

SMC 2023: HILBERT'S 19TH PROBLEM – Day III

Jeffrey Cheng

June 5, 2023

1 Estimates for Harmonic functions (again)

Theorem 1. *Let $\Delta w = 0$ in B_r . Then*

$$\|D^k w\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{r}{2}})} \leq C(n, k)r^{-k}\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\Delta w = \lambda$ in B_r . Then*

$$\|D^2 w\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{r}{2}})} \leq C(n)r^{-2}(\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} + |\lambda|)$$

Theorem 3. *Let u be a weak solution of:*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f & \text{in } B_1 \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial B_1 \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \leq C(r^{-2}\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_{2^{-k}})})$$

2 Schauder Estimates for the Laplacian – II (cont.)

Proof. Recall that we have:

$$|D^2 u(z) - D^2 u(0)| \leq \underbrace{|D^2 u(z) - D^2 u_k(z)|}_{I_2} + \underbrace{|D^2 u_k(z) - D^2 u_k(0)|}_{I_3} + \underbrace{|D^2 u_k(0) - D^2 u(0)|}_{I_1}$$

It STS $I_j \leq C|z|^\alpha$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, $z \in B_{\frac{1}{16}}$.

I_1 : Note that $u_k - u$ solves:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(u_k - u) = f(0) - f & \text{in } B_{2^{-k}} \\ u_k - u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{2^{-k}} \end{cases}$$

Now, using 3 and the fact that $[f]_{C^{0,\alpha}(B_1)} \leq 1$:

$$\|u_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \leq C(2^{-k})^2 \|f(0) - f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \leq C2^{-k(2+\alpha)}$$

Note: This is a quantitative justification to my previous assertion that “ u_k is a good approximation to u zooming in close to 0”.

So, via the triangle inequality:

$$\|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}})} \leq C2^{-k(2+\alpha)}$$

Further, $u_{k+1} - u_k$ is harmonic in $B_{2^{-k-1}}$, so by using 1:

$$\|D^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-2}})} \leq C(2^{(k+1)})^2 \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}})} \leq C2^{2(k+1)}2^{-2k-k\alpha} = C2^{-k\alpha}$$

Next, we need to know that $D^2u(0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D^2u_k(0)$. Indeed, let $\tilde{u}(x) := u(0) + x \cdot \nabla u(0) + \frac{1}{2}x^T D^2u(0)x$ be the second order Taylor expansion of u at 0. A couple notes:

1. $\|\tilde{u} - u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} = o(r^2)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ (Taylor's theorem)
2. $D^2\tilde{u}(0) = D^2u(0)$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^2u_k(0) - D^2u(0)| &\leq \|D^2(u_k - \tilde{u})\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}})} \underbrace{\leq}_1 C2^{2k} \|u_k - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \leq \\ &C2^{2k} \|u_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} + C2^{2k} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(the first term goes to zero using the bound $\|u_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \leq C2^{-k(2+\alpha)}$ and the second one goes to zero by Taylor's theorem).

Finally, putting it all together: choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{k-4} \leq |z| \leq 2^{-k-3}$ (we don't need this for I_3 , but it will become needed in I_2). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^2u_k(0) - D^2u(0)| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |D^2u_k(0) - D^2u_k(0)| \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=k}^j |D^2u_n(0) - D^2u_{n+1}(0)| = \\ &\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |D^2u_n(0) - D^2u_{n+1}(0)| \leq C \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} = C \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (2^{-\alpha})^n = C2^{-k\alpha} \\ &\leq C2^{-k\alpha}2^{-4\alpha} \leq C|z|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

I_2 : We can employ the same strategy as before, but this time zooming in around z instead of 0. Let v_k solve:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_k = f(z) & \text{in } B_{2^{-k}(z)} \\ v_k = u & \text{on } \partial B_{2^{-k}(z)} \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$|D^2u_k(z) - D^2u(z)| \leq |D^2u_k(z) - D^2v_k(z)| + |D^2v_k(z) - D^2u(z)|$$

