

19. Abelian Galois groups.

In this section, we will study the Galois group of some very well behaved polynomials $f(X)$, and conclude that their Galois groups are Abelian. The first interesting case, we leave to the reader in the next set of exercises.

(19.1) Exercises: Let K be the splitting field for $X^k - 1$ over F . Let ω be a primitive k -th root of unity.

a) Show that $K = F[\omega]$.

b) Let $\Theta \in G(K/F)$. Show that Θ is determined by what it does to ω . That is, if Θ and Φ are both in $G(K/F)$, and if $\Theta(\omega) = \Phi(\omega)$, then $\Theta = \Phi$.

c) Show that $G(K/F)$ is Abelian. (Hint: If $\Theta \in G(K/F)$, then $\Theta(\omega)$ is a root of $X^k - 1$, and so is just some power of ω .)

d) If $\Theta \in G(K/F)$, show that $\Theta(\omega)$ is a primitive k -th root of unity.

e) Argue that $G(K/F)$ can be identified with a subgroup of the group of permutations of the primitive k -th roots of unity.

(19.2) Theorem: Let k be a positive integer, and suppose that F contains all of the k -th roots of unity. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be elements of F , and let K be the splitting field of $f(X) = (X^k - a_1)(X^k - a_2) \dots (X^k - a_m)$ over F . Then $G(K/F)$ is Abelian.

Proof: For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let α_i be any root of $X^k - a_i$. Also, let ω be a primitive k -th root of unity. Thus $\alpha_i, \alpha_i\omega, \alpha_i\omega^2, \dots, \alpha_i\omega^{k-1}$ are all of the roots of $X^k - a_i$, and so we see that $K = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]$ (since $\omega \in F$). If $\Theta \in G(K/F)$, then Θ is determined by the values of $\Theta(\alpha_1), \dots, \Theta(\alpha_m)$. (Note that $\Theta(\omega) = \omega$, since $\omega \in F$.) Of course $\Theta(\alpha_i)$ must be a root of $X^k - a_i$, and so $\Theta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i\omega^{n(i)}$ for some positive integer $n(i)$ depending on i . If also $\Phi \in G(K/F)$, then similarly $\Phi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i\omega^{m(i)}$ for some $m(i)$. In order to show that $\Theta\Phi = \Phi\Theta$, it will suffice to show that $\Theta\Phi(\alpha_i) = \Phi\Theta(\alpha_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We have $\Theta\Phi(\alpha_i) = \Theta(\alpha_i\omega^{m(i)}) = \Theta(\alpha_i)\Theta(\omega^{m(i)}) = \Theta(\alpha_i)\omega^{m(i)} = \alpha_i\omega^{n(i)}\omega^{m(i)} = \alpha_i\omega^{m(i)}\omega^{n(i)} = \Phi(\alpha_i)\omega^{n(i)} = \Phi(\alpha_i)\Phi(\omega^{n(i)}) = \Phi(\alpha_i\omega^{n(i)}) = \Phi\Theta(\alpha_i)$. Thus $\Theta\Phi = \Phi\Theta$, and so $G(K/F)$ is Abelian.

20. Solvable Galois groups

(20.1) Lemma: Let $F \subseteq E \subseteq L$ be fields, with E/F Galois, and $G(E/F)$ Abelian. Then for all Θ and Φ in $G(L/F)$, $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1}$ is in $G(L/E)$. (Recall that Exercise (18.2) shows that $G(L/E) \triangleleft G(L/F)$.)

Proof: Since Θ and Φ are automorphisms of L , so is $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1}$. Therefore, to show that $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1} \in G(L/E)$, we must only show that $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1}$ restricted to E is the identity on E . By Theorem (12.4), $\Theta|E$ and $\Phi|E$ are both in $G(E/F)$. We now easily see that $(\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1})|E = (\Theta|E)(\Phi|E)(\Theta|E)^{-1}(\Phi|E)^{-1}$. However, since $G(E/F)$ is

Abelian, $(\Theta|E)(\Phi|E)(\Theta|E)^{-1}(\Phi|E)^{-1}$ equals I , the identity on E . Thus $(\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1})|E$ is the identity on E , as desired.

