

Steady states of a Boltzmann equation for driven granular media

J. A. Carrillo

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

C. Cercignani

Politecnico di Milano, Milano, ITALY

I. M. Gamba

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

(October 4, 2000)

Abstract

We study a three dimensional model for driven granular media in which particles interact inelastically while they follow Brownian dynamics in between collisions. A steady Boltzmann-type kinetic equation associated to a pseudo-Maxwellian model is analysed. Homogeneous steady states are found by a small inelasticity expansion. These states are given by a Maxwellian distribution corrected by the second Sonine polynomial up to third order in the expansion. The resulting correction is a quartic polynomial in velocity space. This result agrees qualitatively with the molecular dynamics simulation in [1].

Typeset using REVTeX

On leave from Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, SPAIN.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years a significant interest has been focused on the study of kinetic models for rapid granular flows. Experimental and numerical data from Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations indicate that particle distribution functions are far from Gaussian distributions when particles collide inelastically. Our work is motivated by a recent one [1], where molecular dynamics simulations of a homogeneous granular flow, driven by a heat bath, show a clear deviation from Gaussian states. As a consequence, we look for steady distributions of granular flows driven by random accelerations. Our aim is to find an approximate steady solution for a simplified homogeneous inelastic Boltzmann-Enskog model. Our expansion parameter is the energy dissipation rate.

Following the initial work of [2], to simplify we assume the Boltzmann-Enskog inelastic collision operator introduced as an analog to the case of Maxwellian molecules in the classical elastic Boltzmann equation. This pseudo-Maxwellian approximation assumes a collision frequency independent of the relative velocities, but proportional to the square-root of the kinetic temperature through a constant S . This constant S is fixed in such a way that the energy loss coincides with the one from the hard-spheres collision operator Q_{hs} . In particular this model reproduces the steady temperature according to a recent 3-D molecular dynamics simulation. Such a reduction allows us the explicit computation of stationary isotropic homogeneous solutions for small energy dissipation perturbations. Then, we find that the corrections to these perturbations can only be Gaussian distributions multiplied by a factor given by the second Sonine polynomial. The second Sonine polynomial is related to the second isotropic eigenvalue of the linearized classical Boltzmann elastic operator.

In particular, the stationary solution, to second order accuracy in energy dissipation rate, is given by a Maxwellian distribution multiplied by a factor proportional to a quartic polynomial in velocity space. Such solution qualitatively agrees with the one computed in [1], figure 3. Let us remark that our computation of the solution is rigorous once the expansion in the dissipation rate is performed.

Being more precise, we perform a statistical mechanics analysis of the dynamics of perfect spheres of diameter $\sigma > 0$ colliding inelastically in a thermal bath of infinite temperature. Because of inelastic collisions particles are constantly losing energy, the inclusion of an energy input mechanism allows to achieve a steady state. Then, a uniformly heated system is obtained by assuming Brownian motion of the particles between collisions. The corresponding equation of motion can be written as the Langevin equation

$$\dot{x} = \xi(t)$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a white noise stochastic force with independent, identically Gaussian distributed processes of variance F , that is, $\langle \xi(t), \xi(t') \rangle = 2F \delta(t - t')$.

Concerning the collision mechanism, if (x, v) and $(x - n\sigma, w)$ are the states of two particles before a collision, where $n = S^2$ is the unit vector along the center of both spheres, the post-collisional velocities are found by assuming that the total momentum is preserved, but part of the normal relative velocity is lost, that is,

$$n \cdot (v - w) = -e((v - w) \cdot n)$$

where $0 < e < 1$ is called the restitution coefficient.

We can then easily construct the post-collisional velocities as

$$v = \frac{1}{2}(v + w) + \frac{V}{2} \tag{1.1}$$

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(v + w) - \frac{V}{2} \tag{1.2}$$

where $V = V - (1 + e)(V \cdot n)n$, $V = v - w$ and $V = v - w$. Let us denote by v and w the precollisional velocities corresponding to v and w .

