

More Exotic Options

① Barrier Options

② Compound Options

③ Gap Options

More Exotic Options

① Barrier Options

② Compound Options

③ Gap Options

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
- More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
- **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
- **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Not that a "sum" of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the "ordinary" option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
- More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
- **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
- **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
 - More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
 - **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
 - **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
- Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
- More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
- **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
- **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
 - Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
- More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
- **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
- **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
 - Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
 - More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
 - **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
 - **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
- Not that a "sum" of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the "ordinary" option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
 - More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
 - **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
 - **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
- Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
 - More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
 - **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
 - **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
- Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Definition; Some types

- The payoff of a Barrier option is **path dependent**
 - More precisely, the payoff depends on whether over the option life the underlying price reaches the **barrier**; note that this is a simplistic view of things - the stock prices are observed at discrete times and the wording above implies continuous observation of stock prices
 - **Knock-out options** go **out of** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-out: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-out: has to rise to reach the barrier
 - **Knock-in options** come **into** existence if the asset price reaches the barrier; the variants are
 - ↓ down-and-in: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up-and-in: has to rise to reach the barrier
- Some examples of situations when Asian options are useful are:
- Not that a “sum” of a knock-in and a knock-out option is equivalent to the “ordinary” option

Rebate options

- **Rebate options** make a fixed payment if the asset price reaches the barrier; we have
 - ↓ down rebates: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up rebates: has to rise to reach the barrier
- More complex barrier options require the asset price to not only cross a barrier, but spend a certain amount of time across the barrier in order to knock in or knock out

Rebate options

- **Rebate options** make a fixed payment if the asset price reaches the barrier; we have
 - ↓ down rebates: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up rebates: has to rise to reach the barrier
- More complex barrier options require the asset price to not only cross a barrier, but spend a certain amount of time across the barrier in order to knock in or knock out

Rebate options

- **Rebate options** make a fixed payment if the asset price reaches the barrier; we have
 - ↓ down rebates: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up rebates: has to rise to reach the barrier
- More complex barrier options require the asset price to not only cross a barrier, but spend a certain amount of time across the barrier in order to knock in or knock out

Rebate options

- **Rebate options** make a fixed payment if the asset price reaches the barrier; we have
 - ↓ down rebates: has to fall to reach the barrier
 - ↑ up rebates: has to rise to reach the barrier
- More complex barrier options require the asset price to not only cross a barrier, but spend a certain amount of time across the barrier in order to knock in or knock out

Up-and-Out Call

- Let us spend some time studying a particular barrier option - the analysis of the other options would be analogous
- Assume that the underlying risky asset S is a geometric Brownian motion

$$dS(t) = rS(t) dt + \sigma S(t) d\tilde{W}(t),$$

where \tilde{W} is a standard Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

- Consider a European call, expiring at time T with strike price K and up-and-out barrier B (of course, $K < B$)
- As we have seen before, the closed form for the solution of the above SDE for the asset price S is

$$S(t) = S(0)e^{\sigma\tilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} = S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)}$$

where we introduced the stochastic process

$$\hat{W}(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma}(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \tilde{W}(t)$$

Up-and-Out Call

- Let us spend some time studying a particular barrier option - the analysis of the other options would be analogous
- Assume that the underlying risky asset S is a geometric Brownian motion

$$dS(t) = rS(t) dt + \sigma S(t) d\tilde{W}(t),$$

where \tilde{W} is a standard Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

- Consider a European call, expiring at time T with strike price K and up-and-out barrier B (of course, $K < B$)
- As we have seen before, the closed form for the solution of the above SDE for the asset price S is

$$S(t) = S(0)e^{\sigma\tilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} = S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)}$$

where we introduced the stochastic process

$$\hat{W}(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma}(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \tilde{W}(t)$$

Up-and-Out Call

- Let us spend some time studying a particular barrier option - the analysis of the other options would be analogous
- Assume that the underlying risky asset S is a geometric Brownian motion

$$dS(t) = rS(t) dt + \sigma S(t) d\tilde{W}(t),$$

where \tilde{W} is a standard Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

- Consider a European call, expiring at time T with strike price K and up-and-out barrier B (of course, $K < B$)
- As we have seen before, the closed form for the solution of the above SDE for the asset price S is

$$S(t) = S(0)e^{\sigma\tilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} = S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)}$$

where we introduced the stochastic process

$$\hat{W}(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma}(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \tilde{W}(t)$$