(20.2) Theorem: Let $F = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_n$ be fields. Suppose for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, that K_i/K_{i-1} is Galois, and $G(K_i/K_{i-1})$ is Abelian. Then $G(K_n/F)$ is solvable.

Proof: We have a sequence of subgroups

$$(I) = G(K_n/K_n) < G(K_n/K_{n-1}) < \dots < G(K_n/K_1) < G(K_n/K_0) = G(K_n/F).$$

We claim that this sequence satisfies the condition needed to show that $G(K_n/F)$ is solvable. Thus, we must show for any

$1 \leq i \leq n$, and any Θ and Φ in $G(K_n/K_{i-1})$, that $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1} \in G(K_n/K_i)$. Consider $K_{i-1} \subseteq K_i \subseteq K_n$. We are told that K_i/K_{i-1} is Galois and $G(K_i/K_{i-1})$ is Abelian. Therefore, Lemma (20.1) (with $F = K_{i-1}$, $E = K_i$, and $L = K_n$) shows that $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1} \in G(K_n/K_i)$, as desired.

Remark: Actually, the hypothesis of Theorem (20.2) can be weakened slightly. We do not really need K_n/K_{n-1} to be Galois, as a careful examination of the proof reveals.

Example: Let ω be a primitive 6-th root of unity. We will show that $G(\mathbb{Q}[\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt{1+\sqrt[3]{2}}]/\mathbb{Q})$ is solvable. Consider $\mathbb{Q} = K_0 < \mathbb{Q}[\omega] = K_1 < \mathbb{Q}[\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}] = K_2 < \mathbb{Q}[\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt{1+\sqrt[3]{2}}] = K_3$. We will show that the hypotheses of Theorem (20.2) are satisfied. First note that $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}[\omega]$ is the splitting field of

$X^6 - 1$ over \mathbf{Q} , so that $K_1/K_0 = \mathbf{Q}[\omega]/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois. Also, Exercise (19.1)(c) shows that $G(K_1/K_0) = G(\mathbf{Q}[\omega]/\mathbf{Q})$ is Abelian. Next note that K_2 contains $\sqrt[3]{2}$, $\sqrt[3]{2} \omega^2$, and $\sqrt[3]{2} \omega^4$, which are all of the roots of $X^3 - 2$. We easily see that K_2 is the splitting field of this polynomial over K_1 , so that K_2/K_1 is Galois. Since $\omega \in K_1$, we have that K_1 contains 1, ω^2 , and ω^4 , which are all of the cube roots of unity. By Theorem (19.2), $G(K_2/K_1)$ is Abelian. Next, note that K_3 contains $\sqrt{1+\sqrt[3]{2}}$ and $\sqrt{1+\sqrt[3]{2}} \omega^3$, which are all of the roots of the polynomial $X^2 - (1+\sqrt[3]{2}) \in K_2[X]$. We see that K_3 is the splitting field of this polynomial over K_2 , so that K_3/K_2 is Galois. Also, K_2 contains 1 and ω^3 which are all of the square roots of unity. By Theorem (19.2), $G(K_3/K_2)$ is Abelian. By Theorem (20.2), $G(\mathbf{Q}[\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt{1+\sqrt[3]{2}}]/\mathbf{Q}) = G(K_3/\mathbf{Q})$ is solvable.

(20.3) Exercise: Let ω be a primitive 21-th root of unity.

Show that $G(\mathbf{Q}[\omega, \sqrt[3]{5}, \sqrt[7]{2 + 4(\sqrt[3]{5}) + 3(\sqrt[3]{5})^2}]/\mathbf{Q})$ is solvable.

(20.4) Exercise: Let $F = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n$ be a root tower. Show that there is a positive integer k such that $G(F_n[\omega]/F)$ is solvable, where ω is a k -th root of unity. (This result has a weakness. It may not be true that $F_n[\omega]/F$ is Galois. In Theorem (22.2), we will give a result which overcomes that weakness.)

