A Strong Form of the Quantitative Wulff Inequality for Crystalline Norms

Kenneth DeMason

University of Texas at Austin

October 20, 2024

AMS 2024 Fall Eastern Sectional Meeting, University at Albany. Special Session on Regularity of Nonlinear Equations and Free Boundary Problems

### Anisotropy

Many physical phenomena can be explained in terms of energy minimization. E.g., soap bubbles are spheres because they need to minimize surface tension with a constrained volume.

The formation of crystals in the small mass regime can be explained similarly. Thermodynamically, crystals at equilibrium should minimize Gibbs free energy:

$$
\Delta G := \sum_{i} \gamma_i A_i = \lambda \sum_{i} h_i A_i,
$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is the surface energy per unit area and  $A_i$  is the area of the *i*th face. The equality is due to Wulff, where he interpreted the problem in terms of a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $h_i$  is the distance to each face.

K ロ X (日) Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 2 / 20

### Anisotropy

Many physical phenomena can be explained in terms of energy minimization. E.g., soap bubbles are spheres because they need to minimize surface tension with a constrained volume.

The formation of crystals in the small mass regime can be explained similarly. Thermodynamically, crystals at equilibrium should minimize Gibbs free energy:

$$
\Delta G := \sum_{i} \gamma_i A_i = \lambda \sum_{i} h_i A_i,
$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is the surface energy per unit area and  $A_i$  is the area of the ith face. The equality is due to Wulff, where he interpreted the problem in terms of a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $h_i$  is the distance to each face.

K ロ K x (日) X X B X X B X X B X X Q Q Q Q Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 2 / 20

### Anisotropy

Many physical phenomena can be explained in terms of energy minimization. E.g., soap bubbles are spheres because they need to minimize surface tension with a constrained volume.

The formation of crystals in the small mass regime can be explained similarly. Thermodynamically, crystals at equilibrium should minimize Gibbs free energy:

$$
\Delta G := \sum_{i} \gamma_i A_i = \lambda \sum_{i} h_i A_i,
$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is the surface energy per unit area and  $A_i$  is the area of the *i*th face. The equality is due to Wulff, where he interpreted the problem in terms of a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $h_i$  is the distance to each face.

K ロ K x (日) X X B X X B X X B X X Q Q Q Q Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 2 / 20

# Anisotropy: Wulff Shapes

These optimal configurations are called Wulff shapes. In general,

#### Definition

A *Wulff shape* is an open, bounded, convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin.

There are two important 1-homogeneous non-negative functions naturally associated to *K*:

- The *surface tension*  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ , for which  $f(\nu)$  is the distance from the origin to the supporting hyperplane of *K* with normal *ν*. Typically view *f* as a function on  $S^{n-1}$ .
- The gauge function  $f_* : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ , for which  $K = \{f_* < 1\}$ .

# Anisotropy: Wulff Shapes

These optimal configurations are called Wulff shapes. In general,

#### Definition

A *Wulff shape* is an open, bounded, convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin.

There are two important 1-homogeneous non-negative functions naturally associated to *K*:

- The *surface tension*  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ , for which  $f(\nu)$  is the distance from the origin to the supporting hyperplane of  $K$  with normal  $\nu$ . Typically view *f* as a function on  $S^{n-1}$ .
- The gauge function  $f_* : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ , for which  $K = \{f_* < 1\}$ .

# Anisotropy: The Surface Tension and Gauge

The surface tension and gauge function are always semi-norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , norms when *K* is symmetric about the origin. In this case,  $f$  and  $f_*$ are dual to each other.

For example, if  $f_* = \ell^p$  then  $f = \ell^q$ , for  $p, q$  conjugate exponents.

In fact, we always have

$$
f(\nu) = \sup\{\langle x, \nu \rangle \mid f_*(x) < 1\}
$$
\n
$$
f_*(x) = \sup\{\langle x, \nu \rangle \mid f(\nu) < 1\},
$$

so that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\nu \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$
\langle x,\nu\rangle\leq f(\nu)f_*(x).
$$

This is known as the *Fenchel inequality.*

K ロ X (個) X モ X (モ) (モ) モ の Q (V Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 4/20

# Anisotropy: The Surface Tension and Gauge

The surface tension and gauge function are always semi-norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , norms when *K* is symmetric about the origin. In this case,  $f$  and  $f_*$ are dual to each other.

