

Scaled Random Walks

- ① Symmetric Random Walk
- ② Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- ③ Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

Scaled Random Walks

- 1 Symmetric Random Walk
- 2 Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- 3 Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ($p = q = 1/2$)
- Let X_j be the random variable representing the outcome of the j^{th} coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define $M_0 = 0$ and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ a **symmetric random walk**

Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ($p = q = 1/2$)
- Let X_j be the random variable representing the outcome of the j^{th} coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define $M_0 = 0$ and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ a **symmetric random walk**

Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ($p = q = 1/2$)
- Let X_j be the random variable representing the outcome of the j^{th} coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define $M_0 = 0$ and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ a **symmetric random walk**

Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ($p = q = 1/2$)
- Let X_j be the random variable representing the outcome of the j^{th} coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define $M_0 = 0$ and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ a **symmetric random walk**

Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ($p = q = 1/2$)
- Let X_j be the random variable representing the outcome of the j^{th} coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define $M_0 = 0$ and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ a **symmetric random walk**

Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$, the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time

Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$, the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time

Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$, the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the **variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time**

Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$, the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time

Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$, the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that **the variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time**

Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation $[M, M]_k$ equals the variance of M_k - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation $[M, M]_k$ equals the variance of M_k - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation $[M, M]_k$ equals the variance of M_k - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
 - The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
 - The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation $[M, M]_k$ equals the variance of M_k - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation $[M, M]_k$ equals the variance of M_k - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

Scaled Random Walks

- 1 Symmetric Random Walk
- 2 Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- 3 Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

The definition

- Recall the illustrative graphs on the convergence of random walks
...
- For a **fixed** integer n , we define the **scaled symmetric random walk** by

$$W^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{nt}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ such that nt is an integer; for all other nonnegative t - we define $W^{(n)}(t)$ by **linear interpolation**

- The scaled random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., if $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$ are such that nt_j is an integer for all j , then the random variables

$$W^{(n)}(t_1) - W^{(n)}(t_0), W^{(n)}(t_2) - W^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, W^{(n)}(t_m) - W^{(n)}(t_{m-1})$$

are **independent**

The definition

- Recall the illustrative graphs on the convergence of random walks
...
- For a **fixed** integer n , we define the **scaled symmetric random walk** by

$$W^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{nt}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ such that nt is an integer; for all other nonnegative t - we define $W^{(n)}(t)$ by **linear interpolation**

- The scaled random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., if $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$ are such that nt_j is an integer for all j , then the random variables

$$W^{(n)}(t_1) - W^{(n)}(t_0), W^{(n)}(t_2) - W^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, W^{(n)}(t_m) - W^{(n)}(t_{m-1})$$

are **independent**

The definition

- Recall the illustrative graphs on the convergence of random walks
...
- For a **fixed** integer n , we define the **scaled symmetric random walk** by

$$W^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{nt}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ such that nt is an integer; for all other nonnegative t - we define $W^{(n)}(t)$ by **linear interpolation**

- The scaled random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., if $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$ are such that nt_j is an integer for all j , then the random variables

$$W^{(n)}(t_1) - W^{(n)}(t_0), W^{(n)}(t_2) - W^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, W^{(n)}(t_m) - W^{(n)}(t_{m-1})$$

are **independent**

More properties of the scaled symmetric random walk

- Let $0 \leq s \leq t$ be such that both ns and nt are integers, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= 0 \\ \text{Var}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= t - s\end{aligned}$$

- The quadratic variation for any t such that nt is an integer equals

$$\begin{aligned}[W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}](t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \frac{1}{n} = t\end{aligned}$$

More properties of the scaled symmetric random walk

- Let $0 \leq s \leq t$ be such that both ns and nt are integers, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= 0 \\ \text{Var}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= t - s\end{aligned}$$

- The quadratic variation for any t such that nt is an integer equals

$$\begin{aligned}[W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}](t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \frac{1}{n} = t\end{aligned}$$

More properties of the scaled symmetric random walk

- Let $0 \leq s \leq t$ be such that both ns and nt are integers, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= 0 \\ \text{Var}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= t - s\end{aligned}$$

- The quadratic variation for any t such that nt is an integer equals

$$\begin{aligned}[W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}](t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \frac{1}{n} = t\end{aligned}$$