Therefore, following the standard procedures of kinetic theory [3-6], we can find a Boltzmann-Enskog equation for inelastic hard spheres in a thermal bath. This equation reads as

$$\frac{df}{dt} = Q_{hs}(f, f) + L_{FP}f \tag{1.3}$$

where Q_{hs} is the collision operator for inelastic hard-spheres [4,5] and L_{FP} is the Fokker-Planck operator. This operator takes into account the white noise interaction between collisions and using Ito's stochastic calculus is given by

$$L_{FP} f = F_v f. \quad (1.4)$$

The corresponding homogeneous Boltzmann equation for inelastic particles under the pseudo-Maxwellian approximation in a heat bath is given by

$$\frac{df}{dt} = B(\cdot, t)Q(f, f) + L_{FP} f \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$Q(f, f) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{S^2} f(t, v) f(t, w) \frac{1}{e} - f(t, v) f(t, w) \, dn \, dw \quad (1.6)$$

with

$$B(\cdot, t) = S^{-2} G(\cdot) \overline{(\cdot)}(t) = B(\cdot) \overline{(\cdot)}(t) \quad (1.7)$$

and the label refers to the temperature dissipation rate $= \frac{1-e^2}{4}$. Here, v, w are the precollisional velocities associated with the collision mechanism

$$v = \frac{1}{2}(v + w) + \frac{1-e}{4}(v - w) + \frac{1+e}{4}|v - w|n \quad (1.8)$$

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(v + w) - \frac{1-e}{4}(v - w) - \frac{1+e}{4}|v - w|n. \quad (1.9)$$

Also, ρ, u and $T(t)$ are the density, the mean velocity and temperature of the distribution f . Since both $Q(f, f)$ and $L_{FP} f$ preserve density and mean velocity, these quantities are just constants. The function $G(\cdot)$ takes into account dense gas effects [5,1].

The operator $Q(f, f)$ acts on functions $C_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as

$$Q(f, f), = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} f(t, w) f(t, v) [v(\cdot) - w(\cdot)] \, dv \, dw \, dn,$$

where v is computed by

$$v = \frac{1}{2}(v + w) + \frac{1-e}{4}(v - w) + \frac{1+e}{4}|v - w|n. \quad (1.10)$$

The existence of such steady states in heated granular media was proved in the one dimensional case for a different collision operator in [7] and discussed for a discrete number of particles in [8]; see also [9,10]. As a first result we find the equation of state for the steady state which is given by

$$(\rho) = \frac{2F}{B(\rho)}^{2/3}.$$

Precisely, this prediction coincides with the results shown in figure 1 and 2, corresponding to a recent three dimensional molecular dynamics simulation. Figures 1 and 2 show the steady state kinetic temperature as a function of the heat bath temperature F and as a function of the energy dissipation rate $\gamma = \frac{1-e^2}{4}$, which clearly coincide with the above formula for ρ . These simulations are performed in a finite box with a fixed number of particles. In addition we point out that this dependence of ρ on γ and F coincides with the one dimensional molecular dynamics results shown in [8] (Figures 3, 4 and 5).

Though our work has been strongly motivated by the MD simulation of a 2-D hard-spheres model in a finite box [1], we performed it in 3-D where the collision operator has a natural invariance in spherical coordinates that yields the representation (1.6). Similar data corresponding to 3-D MD simulations are not available, but those simulations are currently underway.

1.1. TEMPERATURE DISSIPATION

We first find the equation for the evolution of the second moment of the distribution function. Let us consider ρ , u and $T(t)$ the density, mean velocity and temperature of $f(t, v)$. Thus, f must satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dv = \rho, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f dv = \rho u, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v - u|^2 f dv = 3\rho T. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us remark that the computation of the second moment without the Fokker-Planck operator was done for isotropic solutions in [2] using Fourier transform techniques. We include here this computation in a different way which is valid for general distributions.

Computing the integral of $Q(f, f)$ multiplied by $|v - u|^2$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \quad (t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v - u|^2 f(v) dv \\ &= \frac{B(t)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} f(v)f(w)(|v - u|^2 - |v - u|^2) dv dw dn + 6F. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We change variables in the collision integral finding

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} f(v)f(w)(|v - u|^2 - |v - u|^2) dv dw dn \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} f(v + u)f(w + u)(|v|^2 - |v|^2) dv dw dn. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we need to compute $|v|^2$. In order to simplify this computation we use the unitary linear change of variables given by the velocity of the center of mass - relative velocity system

$$(\bar{v}, V) = \left(\frac{v + w}{2}, v - w \right),$$

so that

$$v = \bar{v} + \frac{1 - e}{4}V + \frac{1 + e}{4}|V| \cdot n \quad (2.4)$$

then

$$|v|^2 = |\bar{v}|^2 + \frac{1 + e^2}{8}|V|^2 + \frac{1 - e}{2}\bar{v} \cdot V + \frac{1 + e}{2}|V| |\bar{v} \cdot n| + \frac{1 - e^2}{8}|V| |V \cdot n|.$$