(20.5) Theorem. Suppose $F \subseteq E \subseteq L$ with E/F and L/F both Galois, and with $G(L/F)$ solvable. Then $G(E/F)$ is solvable.

Proof: Since $G(L/F)$ is solvable, there is a sequence of subgroups $\{I\} = H_0 < H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_m = G(L/F)$, such that for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, and any Θ and Φ in H_i , $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1} \in H_{i-1}$. We will use this sequence to build a sequence of subgroups of $G(E/F)$. Pick $\Theta \in G(L/F)$. Since E/F is Galois, and Θ is an F -automorphism of L , by Theorem (12.4) we see that $\Theta|E$ is an F -automorphism of E . That is, for all $\Theta \in G(L/F)$, $\Theta|E \in G(E/F)$. For $0 \leq i \leq m$, we now define $G_i = \{\Theta|E \mid \Theta \in H_i\}$. We leave to the reader the very easy verification that since H_i is a subgroup of $G(L/F)$, G_i is a subgroup of $G(E/F)$. Notice that we have $\{I\} = G_0 < G_1 < G_2 < \dots < G_m < G(E/F)$. In fact, we claim that $G_m = G(E/F)$. To see this, we must simply show that any automorphism in $G(E/F)$ is the restriction to E of some automorphism in $H_m = G(L/F)$. However, Theorem (12.2) tells us that this is so. Therefore, we have $\{I\} = G_0 < G_1 < G_2 < \dots < G_m = G(E/F)$. We claim that this sequence of subgroups satisfies the condition needed to show that $G(E/F)$ is solvable. Thus, we must show for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and for σ and τ in G_i , that $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1} \in G_{i-1}$. Since σ and τ are in G_i , by definition we have $\sigma = \Theta|E$ and $\tau = \Phi|E$ for some Θ and Φ in H_i . We already know that $\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1} \in H_{i-1}$. This shows that $(\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1})|E \in G_{i-1}$. However, $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1} = (\Theta|E)(\Phi|E)(\Theta|E)^{-1}(\Phi|E)^{-1} = (\Theta\Phi\Theta^{-1}\Phi^{-1})|E \in G_{i-1}$, and we are done.

21. Fixed fields, I

We have seen throughout these notes that Galois extensions have many nice properties. In this section, we shall discuss one of their nicest properties.

Definition: Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields, and let H be a subgroup of $G(K/F)$. We define $F(H) = \{x \in K \mid \theta(x) = x \text{ for all } \theta \in H\}$. $F(H)$ is called the fixed field of H .

Remark: We will primarily be concerned with the case that $H = G(K/F)$.

(21.1) Exercises: a) Show that $F \subseteq F(G(K/F)) \subseteq K$.
b) Show that if $H_1 < H_2$ are subgroups of $G(K/F)$, then $F(H_2) \subseteq F(H_1)$.

Example: Consider $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[i]$, and let $H = G(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q})$. We know by an example in section 18 that $G(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q})$ contains just two automorphisms, the identity automorphism, and conjugation (restricted to $\mathbb{Q}[i]$). For $a + bi \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$ (with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$), we see that $a + bi$ will be in $F(G(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q}))$ if and only if $a + bi$ is fixed by these two automorphisms. Now the identity automorphism fixes everything. Thus, $a + bi$ will be in $F(G(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q}))$ exactly when it is fixed by conjugation, i.e., exactly when $a + bi = a - bi$. This occurs exactly when $b = 0$. Thus, $F(G(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q}$.

The next clever theorem shows a very important fact about Galois extensions.

(21.2) Theorem: Let $F \subseteq K$ be fields. If K/F is Galois, then $F(G(K/F)) = F$.