Limiting Distribution of the Scaled Random Walk

- Central Limit Theorem

Fix $t \geq 0$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of the scaled random walk $W^{(n)}(t)$ evaluated at time t converges to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance t , i.e., for every $t \geq 0$

$$W^{(n)}(t) \Rightarrow N(0, t)$$

- We use the CLT in statements such as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W^{(100)}(0.25))] \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2x^2} dx$$

where g is any continuous, bounded function

Limiting Distribution of the Scaled Random Walk

- Central Limit Theorem

Fix $t \geq 0$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of the scaled random walk $W^{(n)}(t)$ evaluated at time t converges to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance t , i.e., for every $t \geq 0$

$$W^{(n)}(t) \Rightarrow N(0, t)$$

- We use the CLT in statements such as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W^{(100)}(0.25))] \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2x^2} dx$$

where g is any continuous, bounded function

Limiting Distribution of the Scaled Random Walk

- Central Limit Theorem

Fix $t \geq 0$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of the scaled random walk $W^{(n)}(t)$ evaluated at time t converges to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance t , i.e., for every $t \geq 0$

$$W^{(n)}(t) \Rightarrow N(0, t)$$

- We use the CLT in statements such as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W^{(100)}(0.25))] \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2x^2} dx$$

where g is any continuous, bounded function

Scaled Random Walks

- ① Symmetric Random Walk
- ② Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- ③ Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval $[0, t]$ with n steps (binomial periods); assume that n and t are chosen so that nt is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ and let the “down factor” be $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval $[0, t]$ with n steps (binomial periods); assume that n and t are chosen so that nt is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ and let the “down factor” be $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval $[0, t]$ with n steps (binomial periods); assume that n and t are chosen so that nt is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ and let the “down factor” be $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval $[0, t]$ with n steps (binomial periods); assume that n and t are chosen so that nt is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ and let the “down factor” be $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval $[0, t]$ with n steps (binomial periods); assume that n and t are chosen so that nt is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ and let the “down factor” be $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

Coin tosses

- $S(0)$... initial stock price
- H_{nt} ... the number of heads in the first nt coin tosses
- T_{nt} ... the number of tails in the first nt coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk** M_{nt} is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

Coin tosses

- $S(0)$... initial stock price
- H_{nt} ... the number of heads in the first nt coin tosses
- T_{nt} ... the number of tails in the first nt coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk** M_{nt} is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

Coin tosses

- $S(0)$... initial stock price
- H_{nt} ... the number of heads in the first nt coin tosses
- T_{nt} ... the number of tails in the first nt coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk** M_{nt} is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

Coin tosses

- $S(0)$... initial stock price
- H_{nt} ... the number of heads in the first nt coin tosses
- T_{nt} ... the number of tails in the first nt coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk** M_{nt} is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

Coin tosses

- $S(0)$... initial stock price
- H_{nt} ... the number of heads in the first nt coin tosses
- T_{nt} ... the number of tails in the first nt coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk** M_{nt} is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

Binomial stock-price. Convergence Theorem

- Using the above notation and the binomial pricing model, we get that the stock price at time t equals

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= S(0)u_n^{H_{nt}}d_n^{T_{nt}} \\ &= S(0)\left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt+M_{nt})}\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt-M_{nt})} \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem.**

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $S_n(t)$ converges to the distribution of

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right\}$$

where $W(t)$ is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance t .

- The above is **very important** !!!!!

Binomial stock-price. Convergence Theorem

- Using the above notation and the binomial pricing model, we get that the stock price at time t equals

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= S(0) u_n^{H_{nt}} d_n^{T_{nt}} \\ &= S(0) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt+M_{nt})} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt-M_{nt})} \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem.**

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $S_n(t)$ converges to the distribution of

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right\}$$

where $W(t)$ is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance t .

- The above is **very important** !!!!!

Binomial stock-price. Convergence Theorem

- Using the above notation and the binomial pricing model, we get that the stock price at time t equals

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= S(0) u_n^{H_{nt}} d_n^{T_{nt}} \\ &= S(0) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt})} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})} \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem.**

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $S_n(t)$ converges to the distribution of

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right\}$$

where $W(t)$ is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance t .

- The above is **very important** !!!!!

The log-normal distribution

- **Definition.** The distribution of $S(t)$ is called **log-normal**.
- In general, any random variable of the form ce^X with c a constant and X a normally distributed random variable is referred to as log-normal
- In the present case,

$$X = \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

is normal with mean $-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$ and variance $\sigma^2 t$

The log-normal distribution

- **Definition.** The distribution of $S(t)$ is called **log-normal**.
- In general, any random variable of the form ce^X with c a constant and X a normally distributed random variable is referred to as log-normal
- In the present case,

$$X = \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

is normal with mean $-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$ and variance $\sigma^2 t$

The log-normal distribution

- **Definition.** The distribution of $S(t)$ is called **log-normal**.
- In general, any random variable of the form ce^X with c a constant and X a normally distributed random variable is referred to as log-normal
- In the present case,

$$X = \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

is normal with mean $-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$ and variance $\sigma^2 t$