Since the integral on the unit vector n goes through in (2.3), the integrals containing $|V| |\bar{v} \cdot n|$ and $|V| |V \cdot n|$ will vanish. On the other hand, due to $\bar{v} \cdot V = \frac{1}{2}(|v|^2 - |w|^2)$ and (2.1) the integral containing $\bar{v} \cdot V$ vanishes too. In addition, rewriting \bar{v} and V in the original variables yields

$$|\bar{v}|^2 + \frac{1 + e^2}{8}|V|^2 = \frac{3 + e^2}{8}(|v|^2 + |w|^2) + \frac{1 - e^2}{4}v \cdot w; \quad (2.5)$$

therefore the collision integral of (2.2) can be computed as

$$B(t)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(v + u)f(w + u) \left(\frac{3 + e^2}{8}(|v|^2 + |w|^2) - |v|^2 \right) dw dv. \quad (2.6)$$

since the term with $v \cdot w$ vanishes due to (2.1). Again, using properties (2.1), (2.6) results in

$$\begin{aligned} &= B(\lambda)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(v+u)f(w+u) \left(\frac{-5+e^2}{8} |v|^2 + \frac{3+e^2}{8} |w|^2 \right) dv dw \\ &= -3B(\lambda)^2 \frac{1-e^2}{4} \lambda^{3/2} = -3B(\lambda)^2 \lambda^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore combining (2.2) and (2.7) yields the equation

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -B(\lambda)^2 \lambda^{3/2} + 2F \quad (2.8)$$

or equivalently the temperature dissipation equation

$$\dot{\lambda} = -B(\lambda) \lambda^{3/2} + 2F. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, we fix the value of the constant S . The dissipation term in temperature arising from the collision operator Q is given by $\dot{\lambda} = S^2 G(\lambda) = B(\lambda)$ while the dissipation term arising from the hard-spheres original operator Q_{hs} is given by [5,1] $\dot{\lambda}_{hs} = 8 \lambda^{-2} G(\lambda)$. We set S by $S = \lambda_{hs}$ and then $S = \frac{8}{\lambda}$. Thus, finally the temperature equation can be written as

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\lambda^{3/2} + 2F. \quad (2.10)$$

Let us remark that this equation is also valid for the hard-spheres case.

The equilibrium point corresponds to a possible steady state $f_{\lambda,u}^s$ whose temperature λ is explicitly given by

$$\lambda = \frac{2F}{B(\lambda)^{2/3}} = \frac{2}{F} F^{2/3}. \quad (2.11)$$

This temperature is asymptotically stable for the ODE (2.10). Moreover, it is easy to see that a steady state $f_{\lambda,u}^s$ can be obtained from a normalized steady state $f_{1,0}^s = f^s$ by the self-similar relation

$$f_{\lambda,u}^s(v) = A(\lambda)^{-3/2} f^s(A(\lambda)^{-1/2}(v-u)) \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$A(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{-2/3} \frac{G(1)}{G(\epsilon)} \epsilon^{2/3} = \frac{(\epsilon)}{(\epsilon)} = \frac{G(1)}{G(\epsilon)}$$

and $\epsilon = (1)$. In this sense we can say that the steady states are self-similar solutions.

Let us also finally point out that the dependency on ϵ of (2.11) and the relation (2.12) for $G = 1$ coincides with the one dimensional granular media models developed in [7,10]. Let us remark again that the formula (2.11) appears in [8] for a one-dimensional problem.