Proof: Say K is the splitting field of $f(X) \in F[X]$, and that the roots of $f(X)$ are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$, so that $K = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]$. Since Exercise (21.1) gives $F \subseteq F(G(K/F))$, we need $F(G(K/F)) \subseteq F$. Let $\beta \in F(G(K/F))$. We must show that $\beta \in F$. To do this, we will let $\alpha_0 = 1$, and then show that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, if $\beta \in F[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i]$, then $\beta \in F[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}]$. Since we have $\beta \in K = F[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]$, m iterations of this argument will show that $\beta \in F[\alpha_0] = F$, as desired.

Suppose that $\beta \in F[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i]$. We want to show that $\beta \in F[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}]$. Let $L = F[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}]$. Thus, we have $\beta \in L[\alpha_i]$, and want $\beta \in L$.

Since $f(X) \in F[X] \subseteq L[X]$, and α_i is a root of $f(X)$, we see that α_i is algebraic over L , and so α_i has a minimal polynomial over L . Call that minimal polynomial $g(X)$. By Exercise (8.2)(c) $g(X)$ divides $f(X)$ in $L[X]$. Thus every root of $g(X)$ is also a root of $f(X)$, and so is in K . By Theorem (8.7), since $\beta \in L[\alpha_i]$, we may write $\beta = a_0 + a_1 \alpha_i + a_2 \alpha_i^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} \alpha_i^{n-1}$, with $n = \deg g(X)$, and with the coefficients in L . Now let $h(X) = a_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 X + (a_0 - \beta)$. We will show that this polynomial has n distinct roots. Since its degree is less than n , it must be the zero polynomial, and in particular its constant

term is zero. Thus, β must equal $a_0 \in L$, which is what we want. An earlier equation already shows that $h(\alpha_i) = 0$, so α_i is a root of $h(X)$. Since K is the splitting field of $f(X)$ over F , clearly K is the splitting field of $f(X)$ over L , so that K/L is Galois. Now let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be the roots of $g(X)$. (We know that these are distinct by Theorem (7.10), and of course α_i is one of them. We already noted that they are in K .) Pick any $1 \leq k \leq n$. By Corollary (12.3), there is a $\Theta \in G(K/L)$ with $\Theta(\alpha_i) = \gamma_k$. Since $\beta \in F(G(K/F))$, and since Θ is clearly in $G(K/F)$, we have $\Theta(\beta) = \beta$. Thus Θ fixes all the coefficients of $h(X)$, and so $\Theta(h(X)) = h(X)$. Since α_i is a root of $h(X)$, so is $\Theta(\alpha_i) = \gamma_k$, by Theorem (10.10). This shows that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are n distinct roots of $h(X)$. Thus, as explained above, $\beta \in L$, as desired. Iterating the argument gives $\beta \in F$.

Remark: If $K = F[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m]$ with each γ_i algebraic over F , then the converse of Theorem (21.2) is also true. That is, $F(G(K/F)) = F$ implies K/F is Galois. As we do not need that direction to reach our main goal, we will put off its proof until a later section. For now, we will be content with an exercise.

(21.3) Exercise: Show that $F(G(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}]/\mathbb{Q})) \neq \mathbb{Q}$.

Suppose that K/F is Galois, so that K is the splitting field of some polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$ over F . If $f(X)$ has m roots, then Theorem (18.5) shows that $G(K/F)$ can be thought of as a subgroup of S_m . In particular, this shows that $G(K/F)$ is finite. Let us write $G(K/F) = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r\}$.

Suppose $\phi \in G(K/F)$. Then since that group is closed under multiplication, each of $\phi\theta_1, \phi\theta_2, \dots, \phi\theta_r$ is in $G(K/F)$. However, this last list of r products contains r distinct things, since if $\phi\theta_i = \phi\theta_j$, then multiplying on the left by ϕ^{-1} shows $\theta_i = \theta_j$. Therefore, we see $G(K/F) = \{\phi\theta_1, \phi\theta_2, \dots, \phi\theta_r\}$. This fact will be useful in the next proof (and is similarly used in many proofs involving finite groups).