Up-and-Out Call

- Let us spend some time studying a particular barrier option - the analysis of the other options would be analogous
- Assume that the underlying risky asset S is a geometric Brownian motion

$$dS(t) = rS(t) dt + \sigma S(t) d\tilde{W}(t),$$

where \tilde{W} is a standard Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

- Consider a European call, expiring at time T with strike price K and up-and-out barrier B (of course, $K < B$)
- As we have seen before, the closed form for the solution of the above SDE for the asset price S is

$$S(t) = S(0)e^{\sigma\tilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} = S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)}$$

where we introduced the stochastic process

$$\hat{W}(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma}(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \tilde{W}(t)$$

Up-and-Out Call: On the maximum of S

- Define

$$\hat{M}_T = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{W}(t)$$

- Then, we have that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t) = e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)}$$

- The option kicks out if and only if

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} > B$$

- If the above happens - the option is rendered worthless
- If

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} \leq B$$

then the payoff is

$$(S(T) - K)^+ = (S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T)} - K)^+$$

Up-and-Out Call: On the maximum of S

- Define

$$\hat{M}_T = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{W}(t)$$

- Then, we have that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t) = e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)}$$

- The option kicks out if and only if

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} > B$$

- If the above happens - the option is rendered worthless
- If

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} \leq B$$

then the payoff is

$$(S(T) - K)^+ = (S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T)} - K)^+$$

Up-and-Out Call: On the maximum of S

- Define

$$\hat{M}_T = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{W}(t)$$

- Then, we have that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t) = e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)}$$

- The option kicks out if and only if

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} > B$$

- If the above happens - the option is rendered worthless
- If

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} \leq B$$

then the payoff is

$$(S(T) - K)^+ = (S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T)} - K)^+$$

Up-and-Out Call: On the maximum of S

- Define

$$\hat{M}_T = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{W}(t)$$

- Then, we have that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t) = e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)}$$

- The option kicks out if and only if

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} > B$$

- If the above happens - the option is rendered worthless

- If

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} \leq B$$

then the payoff is

$$(S(T) - K)^+ = (S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T)} - K)^+$$

Up-and-Out Call: On the maximum of S

- Define

$$\hat{M}_T = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{W}(t)$$

- Then, we have that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t) = e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)}$$

- The option kicks out if and only if

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} > B$$

- If the above happens - the option is rendered worthless
- If

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} \leq B$$

then the payoff is

$$(S(T) - K)^+ = (S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T)} - K)^+$$

Up-and-Out Call: On the maximum of S

- Define

$$\hat{M}_T = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{W}(t)$$

- Then, we have that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} S(t) = e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)}$$

- The option kicks out if and only if

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} > B$$

- If the above happens - the option is rendered worthless
- If

$$S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{M}(T)} \leq B$$

then the payoff is

$$(S(T) - K)^+ = (S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T)} - K)^+$$

Up-and-Out Call: The payoff

- Altogether, when we combine the above two cases, the payoff of the option is

$$\begin{aligned}V(T) &= (S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} - K)^+ \mathbb{I}_{\{S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{M}(T)} \leq B\}} \\ &= (S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} - K) \mathbb{I}_{\{S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} \geq K, S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{M}(T)} \leq B\}} \\ &= (S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} - K) \mathbb{I}_{\{\hat{W}(T) \geq k, \hat{M}(T) \leq b\}}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}k &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \left(\frac{K}{S(0)} \right); \\ b &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \left(\frac{B}{S(0)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- The fact that we know explicitly the formula for the joint density of a Brownian motion and its maximum helps us in the valuation procedure

Up-and-Out Call: The payoff

- Altogether, when we combine the above two cases, the payoff of the option is

$$\begin{aligned}V(T) &= (S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} - K)^+ \mathbb{I}_{\{S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{M}(T)} \leq B\}} \\ &= (S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} - K) \mathbb{I}_{\{S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} \geq K, S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{M}(T)} \leq B\}} \\ &= (S(0)e^{\sigma\hat{W}(t)} - K) \mathbb{I}_{\{\hat{W}(T) \geq k, \hat{M}(T) \leq b\}}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}k &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \left(\frac{K}{S(0)} \right); \\ b &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \left(\frac{B}{S(0)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- The fact that we know explicitly the formula for the joint density of a Brownian motion and its maximum helps us in the valuation procedure