III. AN APPROXIMATION FORMULA FOR THE STEADY STATE

Assuming the existence of a steady state solution $f_{,\mu}^s$ we shall perform a small inelasticity expansion and a linearization of the operator to compute a small inelasticity approximation of this steady state. Let us take unit density and zero mean velocity and focus on an expansion of f^s since using (2.12) we produce an expansion for $f_{,\mu}^s$. Let us remember that f^s has temperature

$$= \frac{2F}{B} \epsilon^{2/3} \tag{3.1}$$

with $B = 8 \epsilon^{-2} G(1)$ and assumed to satisfy

$$B \overline{Q}(f^s, f^s) + L_{FP} f^s = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The expansion parameter is given by $\epsilon = \frac{1-\epsilon^2}{4}$. Therefore, we can approximate the restitution coefficient by $\epsilon = \sqrt{1-4\epsilon^2} = 1 - 2\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon^4$. The reason for this choice is twofold: on one hand is the parameter involved in the dissipation term for the temperature equation; on the other hand appears as a small eigenvalue of the linearized operator \overline{Q} about Dirac's delta distribution which has a lot of important consequences in the asymptotic behavior of the distribution for small inelasticity in the unheated case $b = 0$, see [2].

We perform the expansion over the action of the operator \overline{Q} since it is easier to evaluate it in this form. The precollisional velocity v is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= \frac{1}{2}(v + w) + \frac{1 - e}{4}(v - w) + \frac{1 + e}{4}|v - w|n \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(v + w) + \frac{1}{2}|v - w|n + \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^2)(v - w) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^2)|v - w|n \\
&= v_0 + (1 + e^2)v_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here $v_0 = \frac{1}{2}(v + w) + \frac{1}{2}|v - w|n$ is the precollisional velocity corresponding to an elastic collision and $v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v - |v|n)$ the dissipated part of the post collisional velocity, which depends only on the relative velocity and the collision angle.

We look for the steady state as an ϵ^2 -perturbation of a Maxwellian distribution with temperature T ; therefore

$$f^\epsilon(v) = M(v) + \epsilon^2 g(v)$$

with $\int g(v) dv = 0$. Linearizing $Q(f, f)$ about M on (3.2) yields an equation for g

$$B \overline{Q}(M, M) + 2B \overline{Q}(M, g) + L_{FP}(M) + L_{FP}(g) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, since Q depends on the restitution coefficient $1 - 2 - 2\epsilon^2$ we expand Q expressed in a weak form by using a Taylor series in ϵ for $\overline{Q}(v)$, that is, for $C_0(R)$ and $\overline{v} = \frac{v+w}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Q}(v) &= \overline{Q}(v_0 + (1 + e^2)v_1) \\
&= \overline{Q}(v_0) + (1 + e^2)(v_1 \cdot \overline{v})(\overline{Q}(v_0)) + \frac{1}{2}v_1^2 \cdot (H_{\overline{v}})(v_0) + O(\epsilon^3)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $H_{\overline{v}}(v_0)$ denotes the Hessian of \overline{Q} with respect to \overline{v} at v_0 . Then inserting (3.5) in the weak formulation of the collision integral and integrating by parts we have

$$Q(f, f) = Q_0(f, f) + \epsilon^2 R(f, f) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} S(f, f), \tag{3.6}$$

where Q_0 is the classical elastic Boltzmann collision operator for Maxwellian molecules,

$$R(f, f) = -\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} (V - |V|n) \cdot \overline{v} [f(v)f(w)] \overline{Q}(v_0) dv dw dn \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$S(f, f) = \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} (V - |V \cdot n|)^2 \cdot H_V [f(v)f(w)] (v_0) dv dw dn. \quad (3.8)$$

Since all the Maxwellian distributions are in the kernel of the classical operator Q_0 we have $Q_0(M, M) = 0$. Therefore, \bar{g} must satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & B \int (v + v^2) R(M, M) + 2R(M, \bar{g}) + \\ & + \frac{2}{2} S(M, M) + 2S(M, \bar{g}), \\ & + 2B \int Q_0(M, \bar{g}), + L_{FP} M, + L_{FP} \bar{g}, + O(\epsilon^3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

It is straightforward to find

$$L_{FP} M(v) = \frac{F}{M} - 3 + \frac{|v|^2}{M} \quad M(v) = -\frac{1}{2} B \int M \left(3 - \frac{|v|^2}{M} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Next, replacing (3.10) in to (3.9) and taking in to account that $\bar{g} = \epsilon^2 M g$ the following equation is valid for g

