The Growth of Money

- Interest
- 2 Accumulation and amount functions
- 3 Simple Interest/Linear Accumulation Functions
- 4 Discount functions/The time value of money
- **6** Simple discount

The Growth of Money

- 1 Interest
- 2 Accumulation and amount functions
- 3 Simple Interest/Linear Accumulation Functions
- 4 Discount functions/The time value of money
- **6** Simple discount

- \$K...the principal, i.e., the amount of money that the borrower borrows/lender lends at time t = 0
- \$5...the amount of money that changes hands at a later time say,
 T
- The interest is defined as

$$S - K \ge 0$$

- \$K... the principal, i.e., the amount of money that the borrower borrows/lender lends at time t=0
- \$5...the amount of money that changes hands at a later time say, T
- The interest is defined as

$$S - K > 0$$

- \$K...the principal, i.e., the amount of money that the borrower borrows/lender lends at time t = 0
- \$5...the amount of money that changes hands at a later time say,
 T
- The interest is defined as

$$S - K \ge 0$$

- \$K...the principal, i.e., the amount of money that the borrower borrows/lender lends at time t = 0
- \$5...the amount of money that changes hands at a later time say,
- The interest is defined as

$$S - K \ge 0$$

The Growth of Money

- 1 Interest
- 2 Accumulation and amount functions
- 3 Simple Interest/Linear Accumulation Functions
- 4 Discount functions/The time value of money
- **6** Simple discount

Let us temporarily fix the principal \$K

- $A_K(t)$...the amount function for principal K, i.e., the balance at time $t \ge 0$ (time is always measured in some agreed upon units; think "years" for now)
- In words: K invested at time t = 0 "grows" to $A_K(t)$ at time t > 0
- Note: $A_K(0) = K$

- Let us temporarily fix the principal \$K
- $A_K(t)$...the amount function for principal \$K, i.e., the balance at time $t \ge 0$ (time is always measured in some agreed upon units; think "years" for now)
- In words: \$K invested at time t=0 "grows" to $A_K(t)$ at time t>0
- Note: $A_K(0) = K$

- Let us temporarily fix the principal \$K
- $A_K(t)$...the amount function for principal K, i.e., the balance at time $t \ge 0$ (time is always measured in some agreed upon units; think "years" for now)
- In words: K invested at time t=0 "grows" to $A_K(t)$ at time t>0
- Note: $A_K(0) = K$

- Let us temporarily fix the principal \$K
- $A_K(t)$...the amount function for principal \$K, i.e., the balance at time $t \ge 0$ (time is always measured in some agreed upon units; think "years" for now)
- In words: K invested at time t=0 "grows" to $A_K(t)$ at time $t\geq 0$
- Note: $A_K(0) = K$

The accumulation function

- a(t) ... the accumulation function, i.e., the amount function if the principal \$K is one dollar
- Formally: If the principal is one dollar, we write

$$a(t) = A_1(t)$$

Note

$$a(0) = 1$$

The accumulation function

- a(t) ... the accumulation function, i.e., the amount function if the principal \$K is one dollar
- Formally: If the principal is one dollar, we write

$$a(t) = A_1(t)$$

Note:

$$a(0) = 1$$

The accumulation function

- a(t) ... the accumulation function, i.e., the amount function if the principal \$K is one dollar
- Formally: If the principal is one dollar, we write

$$a(t) = A_1(t)$$

Note:

$$a(0) = 1$$

The relationship between the amount and the accumulation functions

• We expect to have that

$$A_K(t) = Ka(t)$$

- This is common, but is NOT always the case (the investment scheme may include a tiered growth structure).
- However, since the above equality holds in most cases, we will assume that it is true unless it is explicitly noted otherwise.

The relationship between the amount and the accumulation functions

We expect to have that

$$A_K(t) = Ka(t)$$

- This is *common*, but is **NOT** always the case (the investment scheme may include a *tiered* growth structure).
- However, since the above equality holds in most cases, we will assume that it is true unless it is explicitly noted otherwise.

The relationship between the amount and the accumulation functions

We expect to have that

$$A_K(t) = Ka(t)$$

- This is common, but is NOT always the case (the investment scheme may include a tiered growth structure).
- However, since the above equality holds in most cases, we will assume that it is true unless it is explicitly noted otherwise.

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook

- It is natural to assume that both a and A_K increase in the time variable.
- Such increase may be, for example:
- continuous and linear;
- discrete (end of the year, e.g.);
- continuous and exponential
- However, there are investment schemes in which it is possible to lose money over time (e.g., if one invests in a fund that trades in the market or in a restaurant that takes time to pay off)
- Assignment: Examples 1.3.2-4 in the textbook.

Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}...$ the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$\dot{g}_{[t_1,t_2]} = rac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• IF $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$A_{1}[t_{1},t_{2}]=rac{A_{K}(t_{2})-A_{K}(t_{1})}{A_{K}(t_{1})}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation:

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence.

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$

and $i_1 = a(1) - 1$



Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}$... the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$\dot{g}_{[t_1,t_2]} = rac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• IF $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$A_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{A_K(t_2) - A_K(t_1)}{A_K(t_1)}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence.

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$



Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}$... the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• IF $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$f_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{A_K(t_2) - A_K(t_1)}{A_K(t_1)}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation:

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$



Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}$... the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• **IF** $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = rac{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_2) - A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation:

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$



Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}$... the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• **IF** $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = rac{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_2) - A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation:

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence.

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$



Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}$... the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• **IF** $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = rac{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_2) - A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation:

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence.

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$



Let $t_2 > t_1 \ge 0$

- $A_K(t_2) A_K(t_1) \dots$ the amount of interest earned between time t_1 and time t_2
- $i_{[t_1,t_2]}$... the effective interest rate for the interval $[t_1,t_2]$, i.e.,

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = \frac{a(t_2) - a(t_1)}{a(t_1)}$$

• **IF** $A_K(t) = Ka(t)$, then we also have

$$i_{[t_1,t_2]} = rac{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_2) - A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}{A_{\mathcal{K}}(t_1)}$$

- The interval [n-1, n] is called the n^{th} time period (for n a positive integer)
- Notation:

$$i_n = i_{[n-1,n]} = \frac{a(n) - a(n-1)}{a(n-1)}$$

Hence,

$$a(n) = a(n-1)(1+i_n)$$

and $i_1 = a(1) - 1$



The Growth of Money

- Interest
- 2 Accumulation and amount functions
- 3 Simple Interest/Linear Accumulation Functions
- 4 Discount functions/The time value of money
- **6** Simple discount

• In this case, a is assumed linear and, thus, must be of the form

$$a(t) = 1 + st$$

- s ... the simple interest rate
- Note: $s = i_1$
- $A_K(t) = K(1+st)...$ the amount function for K invested by simple interest at rate S
- $a(t) = 1 + st \dots$ the simple interest accumulation function at rate s
- Let us look at an example . . .

• In this case, a is assumed linear and, thus, must be of the form

$$a(t) = 1 + st$$

- s ... the simple interest rate
- Note: $s = i_1$
- $A_K(t) = K(1+st)...$ the amount function for K invested by simple interest at rate S
- $a(t) = 1 + st \dots$ the simple interest accumulation function at rate s
- Let us look at an example . . .

• In this case, a is assumed linear and, thus, must be of the form

$$a(t) = 1 + st$$

- s ... the simple interest rate
- Note: $s = i_1$
- $A_K(t) = K(1+st)...$ the amount function for K invested by simple interest at rate s
- $a(t) = 1 + st \dots$ the simple interest accumulation function at rate s
- Let us look at an example . . .

• In this case, a is assumed linear and, thus, must be of the form

$$a(t) = 1 + st$$

- s ... the simple interest rate
- Note: $s = i_1$
- $A_K(t) = K(1+st)...$ the amount function for \$K\$ invested by simple interest at rate s
- $a(t) = 1 + st \dots$ the simple interest accumulation function at rate s
- Let us look at an example . . .

Linear a(t)

• In this case, a is assumed linear and, thus, must be of the form

$$a(t) = 1 + st$$

for a certain constant s

- s ... the simple interest rate
- Note: $s = i_1$
- $A_K(t) = K(1+st)...$ the amount function for \$K\$ invested by simple interest at rate s
- $a(t) = 1 + st \dots$ the simple interest accumulation function at rate s
- Let us look at an example . . .

Linear a(t)

• In this case, a is assumed linear and, thus, must be of the form

$$a(t) = 1 + st$$

for a certain constant s

- s ... the simple interest rate
- Note: $s = i_1$
- $A_K(t) = K(1+st)...$ the amount function for K invested by simple interest at rate S
- $a(t) = 1 + st \dots$ the simple interest accumulation function at rate s
- Let us look at an example . . .

$$i_n = \frac{s}{1 + s(n-1)}$$

- So, i_n is **decreasing** in n (see Example 1.4.2 in the textbook for an illustration of this fact)
- Moreover,

$$i_n \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$

So, simple interest is not convenient for long duration loans.