For example, if  $f_* = \ell^p$  then  $f = \ell^q$ , for  $p, q$  conjugate exponents.

In fact, we always have

$$
f(\nu) = \sup \{ \langle x, \nu \rangle \mid f_*(x) < 1 \}
$$
  

$$
f_*(x) = \sup \{ \langle x, \nu \rangle \mid f(\nu) < 1 \},
$$

so that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\nu \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$
\langle x,\nu\rangle\leq f(\nu)f_*(x).
$$

This is known as the *Fenchel inequality.*

K ロ X (個) X モ X (モ) (モ) モ の Q (V Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 4/20

# Anisotropy: The Surface Tension and Gauge

The surface tension and gauge function are always semi-norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , norms when *K* is symmetric about the origin. In this case,  $f$  and  $f_*$ are dual to each other.

For example, if  $f_* = \ell^p$  then  $f = \ell^q$ , for  $p, q$  conjugate exponents.

In fact, we always have

$$
f(\nu) = \sup\{\langle x, \nu \rangle \mid f_*(x) < 1\}
$$
\n
$$
f_*(x) = \sup\{\langle x, \nu \rangle \mid f(\nu) < 1\},
$$

so that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\nu \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$
\langle x, \nu \rangle \le f(\nu) f_*(x).
$$

This is known as the *Fenchel inequality.*

K ロ X (個) X モ X (モ) (モ) モ の Q (V Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 4/20

# Anisotropy: The Anisotropic Perimeter

Given a Wulff shape *K* we can ask the following question: For what energy functional  $\Phi$  is  $K$  the volume-constrained minimizer? I.e., find  $\Phi$  such that

 $E \in \arg \min \{ \Phi(F) \mid |F| = v \}$  if and only if  $E = rK + x_0, |rK| = v$ .

It turns out the following energy is appropriate

#### Definition

The *anisotropic perimeter* (associated to *K*) is given by

$$
\Phi(E) = \int_{\partial^* E} f(\nu_E(x)) \ d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).
$$

The isotropic perimeter is recovered when  $f = \ell^2$ , for which the Wulff shape is a ball.



# Anisotropy: Crystalline Setting

#### When  $K$  is a polytope we say that  $\Phi$  is crystalline. We denote by  $N$ the number of facets of  $K$ , by  $F_i$  a generic  $n-1$  dimensional facet, and by  $\nu_i$  the outer unit normal of this facet.

In this setting we have that  $f(\nu_i) = h_i$ , the distance from the origin to the supporting hyperplane of *F<sup>i</sup>* . In particular,

$$
\Phi(K) = \int_{\partial^* K} f(\nu_K(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(F_i),
$$

which is precisely the form the minimum Gibbs free energy takes for a crystal at equilibrium.

# Anisotropy: Crystalline Setting

When  $K$  is a polytope we say that  $\Phi$  is crystalline. We denote by  $N$ the number of facets of  $K$ , by  $F_i$  a generic  $n-1$  dimensional facet, and by  $\nu_i$  the outer unit normal of this facet.

In this setting we have that  $f(\nu_i) = h_i$ , the distance from the origin to the supporting hyperplane of  $F_i$ . In particular,

$$
\Phi(K) = \int_{\partial^* K} f(\nu_K(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(F_i),
$$

which is precisely the form the minimum Gibbs free energy takes for a crystal at equilibrium.

# Anisotropy: The Wulff Inequality

 $\Phi$  is the right generalization to use because we have an anisotropic version of the isoperimetric inequality known as the *Wulff inequality*:

$$
\Phi(E) \ge n|K|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n}
$$

with equality if and only if  $|E\Delta(rK + x_0)| = 0$  for some  $r > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is the same as the isoperimetric inequality with  $\Phi$  in place of  $P$ and  $K = \{f_* < 1\}$  in place of  $B_1$ . We have a rigidity statement, so we can ask about stability.