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -\frac{1}{2} B \int R(M, M), - \frac{1}{2} M \left(3 - \frac{|v|^2}{M} \right), \\ & + B \int \left(R + \frac{1}{2} S \right) (M, M), + 2B \int Q_0(M, gM), \\ & + L_{FP} (M g), + O(\epsilon^3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The terms R and S evaluated on (M, M) can be computed exactly. The computation is given in the appendix and yields

$$R(M, M) = \frac{1}{2} M \left(v \right) \left(3 - \frac{|v|^2}{M} \right), \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S(M, M) &= M \left(v \right) - \frac{|v|^2}{3} - 3 + \frac{|v|^4}{3^2} + 5 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{|v|^2}{M} \\ &= M \left(v \right) \frac{|v|^4}{3^2} - \frac{7}{3} \frac{|v|^2}{M} + 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

This shows that the term of order ϵ^{-1} vanishes in (3.11). Next, we can replace (3.12) and (3.13) in the weak formulation of the equation for g , given by (3.11), obtaining the following equation for g ,

$$\begin{aligned} & -2B \int_{\Omega} Q_0(M, gM) - L_{FP}(gM) = \\ & = B \int_{\Omega} M \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(3 - \frac{|v|^2}{2} + \frac{|v|^4}{6} \right) - \frac{7}{6} \frac{|v|^2}{2} + 1 \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{6} B \int_{\Omega} M \left[\frac{|v|^4}{2} - \frac{10}{3} \frac{|v|^2}{2} + 15 \right] = -h(v). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Next, let us consider the linear operator acting on g

$$L(gM) = 2B \int_{\Omega} Q_0(M, gM) + L_{FP}(gM). \quad (3.15)$$

We need to solve the problem

$$L(gM) = h(v). \quad (3.16)$$

We first discuss the eigenvalue problem associated with the operator $L(gM)$, that is,

$$L(gM) = -\lambda gM. \quad (3.17)$$

We restrict ourselves to the case of isotropic eigenfunctions. We recall from [3], [11] that the isotropic eigenfunctions of the operators $Q_0(M, gM)$ are given by Sonine polynomials multiplied by $M(v)$. We recall that the second order Sonine polynomial [11] is

$$S_{1/2}^{(2)}(\cdot) = \frac{3}{24} (15 - 20\cdot + 4\cdot^2) \quad (3.18)$$

for any R , and if we define the function

$$P(v) = S_{1/2}^{(2)} \left(\frac{|v|^2}{2} \right) = \frac{3}{24} \left(15 - \frac{10}{2} \frac{|v|^2}{2} + \frac{|v|^4}{2} \right) \quad (3.19)$$

then, the function $h(v)$ is given by

$$h(v) = -\frac{4}{3} B \int_{\Omega} M P. \quad (3.20)$$

We also recall [12,13] that

$$2Q_0 M_{1/2} S_{1/2}^{(2)} \frac{|v|^2}{2} M(v) = -20 S_{1/2}^{(2)} \frac{|v|^2}{2} M(v) \quad (3.21)$$

with $20 = \frac{1}{3}$.

Next, we show that the function $M_P = M_{1/2} S_{1/2}^{(2)} (\frac{|v|^2}{2})$ is an eigen vector with zero eigen value for the operator $L_{FP} = F_v$ up to an order of $2/3$. We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} L_{FP}(M_P) &= F M_P - 3 \frac{|v|^2}{2} \cdot v P + v P \\ &= \frac{1}{24} F M - \frac{3}{24} - 105 + 85 \frac{|v|^2}{2} - 17 \frac{|v|^4}{2} + \frac{|v|^6}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Now, by (3.1) $-1 = 0(2/3)$ and thus, $L_{FP}(M_P) = 0(2/3)$.

To finish we come back to (3.16), take into account (3.20) and the previous results for the eigen values of the operators to find out that

$$g(v) = 4 S_{1/2}^{(2)} \frac{|v|^2}{2} M(v)$$

is a solution of the equation (3.16) up to order . We conclude by writing the expansion of the stationary solution

$$f^s(v) = M(v) \left(1 + 4^2 S_{1/2}^{(2)} \frac{|v|^2}{2} + 0(3) \right)$$

Now, we use the self-similar relation (2.12) to obtain the main result of this paper.

Theorem III.1 The steady state $f_{u,v}^s$ for (1.5), up to order 3 , is given by

$$f_{u,v}^s(v) = M(v-u) \left(1 + 4^2 S_{1/2}^{(2)} \frac{|v-u|^2}{2} + 0(3) \right)$$

with

$$M(v) = \frac{2F}{B(v)}^{2/3} = \frac{2}{F}^{2/3}$$

and $S_{1/2}^{(2)}$ given by formula (3.18).

