Delta}\varphi + \sum_a n_a \frac{\langle \nabla v_a, \nabla\varphi \rangle}{v_a},$$

$$(44) \quad |\nabla^2\varphi|^2 = |\check{\nabla}^2\varphi|_{\check{g}}^2 + \sum_a n_a \frac{\langle \nabla v_a, \nabla\varphi \rangle^2}{v_a^2}.$$

A.4. Curvatures. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, A\}$. Here, we unpack Lemma 33 of [CIKS22], where capital Roman indices range over all possible values and we employ the conventions that $R_{IJKL} = R_{IJK}^M g_{LM}$ and hence that

$$(g_\alpha \otimes g_\beta)_{\sigma\tau\nu\omega} = (g_\alpha)_{\sigma\omega} (g_\beta)_{\tau\nu} + (g_\alpha)_{\tau\nu} (g_\beta)_{\sigma\omega} - (g_\alpha)_{\sigma\nu} (g_\beta)_{\tau\omega} - (g_\alpha)_{\tau\omega} (g_\beta)_{\sigma\nu}.$$

In this way, we find that the curvature operator has four flavors of components encoding its sectional curvatures. To be precise, $R_{ABCD} = \text{Rm}(e_A \wedge e_B, e_D \wedge e_C)$

depends on the planes $e_A \wedge e_B$ and $e_C \wedge e_D$ as follows:

(a) Base paired with base:

$$(45a) \quad R_{ijkl} = \check{R}_{ijkl},$$

(b) Fiber paired with itself:

$$(45b) \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \in \left\{ a \in \mathcal{A}: v_a^2 (\hat{R}_a)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - v_a^{-2} |\nabla v_a|^2 ((g_a)_{\alpha\delta} (g_a)_{\beta\gamma} - (g_a)_{\alpha\gamma} (g_a)_{\beta\delta}) \right\},$$

(c) Fiber paired with a distinct fiber:

$$(45c) \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \in \left\{ a \neq b \in \mathcal{A}: -v_a^{-1} v_b^{-1} \langle \nabla v_a, \nabla v_b \rangle (g_a)_{\alpha\delta} (g_b)_{\beta\gamma} \right\},$$

(d) Base paired with fiber:

$$(45d) \quad R_{i\beta\gamma\ell} \in \left\{ a \in \mathcal{A}: -v_a^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)_{i\ell} (g_a)_{\beta\gamma} \right\}.$$

These formulas become more tractable if each fiber is a space form.

Caution. We warn the reader that, while $R_{ijkl} = \check{R}_{ijkl}$, the situation with Ricci curvature is not so simple. Indeed, one sees easily that

$$R_{ij} = g^{AB} R_{iABj} = \check{g}^{kl} R_{iklj} + \sum_a (g_a)^{\alpha\beta} R_{i\alpha\beta j} = \check{R}_{ij} - \sum_a n_a v_a^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)_{ij}.$$

APPENDIX B. HESSIAN EVOLUTION

Here, we compute the evolution of the covariant Hessian of a general warping function v_a . To begin our derivation, we recall the evolution equation (2b) for v_a . Denoting the Lichnerowicz Laplacian by Δ_ℓ , we then have the commutator

$$(46) \quad (\partial_t - \Delta_\ell) \nabla^2 v_a = \nabla^2 (\partial_t - \Delta) v_a = -\nabla^2 Z_a,$$

where

$$(47) \quad Z_a := \frac{\mu_a + |\nabla v_a|^2}{v_a}.$$

We next convert the Lichnerowicz Laplacian into the rough Laplacian using

$$(\Delta_\ell \nabla^2 v_a)_{IJ} = (\Delta \nabla^2 v_a)_{IJ} + 2R_{IPQJ} (\nabla^2 v_a)^{PQ} - 2(\text{Rc} * \nabla^2 v_a)_{IJ}.$$

We do not need to expand the Ricci terms because they cancel terms that appear in the evolution of g^{-1} where we compute $(|\nabla^2 v_a|)_t$.

