PROPERTIES OF THE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

You may have seen the *covariance* of two random variables in M362K:

$$Cov(X,Y) = E((X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))).$$

It is related to the variance by

$$Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X,Y).$$

(This is straightforward to establish from the definitions.) You might even have seen the *correlation coefficient for random variables*:

$$\rho = \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) / (\operatorname{Var}(X) \operatorname{Var}(Y))^{1/2}$$

We can define the sample covariance of a collection $x_1, \ldots x_n$, y_1, \ldots , y_n of two variable data as

$$\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \overline{x})(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \overline{y}).$$

Notice that the *sample correlation coefficient* defined in the textbook can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{r} = \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) / \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{y}} \ .$$

Also notice that cov(ax,by) = ab(cov(x,y)). (Details left to the student!)

If we use var(x) to denote the sample variance, then we have

$$\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{y}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} + \overline{\mathbf{y}})^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(\mathbf{x}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) + (\mathbf{y}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}})]^{2} (\operatorname{since} \overline{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}} = \overline{\mathbf{x}} + \overline{\mathbf{y}})$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(\mathbf{x}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}})^{2} + 2(\mathbf{x}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{y}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}) + (\mathbf{y}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}})^{2}]$$
$$= \operatorname{var}(\mathbf{x}) + \operatorname{var}(\mathbf{y}) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Now apply this to $x/s_x + y/s_y$ instead of x + y:

$$var(x/s_{x} + y/s_{y}) = var(x/s_{x}) + var(y/s_{y}) + 2cov(x/s_{x},y/s_{y})$$
$$= var(x)/s_{x}^{2} + var(y)/s_{y}^{2} + 2cov(x,y)/s_{x}s_{y}$$
$$= 2 + 2r.$$
(Why?)

Since the sample variance is always ≥ 0 (Why?), this implies that $r \ge -1$.

Now if we had a case with r = -1, then we would have

$$\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{y}/\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathbf{0},$$

which implies (Why? [Hint: Look at the definition of variance.]) that for each i,

 $x_i/s_x + y_i/s_y = \overline{x/s_x + y/s_y}$, which we will call c (since it is a constant). Thus

$$x_i/s_x + y_i/s_y = c$$
, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, so
 $y_i = s_y (c - x_i/s_x)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

In other words, the points $(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)$ all lie on the straight line with slope $-s_y/s_x$, which is negative.

Similarly, by considering $var(x/s_x + y/s_y)$, we can show that $r \le 1$ and that if r = 1, then the points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ all lie on the straight line with slope s_y/s_x -- which is positive. (Fill in details!)