The Valuation

- In general, barrier options are “cheaper” than the otherwise identical “ordinary” options
- Under some minor assumptions, it is possible to find the price of the barrier options in the Black-Scholes setting
- The formula for the price is quite long - but it contains only N as a special function
- In fact, the up-and-out option's price satisfies the Black-Scholes-Merton partial differential equation (as the price for the ordinary European call) - it is the boundary conditions that are different (they have to account for the barrier) and they complicate matters somewhat

The Valuation

- In general, barrier options are “cheaper” than the otherwise identical “ordinary” options
- Under some minor assumptions, it is possible to find the price of the barrier options in the Black-Scholes setting
- The formula for the price is quite long - but it contains only N as a special function
- In fact, the up-and-out option's price satisfies the Black-Scholes-Merton partial differential equation (as the price for the ordinary European call) - it is the boundary conditions that are different (they have to account for the barrier) and they complicate matters somewhat

The Valuation

- In general, barrier options are “cheaper” than the otherwise identical “ordinary” options
- Under some minor assumptions, it is possible to find the price of the barrier options in the Black-Scholes setting
- The formula for the price is quite long - but it contains only N as a special function
- In fact, the up-and-out option's price satisfies the Black-Scholes-Merton partial differential equation (as the price for the ordinary European call) - it is the boundary conditions that are different (they have to account for the barrier) and they complicate matters somewhat

The Valuation

- In general, barrier options are “cheaper” than the otherwise identical “ordinary” options
- Under some minor assumptions, it is possible to find the price of the barrier options in the Black-Scholes setting
- The formula for the price is quite long - but it contains only N as a special function
- In fact, the up-and-out option's price satisfies the Black-Scholes-Merton partial differential equation (as the price for the ordinary European call) - it is the boundary conditions that are different (they have to account for the barrier) and they complicate matters somewhat

More Exotic Options

① Barrier Options

② Compound Options

③ Gap Options

The Set-up

- A **compound option** is an option to buy an option
- Let us draw a timeline
- Consider a call on a call option, i.e., an option to buy a call option with maturity T and strike price K at some exercise time $T_1 < T$, for some strike price K_1
- This call on a call should be exercised at time T_1 only if the strike price K_1 is lower than the price of the underlying call option at time T_1
- So, the payoff of this option at time T_1 is

$$(C(T_1) - K_1)^+ = (C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1) - K_1)^+$$

where $C(T_1) = C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1)$ is the current price of the underlying call option

The Set-up

- A **compound option** is an option to buy an option
- Let us draw a timeline
- Consider a call on a call option, i.e., an option to buy a call option with maturity T and strike price K at some exercise time $T_1 < T$, for some strike price K_1
- This call on a call should be exercised at time T_1 only if the strike price K_1 is lower than the price of the underlying call option at time T_1
- So, the payoff of this option at time T_1 is

$$(C(T_1) - K_1)^+ = (C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1) - K_1)^+$$

where $C(T_1) = C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1)$ is the current price of the underlying call option

The Set-up

- A **compound option** is an option to buy an option
- Let us draw a timeline
- Consider a call on a call option, i.e., an option to buy a call option with maturity T and strike price K at some exercise time $T_1 < T$, for some strike price K_1
- This call on a call should be exercised at time T_1 only if the strike price K_1 is lower than the price of the underlying call option at time T_1
- So, the payoff of this option at time T_1 is

$$(C(T_1) - K_1)^+ = (C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1) - K_1)^+$$

where $C(T_1) = C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1)$ is the current price of the underlying call option

The Set-up

- A **compound option** is an option to buy an option
- Let us draw a timeline
- Consider a call on a call option, i.e., an option to buy a call option with maturity T and strike price K at some exercise time $T_1 < T$, for some strike price K_1
- This call on a call should be exercised at time T_1 only if the strike price K_1 is lower than the price of the underlying call option at time T_1
- So, the payoff of this option at time T_1 is

$$(C(T_1) - K_1)^+ = (C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1) - K_1)^+$$

where $C(T_1) = C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1)$ is the current price of the underlying call option