We schematically decompose

$$R_{IPQJ} (\nabla^2 v_a)^{PQ} = A_{ij} + B_{\sigma\tau} + C_{\sigma\tau} + D_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$A_{ij} := R_{iPQj} (\nabla^2 v_a)^{PQ},$$

$$B_{\sigma\tau} := R_{\sigma k \ell \tau} (\nabla^2 v_a)^{k\ell},$$

$$C_{\sigma\tau} := \sum_b v_b^2 (\hat{R}_b)_{\sigma\nu\omega\tau} (\nabla^2 v_a)^{\nu\omega},$$

$$D_{\sigma\tau} := \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_b \sum_c v_b^{-1} v_c^{-1} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_c \rangle (g_b \otimes g_c)_{\sigma\nu\omega\tau} \right) \left(\sum_d v_d^{-1} \langle \nabla v_d, \nabla v_a \rangle (g_d)^{\nu\omega} \right).$$

In the derivation, it is useful to recall the curvature formulas from Appendix A.4.

By using formula (42) and the curvatures where at least one plane is tangent to the base, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{ij} &= R_{ik\ell j}(\nabla^2 v_a)^{k\ell} + \sum_b (R_b)_{i\tau\nu j}(\nabla^2 v_a)^{\tau\nu}, \\
&= \check{R}_{ik\ell j}(\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)^{k\ell} - \left(\sum_b v_b^{-1}(\check{\nabla}^2 v_b)_{ij}(g_b)_{\tau\nu} \right) \left(\sum_c v_c^{-1} \langle \nabla v_c, \nabla v_a \rangle (g_c)^{\tau\nu} \right) \\
(48) \quad &= \check{R}_{ik\ell j}(\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)^{k\ell} - \sum_b n_b v_b^{-2} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle (\check{\nabla}^2 v_b)_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using the same formulas, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\sigma\tau} &= - \sum_b v_b^{-1} (\check{\nabla}^2 v_b)_{k\ell} (\check{\nabla}^2 v_a)^{k\ell} (g_b)_{\sigma\tau} \\
(49) \quad &= - \sum_b v_b^{-1} \langle \check{\nabla}^2 v_b, \check{\nabla}^2 v_a \rangle_{\check{g}} (g_b)_{\sigma\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by using the fact that each fiber is Einstein with $\hat{R}_{C_b} = \mu_b \hat{g}_b$ and again using formula (42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\sigma\tau} &= \sum_b (\hat{R}_b)_{\sigma\tau} v_b^{-1} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle \\
(50) \quad &= \sum_b \mu_b v_b^{-3} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle (g_b)_{\sigma\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we again have recourse to Lemma 33 of [CIKS22], using the observation

$$(51) \quad \frac{1}{2} (g_d)^{\nu\omega} \sum_b \sum_c (g_b \otimes g_c)_{\sigma\nu\omega\tau} = (n_d - 1)(g_d)_{\sigma\tau} + n_d \sum_{c \neq d} (g_c)_{\sigma\tau}$$

to calculate that

$$(52a) \quad D_{\sigma\tau} = - \sum_b (n_b - 1) v_b^{-3} |\nabla v_b|^2 \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle (g_b)_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$(52b) \quad - \sum_b n_b v_b^{-2} \sum_{c \neq b} v_c^{-1} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_c \rangle \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle (g_c)_{\sigma\tau}.$$

After accounting for the evolution of the g^{-1} factors in $|\nabla^2 v_a|^2$, our work above shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(|\nabla^2 v_a|^2)_t &= 2 \left\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \Delta \nabla^2 v_a + 2(A + B + C + D) - \nabla^2 Z_a \right\rangle \\
&= \Delta(|\nabla^2 v_a|^2) - 2|\nabla^3 v_a|^2 - 2\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 Z_a \rangle + 4\langle \nabla^2 v_a, A + B + C + D \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where Z_a is defined in (47).