# Anisotropy: The Wulff Inequality

 $\Phi$  is the right generalization to use because we have an anisotropic version of the isoperimetric inequality known as the *Wulff inequality*:

$$
\Phi(E) \ge n|K|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n}
$$

with equality if and only if  $|E\Delta(rK + x_0)| = 0$  for some  $r > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is the same as the isoperimetric inequality with  $\Phi$  in place of  $P$ and  $K = \{f_* < 1\}$  in place of  $B_1$ . We have a rigidity statement, so we can ask about stability.

## Quantitative Stability: The isotropic setting

To discuss quantitative stability we introduce the following scale invariant quantities.

Closeness to equality: Define the *isoperimetric deficit δ* as

$$
\delta(E) = \frac{P(E)}{n|B_1|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n}} - 1
$$

which is always non-negative owing to the isoperimetric inequality, and is zero precisely when *E* is essentially a ball.

Closeness to a ball: Use an *asymmetry index α*. Supposed to capture the geometry and is also such that  $\alpha(E) = 0$  iff *E* is essentially a ball.

Qualitative stability says given  ${E_j}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , if  $\delta(E_j) \to 0$  then  $\alpha(E_j) \to 0$ . Quantitative stability quantifies this control, e.g.  $\alpha(E)^p \leq \delta(E)$  for all sets of finite perimeter. **K ロ X K 레 X K 및 X K 및 X X D X X X X 및 X Y X Q Q Q** 

# Quantitative Stability: Asymmetry Indexes

Many kinds, heuristically measure the distance to the set of minimizers  ${B_r(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0}.$  For ex. the Hausdorff distance.

The most common asymmetry index is the *Fraenkel asymmetry*

$$
\alpha(E) = \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|E \Delta B_r(x_0)|}{|E|} \middle| |B_r| = |E| \right\}.
$$

# Quantitative Stability: Asymmetry Indexes

Many kinds, heuristically measure the distance to the set of minimizers  ${B_r(x_0) \mid x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0}.$  For ex. the Hausdorff distance.

The most common asymmetry index is the *Fraenkel asymmetry*

$$
\alpha(E) = \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|E \Delta B_r(x_0)|}{|E|} \mid |B_r| = |E| \right\}.
$$



 $2980$ 



### Quantitative Stability: Previous Results

#### Theorem (Fusco-Maggi-Pratelli, '08)

*There exists*  $C(n) > 0$  *such that for any set of finite perimeter*  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *with*  $0 < |E| < \infty$ *,* 

 $\alpha(E)^2 \le C(n)\delta(E).$  (Q.S.)

*The power of* 2 *in* (Q.S.) *is sharp.*

#### The proof exploits symmetrization techniques a la De Giorgi.

Previous results by Fuglede '89, Hall-Hayman-Weitsman '91, and Hall '92 prove (Q.S.) under various other hypotheses, e.g. if *E* is convex, nearly spherical, smooth, and/or axially symmetric.

### Quantitative Stability: Previous Results

#### Theorem (Fusco-Maggi-Pratelli, '08)

*There exists*  $C(n) > 0$  *such that for any set of finite perimeter*  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *with*  $0 < |E| < \infty$ *,* 

$$
\alpha(E)^2 \le C(n)\delta(E). \tag{Q.S.}
$$

*The power of* 2 *in* (Q.S.) *is sharp.*

The proof exploits symmetrization techniques a la De Giorgi.

Previous results by Fuglede '89, Hall-Hayman-Weitsman '91, and Hall '92 prove (Q.S.) under various other hypotheses, e.g. if *E* is convex, nearly spherical, smooth, and/or axially symmetric.

# Quantitative Stability: Selection Principle

In '12 Cicalese-Leonardi showed sharp quantitative stability for the isoperimetric inequality by exploiting the regularity of almost minimizers. This technique became known as the *selection principle.*

Idea: proof by contradiction

- Use selection principle to replace original sequence with a new one with upgraded regularity, while still maintaining the contradictory hypothesis.
- Prove directly sharp stability with upgraded regularity.
- Derive a contradiction.

Does not use symmetrization!