(21.4) Corollary: Let K/F be Galois, and let $\alpha \in K$. Let k be any positive integer, and let $f(X) = \prod (X^k - \theta(\alpha))$ over all $\theta \in G(K/F)$. Then $f(X) \in F[X]$.

Proof: We will show that if $\phi \in G(K/F)$, then $\phi(f(X)) = f(X)$. This will show that each coefficient of $f(X)$ is fixed by each $\phi \in G(K/F)$. Thus, each coefficient of $f(X)$ will be in $F(G(K/F))$. However, by Theorem (21.2), $F(G(K/F)) = F$. Thus, each coefficient of $f(X)$ will be in F , so that $f(X)$ will be in $F[X]$, as desired. Therefore, we must only show that $\phi(f(X)) = f(X)$. Now $\phi(f(X)) = \prod (X^k - \phi\theta(\alpha))$ over all $\theta \in G(K/F)$. However, as θ varies through $G(K/F)$, so does $\phi\theta$ (as the previous comments show), and so $\prod (X^k - \phi\theta(\alpha)) = \prod (X^k - \theta(\alpha)) = f(X)$, and we are done.

Example. We know that $\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, and that $G(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q})$ contains just two automorphisms 1 and conjugation. Thus for any $a + bi \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$, (with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$), this lemma says that $(x^k - (a+bi))(x^k - \overline{(a+bi)})$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. Note that $\overline{a+bi} = a-bi$, and $(X^k - (a+bi))(X^k - (a-bi)) = X^{2k} - 2aX^k + (a^2+b^2)$. As $2a$ and a^2+b^2 are in \mathbb{Q} , the lemma is directly verified in this case.

(21.5) Exercises: a) Let K/F be Galois, and let $\alpha \in K$. Suppose that $\{\Theta(\alpha) \mid \Theta \in G(E/F)\} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. Show that α is a root of $(X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2) \dots (X - \alpha_m) \in F[X]$, (so that α is algebraic over F), and this polynomial is in fact the minimal polynomial of α over F . (Note: $(X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2) \dots (X - \alpha_m)$ may differ from $\prod (X - \Theta(\alpha))$ over all $\Theta \in G(E/F)$, since it may happen that Θ and ϕ may be two different elements in $G(E/F)$, and yet have $\Theta(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha)$. We of course understand $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ to all be distinct.

b) Let β be algebraic over the field F . Show that any $\alpha \in F[\beta]$ is algebraic over F . (Hint: Let $f(X) \in F[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of β over F , and let K be the splitting field of $f(X)$ over F .)

(21.6) Exercise: Let k be a positive integer, and let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ be all the primitive k -th roots of unity. Show that $(X - \omega_1)(X - \omega_2) \dots (X - \omega_m) \in \mathbb{Q}$. (Hint: Let K be the splitting field of $X^k - 1$ over \mathbb{Q} . Using Exercise (19.1)(d), show that if $\Theta \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, then Θ fixes $(X - \omega_1)(X - \omega_2) \dots (X - \omega_m)$.)

Remark: The polynomial $(X - \omega_1)(X - \omega_2) \dots (X - \omega_m)$, where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m$ are all the primitive k -th roots of unity, is called the k -th cyclotomic polynomial. The preceding exercise shows that it is in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. In fact, it is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, but the proof of that is a bit difficult. Being irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, we see that it is the minimal polynomial of ω_1 over \mathbb{Q} .

22. Root towers revisited

(22.1) Lemma: Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq K[\alpha]$, with K/F is Galois. Suppose that $\alpha^k \in K$ for some positive integer k . Suppose also that K contains all the k -th roots of unity. Then there is a field K' with $K[\alpha] \subseteq K'$, K'/F Galois, and $G(K'/K)$ Abelian.