IV. HEAT BATH WITH FRICTION

We may also include friction on the particles between collisions assuming that the particles are in some sort of surrounding heat bath with a fixed finite temperature T_b . In this case, the paths of the particles are governed by the Langevin equation

$$\dot{x} + \frac{1}{\tau}x = \xi(t)$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a white noise stochastic force with independent, identically Gaussian distributed processes of variance F , that is, $\xi(t), \xi(t') = 2F \delta(t - t')$ with $F = \frac{1}{2} \tau$ and $\tau > 0$ the relaxation time corresponding to the damping force. The Fokker-Planck operator reads now as

$$L_{FP}^2 f = \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_v (v f + T_b \nabla_v f).$$

In this case, we can perform the same computations as before and the temperature dissipation equation becomes

$$\tau \frac{2}{3} = - \tau^{3/2} + \frac{2}{T_b} \tau. \tag{4.1}$$

Also, the mean velocity is no longer preserved and is dissipated according to $u(t) = e^{-t/\tau} u(0)$.

The equilibrium point corresponds to a possible steady state f^s with zero mean velocity where f^s is given by the unique positive solution of the equation

$$L_{FP}^2 f^s = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

This temperature is globally asymptotically stable for the evolution of the ODE (4.1). In this case we do not have a simple relation between f^s and the normalized f^s .

The same expansion procedure can be applied to this operator. The main differences are that we do not have a relation as (2.12) to reduce the computation to f^s and the computation of $L_{FP}^2 (M(\cdot)P)$ with P given by

$$P(v) = S_{1/2}^{(2)} \frac{|v|^2}{2} = \frac{3}{24} |v|^2 - \frac{10}{24} \frac{|v|^4}{2} + \frac{|v|^4}{24}.$$

The first one is easily solved by directly expanding f^s . Now, we compute

$$L_{FP}^2(M(\epsilon)P) = \frac{M(\epsilon)}{P} \left[3 - \frac{|v|^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{b}{\epsilon} + v \left(1 - \frac{2b}{\epsilon} \right) v P + b v P \right) \right]. \quad (4.3)$$

Now, by (4.2) we have $\epsilon = b + O(\epsilon)$ and therefore, we can estimate the right hand side of (4.3) as

$$\frac{M(\epsilon)}{P} (O(\epsilon) - v v P + b v P) = -4 \frac{M(\epsilon)}{P} + O(\epsilon)$$

thus,

$$L_{FP}^2(M(\epsilon)P) = -4 \frac{M(\epsilon)}{P} + O(\epsilon).$$

As a consequence, the expansion for the steady state in this case is

$$f^s(v) = M(\epsilon)(v) \left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{A}} \frac{|v|^2}{2} + O(\epsilon^3) \right)$$

with

$$A = 4 \frac{B(\epsilon)(\epsilon) + 3}{B(\epsilon)(\epsilon)},$$

ϵ being the unique solution of

$$b = \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^3}{2}$$

and $S_{1/2}^{(2)}$ given by formula (3.18). Formally, we recover Theorem III.1 by taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, b in such a way that $F = \frac{b}{\epsilon}$ remains constant.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have found that a reduced model to the inelastic hard-spheres Boltzmann-Enskog equation, has stationary isotropic homogeneous solutions for small energy dissipation perturbations. These solutions are explicitly given and they must be, to quadratic order,

Maxwellian distributions multiplied by a factor given by the second Sonine polynomial evaluated at the temperature of the Maxwellian. The second Sonine polynomial is related to the second eigenvalue of the linearized classical Boltzmann elastic operator. Moreover, we have obtained rigorously the equation for the temperature of the steady state (2.11).

Though our work has been strongly motivated by the MD simulation of a 2-D hard-spheres model in a finite box [1], we performed it in 3-D. Thus, our results are not directly comparable to those in [1]. Nevertheless, it reproduces qualitatively the difference of the steady state distribution function with respect to a Maxwellian as shown in [1], figure 3. Moreover, figures 1 and 2 show that formula (2.11) agrees very well with the molecular dynamics simulation.