The second term is seen to be $\leq C2^{-k\alpha}$ using the same proof as I_1 (translated), so we just need to deal with the first term. Choosing k sufficiently large ($|z| \leq 2^{-k-3}$) such that $B_{2^{-k-1}(z)} \subset B_{2^{-k}} \cap B_{2^{-k}(z)}$. Then, in $B_{2^{-k-2}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^2u_k(z) - D^2v_k(z)| &\leq \|D^2(u_k - v_k)\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-2}(z)})} \underbrace{\leq}_2 C2^{2k} \|u_k - v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}(z)})} + C|f(z) - f(0)| \leq \\ &C2^{2k} \|u_k - v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}(z)})} + C2^{-k\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

(the last term is because $|z| \leq 2^{-k-3}$ and $[f]_{C^{0,\alpha}(B_1)} \leq 1$). Finally, k has been chosen sufficiently large such that $B_{2^{-k-1}(z)}$ is a region for which both $v_k, u_k \sim u$. So, we derive the final quantitative estimate using 3 as before:

$$\|u_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}(z)})} \leq \|u_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}})} \leq C2^{-k(2+\alpha)}$$

$$\|v_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}}(z))} \leq \|v_k - u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k}}(z))} \leq C2^{-k(2+\alpha)}$$

Putting this all together, we see $|D^2u_k(z) - D^2v_k(z)| \leq C2^{-k\alpha}$.

I₃: This result is significantly different in spirit than the first two. Denote $h_j := u_j - u_{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Note that h_j are harmonic in $B_{2^{-j}}$. So:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D^2h_j(z) - D^2h_j(0)}{|z|} \right| &\stackrel{\text{MVT}}{\leq} \|D^3h_j\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-3}})} \leq \|D^3h_j\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-k-1}})} \stackrel{1}{\leq} \\ C2^{3j}\|h_j\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-j}})} &\stackrel{\text{Max. Princ.}}{\leq} C2^{3j}\|h_j\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_{2^{-j}})} = C2^{3j}\|u - u_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_{2^{-j}})} \leq \\ C2^{3j}\|u - u_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-j}})} &\leq C2^{3j}\|u - u_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(B_{2^{-j+1}})} \stackrel{3}{\leq} C2^{j(1-\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$|D^2u_k(0) - D^2u_k(z)| \leq |D^2u_0(z) - D^2u_0(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^k |D^2h_j(z) - D^2h_j(0)| \leq |D^2u_0(z) - D^2u_0(0)| + C|z| \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j(1-\alpha)}$$

Unfortunately, we need to deal with the $j = 0$ term separately. Define $w = u_0 - \frac{f(0)}{2n}|x|^2 + \frac{f(0)}{2n}$. Then, we have the following properties:

1. w is harmonic in B_1
2. $D^3w = D^3u_0$
3. $w = u_0$ on ∂B_1

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D^2u_0(z) - D^2u_0(0)}{z} \right| &\stackrel{\text{MVT}}{\leq} \|D^3u_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} = \|D^3w\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} \stackrel{1}{\leq} C\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \stackrel{\text{Max. Princ.}}{\leq} \\ C\|w\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1)} &= C\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1)} \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$|D^2u_k(0) - D^2u_k(z)| \leq C|z|\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + C|z| \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j(1-\alpha)}$$

Now, the first term here is $\leq C|z|$, because $\|u_0 - u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \stackrel{3}{\leq} C \implies \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq 1 + C =$

C . The second term is bounded by $C|z|2^{k(1-\alpha)}$, because $\sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j(1-\alpha)} = 2^{k(1-\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^k (2^{\alpha-1})^j \leq 2^{k(1-\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^\infty (2^{\alpha-1})^j = C2^{k(1-\alpha)}$. So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^2u_k(0) - D^2u_k(z)| &\leq C|z| + C|z|2^{k(1-\alpha)} \leq C2^{-k-3} + C2^{-k\alpha} \leq \\ C(2^{-k-3})^\alpha + C2^{-k\alpha} &\leq C2^{-k\alpha} \leq C|z|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

□

Note: You can get higher order Schauder estimates by induction (in general, if $f \in C^{k,\alpha}$, then $u \in C^{k+2,\alpha}$).