$$i_n = \frac{s}{1 + s(n-1)}$$

- So, i_n is **decreasing** in n (see Example 1.4.2 in the textbook for an illustration of this fact)
- Moreover.

$$i_n \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$

So, simple interest is not convenient for long duration loans.

$$i_n = \frac{s}{1 + s(n-1)}$$

- So, i_n is **decreasing** in n (see Example 1.4.2 in the textbook for an illustration of this fact)
- Moreover,

$$i_n \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$

So, simple interest is not convenient for long duration loans.

$$i_n = \frac{s}{1 + s(n-1)}$$

- So, i_n is **decreasing** in n (see Example 1.4.2 in the textbook for an illustration of this fact)
- Moreover,

$$i_n \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$

• So, simple interest is not convenient for long duration loans.

Methods for measuring the time/length of the loan in years

- Exact simple interest aka "actual/actual"

 The loan term \mathcal{D} expressed in days and divided by 365
- The loan term \mathcal{D} expressed in days assuming that each month has
- The Banker's rule aka "actual/360" The loan term $\mathcal D$ expressed in (actual) days and then divided by 360

Methods for measuring the time/length of the loan in years

- Exact simple interest aka "actual/actual" The loan term ${\cal D}$ expressed in days and divided by 365
- Ordinary simple interest aka "30/360" The loan term \mathcal{D} expressed in days assuming that each month has 30 days and then divided by 360
- The Banker's rule aka "actual/360" The loan term $\mathcal D$ expressed in (actual) days and then divided by 360

Methods for measuring the time/length of the loan in years

- ullet Exact simple interest aka "actual/actual" The loan term ${\cal D}$ expressed in days and divided by 365
- Ordinary simple interest aka "30/360" The loan term \mathcal{D} expressed in days assuming that each month has 30 days and then divided by 360
- The Banker's rule aka "actual/360" The loan term \mathcal{D} expressed in (actual) days and then divided by 360

The Growth of Money

- 1 Interest
- 2 Accumulation and amount functions
- 3 Simple Interest/Linear Accumulation Functions
- 4 Discount functions/The time value of money
- **6** Simple discount

v(t)...the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should invest at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time t₁ > 0 - with the goal of earning \$S at a still later time t₂?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t_1)

$$\$Sv(t_2)a(t_1) = \$S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = \$S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$

v(t)...the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should **invest** at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time t₁ > 0 - with the goal of earning \$S at a still later time t₂?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t_1)

$$SV(t_2)a(t_1) = S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$

v(t)...the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should **invest** at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time $t_1 > 0$ with the goal of earning \$S\$ at a still later time t_2 ?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t_1)

$$SV(t_2)a(t_1) = S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$

v(t)...the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should **invest** at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time $t_1 > 0$ with the goal of earning \$5 at a still later time t_2 ?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t_1)

$$SV(t_2)a(t_1) = S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$

v(t)...the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should **invest** at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time $t_1 > 0$ with the goal of earning \$S\$ at a still later time t_2 ?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t_1)

$$SV(t_2)a(t_1) = S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$

• v(t)... the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should **invest** at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time $t_1 > 0$ with the goal of earning \$S\$ at a still later time t_2 ?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t_1)

$$SV(t_2)a(t_1) = S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$

• v(t)...the discount function, i.e.,

$$v(t)=\frac{1}{a(t)}$$

- In words, v(t) is the amount of money that one should **invest** at time 0 in order to have \$1 at time t
- For example, in the simple interest case, we have that

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{1+st}$$

- Question: What if one wishes to invest a certain amount not at time 0 but at a later time $t_1 > 0$ - with the goal of earning \$S\$ at a still later time t_2 ?
- Let us draw the time line
- One needs to invest (at time t₁)

$$SV(t_2)a(t_1) = S\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} = S\frac{v(t_2)}{v(t_1)}$$



Present Value

• $PV_{a(t)}(\$L \text{ at } t_0) \dots \text{present value}$ with respect to a(t) of \$L to be received at time t_0 , i.e.,

$$PV_{a(t)}(\$L \text{ at } t_0) = \$Lv(t_0)$$

if the growth is proportional to the invested amount

 Convention: If it is obvious which accumulation function a(t) we use, we suppress it from the notation for the present value

Present Value

• $PV_{a(t)}(\$L \text{ at } t_0) \dots \text{present value}$ with respect to a(t) of \$L to be received at time t_0 , i.e.,

$$PV_{a(t)}(\$L \text{ at } t_0) = \$Lv(t_0)$$

if the growth is proportional to the invested amount

 Convention: If it is obvious which accumulation function a(t) we use, we suppress it from the notation for the present value

The Growth of Money

- 1 Interest
- 2 Accumulation and amount functions
- 3 Simple Interest/Linear Accumulation Functions
- 4 Discount functions/The time value of money
- **6** Simple discount

• D ... the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e.,

If an investor (lender) lends \$1 for one basic period at a discount rate D - this means that in order to obtain \$1 at time 0, the borrower must **pay immediately** \$D\$ to the lender.