Let us finally remark that very recent papers [14- 16], dealing with the homogeneous inelastic hard-spheres Boltzmann-Enskog model uniformly heated by a bath, show that there can be solutions given by Maxwellians multiplied by a factor depending on the second Sonine polynomial. In these papers different additional assumptions are made in order to compute this factor depending on the energy dissipation rate. On one hand, the present paper shows a more rigorous approach to find an approximation to the steady solution for the pseudo-Maxwellian model showing that it must be a Maxwellian distribution modified by a factor containing the second Sonine polynomial. However, these papers show that the coefficient corresponding to this polynomial correction is not necessarily positive as a function of the energy dissipation rate. This may indicate that a solution for the stationary inelastic pseudo-Maxwellian model might be better approximated by a double expansion in energy dissipation rate at all orders and higher order Sonine polynomials as well. Also, the validity of the pseudo-Maxwellian model should be examined. These tasks are presently underway.

VI. APPENDIX

Here, we calculate (3.7) and (3.8) in order to replace in (3.11). First from (3.7), using (∇, V) coordinates

$$R(M, M) = -\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} (V - |V|n) \cdot \nabla M(\bar{2}\nabla)M \frac{V}{2} \nabla + \frac{|V|n}{2} d\nabla dV dn. \quad (6.1)$$

Since $\nabla M(\bar{2}\nabla) = -\frac{2\nabla}{2}M(\bar{2}\nabla)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} M(\bar{2}\nabla)M \frac{V}{2} (V \cdot \nabla - |V|\nabla \cdot n) \nabla + \frac{|V|n}{2} d\nabla dV dn. \quad (6.2)$$

Now, considering spherical coordinates $V = |V|k$ with $k \in S^2$, swapping the roles of k and n , in exchanging the integrals over dn by the one over dk and rewriting $V = |V|n$, (6.2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} M(\bar{2}\nabla)M \frac{V}{2} (|V|\nabla \cdot k - \nabla \cdot V) (v) d\nabla dV dk. \quad (6.3)$$

The integration with respect to k on S^2 can be performed and the integral of the term $\nabla \cdot k$ vanishes. Returning to (v, w) coordinates and taking into account $\nabla \cdot V = \frac{|v|^2 - |w|^2}{2}$, (6.3) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M(v)M(w)(|v|^2 - |w|^2)(v) dv dw. \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore the operator $R(M, M)$ can be expressed as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} M(v)M(w)(|v|^2 - |w|^2) dw = -\frac{1}{2} M(v)(|v|^2 - 3) \quad (6.5)$$

which finally gives (3.12).

We proceed similarly in order to compute $S(M, M)$. Expressing the first integral on S in (∇, V) variables, computing

$$H_{\nabla} M(\bar{2}\nabla) = M(\bar{2}\nabla) - \frac{2}{2}|\nabla + \frac{4}{2}\nabla^2 \quad (6.6)$$

and swapping the role of n and k as done for R we have

$$\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} M \left(\frac{V}{2} \right) \left(\frac{2}{V} \right) - \frac{1}{2} |V|^2 + \frac{2}{2} V^2 (v) dk d\varpi dV .$$

Now, performing the integration with respect to $k \in S^2$, recalling $\int_{S^2} k^2 dk = \frac{4}{3} I$, $\int_{S^2} V dk = 0$ and $\int_{S^2} dk = 4$ and reducing the matrices, (6.6) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} M \left(\frac{V}{2} \right) \left(\frac{2}{V} \right) - \frac{|V|^2}{3} + \frac{2}{2} |V|^2 |V|^2 - \frac{|V|^2}{2} + \frac{2}{2} V^2 \cdot V^2 (v) d\varpi dV ,$$

thus, rewriting this integral in the original coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} M(v) M(w) &= \frac{|v-w|^2}{2} + \frac{|v-w|^2 |v+w|^2}{12} - \frac{|v-w|^2}{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (v+w)^2 \cdot (v-w)^2 (v) dv dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} M(v) M(w) - \frac{|v|^2 + |w|^2 - 2v \cdot w}{4} + \frac{(|v|^2 + |w|^2)^2 - 4(v \cdot w)^2}{12} \\ &+ \frac{(|v|^2 - |w|^2)^2}{4} (v) dv dw . \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Now, we have to integrate with respect to w : we make use of the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(w) (v \cdot w)^2 dw &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} M(w) |v|^2 |w|^4 d|w| \int_{S^2} (n_1 \cdot n_2)^2 dn_2 \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(w) |v|^2 |w|^4 d|w| = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(w) |v|^2 |w|^2 dw , \end{aligned}$$

and of the fact that the integral in w of the term $v \cdot w$ vanishes. Therefore, the term $S(M, M)$ becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(w) - \frac{|v|^2 + |w|^2}{3} + \frac{|v|^4 + |w|^4}{3} - \frac{4}{9} |v|^2 |w|^2 dw . \quad (6.8)$$

Taking moments of $M(w)$ one finally proves (3.13). In particular

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (M, M) dv = 0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} S(M, M) dv .$$

Thus, we have checked our computations in the sense that the first two order terms in the expansion of Q preserve mass.