We expand the final quantity above using (48)–(52) and collect terms to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (53a) \quad & (|\nabla^2 v_a|^2)_t = \Delta(|\nabla^2 v_a|^2) - 2|\nabla^3 u|^2 - 2\langle \nabla^2 v_a, \nabla^2 Z_a \rangle \\
 (53b) \quad & + 4\check{\text{Rm}}(\check{\nabla}^2 v_a, \check{\nabla}^2 v_a) - 4 \sum_b n_b v_b^{-2} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle \langle \check{\nabla}^2 v_b, \check{\nabla}^2 v_a \rangle_{\check{g}} \\
 (53c) \quad & + 4 \sum_b n_b \{ \mu_b - (n_b - 1) |\nabla v_b|^2 \} v_b^{-4} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle^2 \\
 (53d) \quad & - 4 \sum_b \sum_{c \neq b} n_b n_c v_b^{-2} v_c^{-2} \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_c \rangle \langle \nabla v_b, \nabla v_a \rangle \langle \nabla v_c, \nabla v_a \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

This result is summarized as Lemma 12 above.

REFERENCES

- [Ang88] ANGENENT, SIGURD B. The zero set of a solution of a parabolic equation. *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)* **390**, 79–96, 1988.
- [AK04] ANGENENT, SIGURD B.; KNOPF, DAN. An example of neckpinching for Ricci flow on S^{n+1} . *Math. Res. Lett.* **11** (2004), no. 4, 493–518.
- [AK07] ANGENENT, SIGURD B.; KNOPF, DAN. Precise asymptotics of the Ricci flow neckpinch. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **15** (2007), no. 4, 773–844.
- [Besse] BESSE, ARTHUR. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [CIKS22] CARSON, TIMOTHY; ISENBERG, JAMES; KNOPF, DAN; ŠEŠUM, NATAŠA. Singularity formation of complete Ricci flow solutions. *Adv. Math.* **403** (2022) 108326.
- [BLC09] CHEN, BING-LONG. Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, **82** (2009), no. 2, 363–382.
- [CM12] COLDING, TOBIAS HOLCK; MINICOZZI, WILLIAM P. Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities. *Ann. Math.* **175** (2012), no. 2, 755–833.
- [CM22] COLDING, TOBIAS HOLCK; MINICOZZI, WILLIAM P. Singularities of Ricci flow and diffeomorphisms. arxiv.org/abs/2109.06240v2.
- [CZ10] CAO, HUAI-DONG; ZHOU, DETANG. On complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. *J. Differential Geom.*, **85.2** (2010): 175–186.
- [DZ15] DENG, YUXING; ZHU, XIAOHUA. Complete non-compact gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative Ricci curvature. *Math. Z.*, **279.1** (2015): 211–226.
- [EMT11] ENDERS, JOERG; MÜLLER (BUZANO), RETO; TOPPING, PETER M. On type-I singularities in Ricci flow. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **19** (2011), no. 5, 905–922.
- [Ham86] HAMILTON, RICHARD S. Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator. *J. Differential Geom.* **24** (1986), 153–179.
- [IKS16] ISENBERG, JAMES; KNOPF, DAN; ŠEŠUM, NATAŠA. Ricci flow neckpinches without rotational symmetry. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **41** (2016), no. 12, 1860–1894.
- [LNW18] LI, XIAOLONG; NI, LEI; WANG, KUI. Four-dimensional gradient shrinking solitons with positive isotropic curvature. *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2018.3** (2018): 949–959.
- [MS13] MUNTEANU, OVIDIU; ŠEŠUM, NATAŠA. On gradient Ricci solitons. *Journal of Geometric Analysis* **23** (2013): 539–561.
- [ONB66] O’NEILL, BARRETT. The fundamental equations of a submersion. *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 459–469.
- [Sim00] SIMON, MILES. A class of Riemannian manifolds that pinch when evolved by Ricci flow. *Manuscripta Math.* **101** (2000), no. 1, 89–114.
- [Tas65] TASHIRO, Y. Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **117** (1965), 251–275.

(James Isenberg) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

Email address: isenberg@uoregon.edu

URL: <http://www.uoregon.edu/~isenberg/>

(Dan Knopf) UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

Email address: danknopf@math.utexas.edu

URL: <http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/danknopf>

(Zilu Ma) RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

Email address: zm227@math.rutgers.edu

(Nataša Šešum) RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

Email address: natasas@math.rutgers.edu

URL: <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~natasas/>