# Quantitative Stability: Selection Principle

In '12 Cicalese-Leonardi showed sharp quantitative stability for the isoperimetric inequality by exploiting the regularity of almost minimizers. This technique became known as the *selection principle.*

Idea: proof by contradiction

- Use selection principle to replace original sequence with a new one with upgraded regularity, while still maintaining the contradictory hypothesis.
- Prove directly sharp stability with upgraded regularity.
- Derive a contradiction.

Does not use symmetrization!

# Quantitative Stability: A Strong Form

In '14 Fusco-Julin, using the selection principle, proved the following strong form of (Q.S.).

Theorem (Fusco-Julin, '14)

*There exists*  $C(n) > 0$  *such that for any set of finite perimeter*  $E$  *with*  $0 < |E| < \infty$ ,  $\alpha(E)^2 + \beta(E)^2 \le C(n)\delta(E)$ 

where  $\beta(E)$  is the *oscillation index*.

# Quantitative Stability: Oscillation Index

The oscillation index  $\beta$  is defined as

$$
\beta(E) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ c(n, E) \int_{\partial^* E} \left[ 1 - \frac{\langle x - y, \nu_E(x) \rangle}{|x - y|} \right] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right\}^{1/2}
$$

where  $c(n, E) = 1/(n|B_1|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n})$ . It measures the deviation from equality in Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$
\langle (x-y)/|x-y|, \nu_E(x)\rangle \le 1,
$$

with equality if and only if  $(x - y)/|x - y| = \nu_E(x).$ 



### Quantitative Stability: Oscillation Index vs *H*<sup>1</sup>

We suppose here that *E* is *nearly spherical*, i.e.  $\partial E = \{x + u(x)x \mid x \in \partial B_1\}$  with  $u \in C^1(\partial B_1)$  and  $||u||_{W^{1,\infty}(\partial B_1)}$ small. In this case can parametrize  $\partial E$  in terms of  $\partial B_1$  and compute

$$
\beta(E)^2 \lesssim ||u||^2_{H^1(\partial B_1)}.
$$

On the other hand, Fuglede and Fusco-Julin show, respectively, that if *E* is nearly spherical then

$$
\frac{1}{10}||u||_{H^1(\partial B_1)}^2 \le \delta(E).
$$

and there exists  $C(n) > 0$  such that (for any set of finite perimeter)

$$
\alpha(E) + \delta(E)^{1/2} \le C(n)\beta(E).
$$

In particular since  $\alpha(E) > 0$ ,  $\delta(E) \leq C(n)\beta(E)^2$ , we also have  $||u||_{H^1(\partial B_1)}^2 \lesssim \beta(E)^2$ . 

Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 14/20

# Anisotropy: Deficit, Asymmetry, and Oscillation

We define the anisotropic deficit, Fraenkel asymmetry, and oscillation index as

$$
\delta_{\Phi}(E) := \frac{\Phi(E)}{n|K|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n}} - 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha_{\Phi}(E) := \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|E\Delta(rK + x_0)|}{|E|} \middle| |rK| = |E| \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_{\Phi}(E) := \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ c_{\Phi}(n, E) \int_{\partial^* E} \left[ f(\nu_E(x)) - \frac{\langle x - y, \nu_E(x) \rangle}{f_*(x - y)} \right] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right\}^{1/2}
$$

where  $c_{\Phi}(n, K) = 1/(n|K|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n})$ . Notice the integrand for  $\beta_{\Phi}$ comes from the Fenchel inequality

$$
\langle x - y, \nu \rangle \le f(\nu) f_*(x - y)
$$

where equality occurs if and only if  $\{(x - y, v) = f(v)\}$  is a supporting hyperplane for *K* at  $x - y$ . 