Ac knowledgements

The first author is supported by the DGESIC MEC-Spain Perfeccionamiento to de Doctores en el Extranjero fellowship. Partially supported by TMR European Union ERB FMBX-CT97-0157 and DGES (Spain) PB98-1281. The second author started this research when visiting the Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged. His research is also performed in the frame of European TMR (contract n. ERBFMRX CT970157) and partially supported by MURST of Italy. The third author is supported by NSF under grant DMS 9971779 and T ARP 003658-0459-1999. We would like to thank C. Bizon, S. J. Mo on, M. D. Shattuck and H. L. Swinney for the figures and for very helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bizon, C., Shattuck, M. D., Swift, J.B., Swinney, H.L., Transport coefficients for granular media from molecular dynamics simulations, *Ph ys. Rev. E*, 60, 4340-4351 (1999).
- [2] Bobylev, A.V., Carrillo, J.A., Gamba, I.M., On some kinetic properties and hydrodynamics equations for inelastic interaction, *J. Stat. Ph ys.*, 98, 743-773 (2000).
- [3] Cercignani, C., "The Boltzmann equation and its applications", Springer series in Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol 67, Springer-Verlag 1988.
- [4] Cercignani, C., Recent developments in the mechanics of granular materials, *Fisica matematica e ingegneria delle strutture*, 119-132, Pitagora Editrice, Bologna 1995.
- [5] Jenkins, J.T., Richman, M.W., Grad's 13-moment system for a dense gas of inelastic spheres, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 87, 355-377 (1985).
- [6] Sela, N., Goldhirsch, I., Hydrodynamic equations for rapid flows of smooth inelastic spheres, to Burnett order, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 361, 41-74 (1998).
- [7] Benedetto, D., Caglioti, E., Carrillo, J.A., Pulvirenti, M., A non-maxwellian steady distribution for one-dimensional granular media, *J. Stat. Ph ys.*, 91, 979-990 (1998).
- [8] Williams, D. R. M., MacKintosh, F. C., Driven granular media in one dimension: Correlations and equation of state, *Ph ys. Rev. E*, 54, R9-R12 (1996).
- [9] Benedetto, D., Caglioti, E., Pulvirenti, M., A Kinetic Equation for Granular Media, *Math. Mod. and Num. An.*, 31, 615-641 (1997).
- [10] Benedetto, D., Caglioti, E., Golse, F., Pulvirenti, M., A Hydrodynamic Model Arising in the Context of Granular Media, to appear in *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 1999.
- [11] Chapman S., Cowling, T. G., "The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases", Cambridge University Press, 1939.

- [12] Bobylev, A.V., Exact solutions of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation and the theory of relaxation of a Maxwellian gas, *Theoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 60, 280-310 (1984).
- [13] Bobylev, A.V., The theory of the nonlinear spatially uniform Boltzmann equation for Maxwell molecules, *Sov. Sci. Rev. C. Math. Phys.*, 7, 111-233 (1988).
- [14] van Noije, T.P.C. and Ernst, M.H., Velocity Distributions in Homogeneously Cooling and Heated Granular Fluids, *Gran. Matt.* 1, 57 (1998).
- [15] Brilliantov, N.V. and Pöschel, T., Deviation from Maxwell Distribution in Granular Gases with Constant Restitution Coefficient LANL preprint, (1999).
- [16] Montanero, J.M. and Santos, A. Computer Simulation of uniformly heated granular fluids, *Gran. Matt.* 2 53-64 (2000).

FIGURES




FIG. 1. Validation of formula (2.11) by a 3-D MD simulation. Kinetic temperature versus $(1 - e^2)^{-2/3}$.




FIG. 2. Validation of formula (2.11) by a 3-D MD simulation. Kinetic temperature versus $F^{2/3}$.