Note that the "net-effect" for the borrower is that they get to use

$$(1-D)$$

- The initial fee is proportional to the amount of money borrowed, i.e.,
 if one wants to borrow \$K, one needs to pay \$DK to the lender
- The value \$DK is called the amount of discount

• D ... the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e.,

If an investor (lender) lends \$1 for one basic period at a discount rate D - this means that in order to obtain \$1 at time 0, the borrower must **pay immediately** \$D\$ to the lender.

Note that the "net-effect" for the borrower is that they get to use

$$(1 - D)$$

- The initial fee is proportional to the amount of money borrowed, i.e., if one wants to borrow \$K, one needs to pay \$DK to the lender
- The value \$DK is called the amount of discount

• D ... the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e.,

If an investor (lender) lends \$1 for one basic period at a discount rate D - this means that in order to obtain \$1 at time 0, the borrower must **pay immediately** \$D\$ to the lender.

Note that the "net-effect" for the borrower is that they get to use

$$(1 - D)$$

- The initial fee is proportional to the amount of money borrowed, i.e.,
 if one wants to borrow \$K, one needs to pay \$DK to the lender
- The value \$DK is called the amount of discount

• D ... the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e.,

If an investor (lender) lends \$1 for one basic period at a discount rate D - this means that in order to obtain \$1 at time 0, the borrower must **pay immediately** \$D\$ to the lender.

Note that the "net-effect" for the borrower is that they get to use

$$(1 - D)$$

- The initial fee is proportional to the amount of money borrowed, i.e., if one wants to borrow \$K, one needs to pay \$DK to the lender
- The value \$DK is called the amount of discount



• D ... the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e.,

If an investor (lender) lends \$1 for one basic period at a discount rate D - this means that in order to obtain \$1 at time 0, the borrower must **pay immediately** \$D\$ to the lender.

Note that the "net-effect" for the borrower is that they get to use

$$(1 - D)$$

- The initial fee is proportional to the amount of money borrowed, i.e., if one wants to borrow \$K, one needs to pay \$DK to the lender
- The value \$DK is called the amount of discount

 D...the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e., D is uniquely determined by

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - tD}$$

$$v(t) = 1 - tD$$

- Note that the discount function v(t) is linear in this case
- Caveat: This situation is **not** the same as the one when the accumulation function is linear

 D...the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e., D is uniquely determined by

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - tD}$$

$$v(t) = 1 - tD$$

- Note that the discount function v(t) is linear in this case
- Caveat: This situation is not the same as the one when the accumulation function is linear

 D...the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e., D is uniquely determined by

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - tD}$$

$$v(t) = 1 - tD$$

- Note that the discount function v(t) is linear in this case
- Caveat: This situation is not the same as the one when the accumulation function is linear.

 D ...the discount per unit time period, per dollar that the borrower and the lender agree upon at time 0, i.e., D is uniquely determined by

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - tD}$$

$$v(t) = 1 - tD$$

- Note that the discount function v(t) is linear in this case
- Caveat: This situation is not the same as the one when the accumulation function is linear

$$A_K(t)$$
 and $a(t)$

$$A_K(t) = \frac{K}{1 - dt}$$

is called the amount function for K invested by simple discount at a rate d

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - dt}$$

- Let us draw their graphs
- Note that it only makes sense to talk about loan terms that are shorter than 1/d

$$A_K(t)$$
 and $a(t)$

$$A_K(t) = \frac{K}{1 - dt}$$

is called the amount function for K invested by simple discount at a rate d

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - dt}$$

- Let us draw their graphs
- Note that it only makes sense to talk about loan terms that are shorter than 1/d

$$A_K(t)$$
 and $a(t)$

$$A_K(t) = \frac{K}{1 - dt}$$

is called the amount function for K invested by simple discount at a rate d

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - dt}$$

- Let us draw their graphs
- Note that it only makes sense to talk about loan terms that are shorter than 1/d

$$A_K(t)$$
 and $a(t)$

$$A_K(t) = \frac{K}{1 - dt}$$

is called the amount function for K invested by simple discount at a rate d

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - dt}$$

- Let us draw their graphs
- Note that it only makes sense to talk about loan terms that are shorter than $1/\emph{d}$