Proof: Suppose that $\alpha^k = a \in K$. By Corollary (21.4), if $f(X) = \prod (X^k - \theta(a))$ over all $\theta \in G(K/F)$, then $f(X) \in F[X] \subseteq K[X]$. We let K' be the splitting field of $f(X)$ over K . Since the identity automorphism, I , is in $G(K/F)$, and since $I(a) = a$, we see that $X^k - a$ is a factor of $f(X)$, and so α is one of the roots of $f(X)$. Thus K' contains α , and so contains $K[\alpha]$, as desired. Since K contains all the k -th roots of unity, Theorem (19.2) shows that $G(K'/K)$ is Abelian, as desired. It only remains to show that K'/F is Galois. We are told that K/F is Galois, and so K is the splitting field over F of some polynomial $g(X) \in F[X]$. Since $f(X) \in F[X]$, and K' is the splitting field of $f(X)$ over K , it is

an easy exercise to see that K' is the splitting field of $g(X)f(X)$ over F , and so K'/K is Galois, as desired.

(22.2) Theorem: Let $F = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n$ be a root tower. Then there is a field L with $F_n \subseteq L$, L/F Galois, and $G(L/F)$ solvable.

Proof: We will build a sequence of fields

$F = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_{n+1}$, such that for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $F_{i-1} \subseteq K_i$, K_i/F is Galois, and $G(K_i/K_{i-1})$ is Abelian. We will inductively construct these K_i .

Since $F = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n$ is a root tower, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we may write $F_i = F_{i-1}[\alpha_i]$ where $\alpha_i^{k_i} \in F_{i-1}$ for some positive integer k_i . Let $k = k_1 k_2 \dots k_n$, and let ω be a primitive k -th root of unity. We let $K_0 = F$, and now construct K_1 . We simply let K_1 be the splitting field of $X^k - 1$ over F . Thus K_1/F is Galois (as desired) and by Exercise (19.1)(c), $G(K_1/K_0) = G(K_1/F)$ is Abelian (as desired). Obviously $F_0 = F \subseteq K_1$ (as desired). Thus K_1 has been constructed, and satisfies the above properties. (Note that since $\omega \in K_1$ and ω is a primitive k -th root of unity, K_1 contains all of the k_i -th roots of unity for each of k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n .)

Let us now suppose that $1 \leq m \leq n$, and that we have already constructed $F = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_m$ in such a way that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, K_i satisfies the above properties. We will now inductively construct K_{m+1} . Consider $F \subseteq K_m \subseteq K_m[\alpha_m]$. We

claim that this satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma (22.1). We have K_m/F Galois, as Lemma (22.1) requires. Also, we know that $F_{m-1} \subseteq K_m$, and that $\alpha_m^{k_m} \in F_{m-1}$. Thus, $\alpha_m^{k_m} \in K_m$, as Lemma (22.1) requires. Finally, since we already noted that K_1 contains all the k_m -th roots of unity, and since $K_1 \subseteq K_m$, we see that K_m contains all the k_m -th roots of unity, as Lemma (22.1) requires. Thus, the hypotheses of Lemma (22.1) are satisfied. That lemma now tells us that there is a field K' with $K_m[\alpha_m] \subseteq K'$, K'/F Galois, and $G(K'/K_m)$ Abelian. Note that since we already have $F_{m-1} \subseteq K_m$, we get $F_m = F_{m-1}[\alpha_m] \subseteq K_m[\alpha_m] \subseteq K'$. Therefore, if we let K_{m+1} be this K' , then K_{m+1} satisfies the properties we seek. This concludes our construction of $F = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_{n+1}$, such that for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $F_{i-1} \subseteq K_i$, K_i/F is Galois, and $G(K_i/K_{i-1})$ is Abelian.

We now let $L = K_{n+1}$, and claim that L satisfies the theorem we are currently proving. We already have $F_n \subseteq K_{n+1} = L$, as desired, and $L/F = K_{n+1}/F$ Galois, as desired. It only remains to show that $G(L/F)$ is solvable. Since for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we know that K_i/F is Galois, Exercise (12.1)(a) shows that K_i/K_{i-1} is Galois. Thus Theorem (20.2) shows that $G(L/F) = G(K_{n+1}/F)$ is Galois.