# Anisotropy: Deficit, Asymmetry, and Oscillation

We define the anisotropic deficit, Fraenkel asymmetry, and oscillation index as

$$
\delta_{\Phi}(E) := \frac{\Phi(E)}{n|K|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n}} - 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha_{\Phi}(E) := \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|E\Delta(rK + x_0)|}{|E|} \mid |rK| = |E| \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_{\Phi}(E) := \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ c_{\Phi}(n, E) \int_{\partial^* E} \left[ f(\nu_E(x)) - \frac{\langle x - y, \nu_E(x) \rangle}{f_*(x - y)} \right] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right\}^{1/2}
$$

where  $c_{\Phi}(n, K) = 1/(n|K|^{1/n}|E|^{(n-1)/n})$ . Notice the integrand for  $\beta_{\Phi}$ comes from the Fenchel inequality

$$
\langle x - y, \nu \rangle \le f(\nu) f_*(x - y)
$$

where equality occurs if and only if  $\{\langle x-y, \nu \rangle = f(\nu)\}\$ is a supporting hyperplane for  $K$  at  $x - y$ .  $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccc} 4 & \Box & \mathbf{1} &$ 

Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 15/20

# Anisotropy: Previous Results

Theorem (Figalli-Maggi-Pratelli, '12)

*There exists*  $C(n) > 0$  *such that for any set of finite perimeter with*  $0 < |E| < \infty$ ,

$$
\alpha_{\Phi}(E)^2 \le C(n)\delta_{\Phi}(E).
$$

*The power of* 2 *is sharp.*

#### Theorem (Neumayer '16)

*If K is uniformly convex there exists*  $C(n, ..., ||\nabla^2 f||_{C^0(\partial K)}) > 0$ *such that*

 $\alpha_{\Phi}(E)^2 + \beta_{\Phi}(E)^2 \leq C\delta_{\Phi}(E)$ .

 $2990$ 

• If instead  $n = 2$  and  $K$  *is a polygon (a crystalline case), there exists*  $C(K) > 0$  *such that the above holds.* 

# Main Result

The following is the main result, a direct generalization of Neumayer's result in the crystalline  $n = 2$  setting.

Theorem (D. '24)

Let *K* be a polytope. There exists  $C(n, K) > 0$  such that for any set of *finite perimeter*  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *with*  $0 < |E| < \infty$ ,

 $\alpha_{\Phi}(E)^{2} + \beta_{\Phi}(E)^{2} \le C(n, K)\delta_{\Phi}(E).$ 

### Comparison to Isotropic Case

#### Remark

- In the anisotropic setting we lack symmetry, so in particular we cannot appeal to symmetrization techniques as in the isotropic setting.
- The Figalli-Maggi-Pratelli result uses optimal transport methods, Neumayer uses the selection principle
- Only weak regularity theory is available. For a generic Wulff shape *K* can only conclude almost minimizers satisfy uniform density estimates, not  $(\Lambda, r_0)$ -minimizer.
- Need to pair uniform density estimates with  $L^1$ -closeness (by FMP) to get Hausdorff closeness.
- Further, in the crystalline setting  $\nabla^2 f \equiv 0$  making the problem degenerate elliptic.

 $2980$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ 

(ロ) (個) (差) (差)

### Overview of Argument

- Step 1: Prove the result for *parallel* polytopes.
- Step 2: Prove the result for *E* satisfying uniform density estimates. Allows to upgrade  $L^1$  control to Hausdorff.

#### Theorem (Figalli-Zhang '22)

*There exists*  $\sigma(n, K) > 0$  *and*  $\gamma(n, K) > 0$  *such that for any set of finite perimeter*  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *with*  $|E| = |K|$  *and*  $|E \Delta K| \leq \sigma$ *, there exists a parallel polytope*  $K'$  *such that*  $|K'| = |K|$  *and* 

 $\Phi(E) - \Phi(K') \ge \gamma |E\Delta K'|$ 

Step 3: Selection Principle. With minimizing sequence  ${E_j}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , choose

Kenneth DeMason (UT Austin) Strong Form Crystalline Wulff October 20, 2024 19/20

$$
F_j \in \arg\min\{\Phi(F) + C_1|\beta_{\Phi}(F)^2 - \beta_{\Phi}(E_j)^2| + C_2||F| - |K||\}
$$

 $($  ロ )  $($   $\theta$  )  $($   $\theta$   $)$   $($   $\theta$   $)$ 

 $ORO$ 그래?

Thanks for coming!