The Set-up

- A **compound option** is an option to buy an option
- Let us draw a timeline
- Consider a call on a call option, i.e., an option to buy a call option with maturity T and strike price K at some exercise time $T_1 < T$, for some strike price K_1
- This call on a call should be exercised at time T_1 only if the strike price K_1 is lower than the price of the underlying call option at time T_1
- So, the payoff of this option at time T_1 is

$$(C(T_1) - K_1)^+ = (C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1) - K_1)^+$$

where $C(T_1) = C(S(T_1), K, T - T_1)$ is the current price of the underlying call option

The Pricing

- Assume the Black-Scholes-Merton setting and denote the price of the underlying call option at time T_1 by $C(T_1)$ - we know the formula for this value
- Then the time 0 price of the call on a call option can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0[e^{-rT_1}(C(T_1) - K_1)^+] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0[e^{-rT_1}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{T_1}[e^{-r(T-T_1)}(S(T) - K)^+] - K_1)^+] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^+ - e^{-rT_1}K_1]\mathbb{I}_{\{C(T_1) \geq K_1\}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the risk-neutral probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

The Pricing

- Assume the Black-Scholes-Merton setting and denote the price of the underlying call option at time T_1 by $C(T_1)$ - we know the formula for this value
- Then the time 0 price of the call on a call option can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0[e^{-rT_1}(C(T_1) - K_1)^+] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0[e^{-rT_1}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{T_1}[e^{-r(T-T_1)}(S(T) - K)^+] - K_1)^+] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_0[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^+ - e^{-rT_1}K_1]\mathbb{I}_{\{C(T_1) \geq K_1\}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the risk-neutral probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

Parity

- Let *CallOnCall* denote the price of the compound call on an underlying call option with maturity T_1
- Let *PutOnCall* denote the price of the compound put on an underlying call option (the exact analogue of the above call-on-call)
- Let *Call* denote the price of the underlying call option
- Then the parity for compound options reads as

$$CallOnCall - PutOnCall = Call - x^{-rT_1}$$

Parity

- Let *CallOnCall* denote the price of the compound call on an underlying call option with maturity T_1
- Let *PutOnCall* denote the price of the compound put on an underlying call option (the exact analogue of the above call-on-call)
- Let *Call* denote the price of the underlying call option
- Then the parity for compound options reads as

$$CallOnCall - PutOnCall = Call - x^{-rT_1}$$

Parity

- Let *CallOnCall* denote the price of the compound call on an underlying call option with maturity T_1
- Let *PutOnCall* denote the price of the compound put on an underlying call option (the exact analogue of the above call-on-call)
- Let *Call* denote the price of the underlying call option
- Then the parity for compound options reads as

$$CallOnCall - PutOnCall = Call - x^{-rT_1}$$

Parity

- Let *CallOnCall* denote the price of the compound call on an underlying call option with maturity T_1
- Let *PutOnCall* denote the price of the compound put on an underlying call option (the exact analogue of the above call-on-call)
- Let *Call* denote the price of the underlying call option
- Then the parity for compound options reads as

$$\text{CallOnCall} - \text{PutOnCall} = \text{Call} - x^{-rT_1}$$

More Exotic Options

① Barrier Options

② Compound Options

③ Gap Options

A Gap Call

- A gap call option pays SK_1 when $S > K_2$
- There is a gap in the payoff diagram - hence the name of the option
- The Black-Scholes price of a gap call option is

$$C(S, K_1, K_2, \sigma, r, T, \delta) = Se^{-\delta T} N(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} N(d_2)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{S}{K_2} \right) + (r - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T \right]$$

$$\text{and } d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}$$

A Gap Call

- A gap call option pays SK_1 when $S > K_2$
- There is a gap in the payoff diagram - hence the name of the option
- The Black-Scholes price of a gap call option is

$$C(S, K_1, K_2, \sigma, r, T, \delta) = Se^{-\delta T} N(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} N(d_2)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \left[\ln\left(\frac{S}{K_2}\right) + (r - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T \right]$$

and $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}$

A Gap Call

- A gap call option pays SK_1 when $S > K_2$
- There is a gap in the payoff diagram - hence the name of the option
- The Black-Scholes price of a gap call option is

$$C(S, K_1, K_2, \sigma, r, T, \delta) = Se^{-\delta T} N(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} N(d_2)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \left[\ln\left(\frac{S}{K_2}\right) + (r - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T \right]$$

and $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}$