

## DIAGNOSTICS

*Questions:*

- Does the model fit?
- Is the result unduly influenced by one or a small number of points?

We've discussed some techniques to study these questions. (e.g., \_\_\_\_\_)

Some more:

## I. RESIDUAL PLOTS

We've looked at these a little in cases with one or two terms, where arc easily generates them ("Remove Linear Trend"). Several types of residual plots can also be made fairly easily when more terms are involved.

*Recall the error formulation of the models:*

Ordinary Linear:

$$Y | \underline{x} = \underline{\eta}^T \underline{u} + e | \underline{x}$$

$$e | \underline{x} \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \text{ independent of } \underline{x}$$

Weighted linear:

$$Y | \underline{x}_i = \underline{\eta}^T \underline{u}_i + \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{w_i}}$$

$$e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \text{ independent of } i$$

*Recall the Least Squares fits*

OLS:

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\underline{\eta}}^T \underline{u}_i$$

$$\hat{e}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$$

WLS:

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\underline{\eta}}^T \underline{u}_i$$

$$\hat{e}_i = \sqrt{w_i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$$

Intuitively,  $\hat{e}_i$  is an estimate of  $e_i$  ( $= y_i - \mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{u}_i$  for the ordinary model.) We know  $E(e_i)$  and  $E(\hat{e}_i)$  are both zero, so  $\hat{e}_i$  is an unbiased estimate of  $e_i$ . Thus it seems reasonable to plot the  $\hat{e}_i$ 's against various things to give some check on whether the model assumptions are reasonable.

*However:*

*Recall:* (Section 7.6 of book)

$$\text{Var}(\hat{e}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \sigma^2(1 - h_i), \text{ where } h_i \text{ is the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ leverage} \quad (\text{whereas } \text{Var}(e_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \sigma^2)$$

*Thus*

- If the  $h_i$ 's are all small, then  $\text{Var}(\hat{e}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) \approx \text{Var}(e_i | \mathbf{x}_i)$ , so a plot using the  $\hat{e}_i$ 's should approximate a plot using the  $e_i$ 's.
- If the  $h_i$ 's are all approximately equal, then  $\text{Var}(\hat{e}_i | \mathbf{x}_i)$  is approximately a constant times  $\text{Var}(e_i | \mathbf{x}_i)$ , so a plot using the  $\hat{e}_i$ 's should approximate a rescaled plot using the  $e_i$ 's
- If the  $h_i$ 's vary noticeably, then a plot using the  $\hat{e}_i$ 's will not give a good approximation of a plot using the  $e_i$ 's. In this case, use instead *studentized residuals*  $\frac{\hat{e}_i}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 - h_i}}$ .

### **Types of Residual Plots** (roughly in order of importance)

- Against fitted values  $\hat{y}_i$
- Against individual or pairs of predictors
- Against other possible predictors not in the model (especially time, location)
- Against individual terms other than predictors
- Against linear combinations of terms

### **Suggestions for Residual Plots for Specific Purposes**

#### *Checking linearity*

Plot against fitted values  $\hat{y}_i$ . (Like "remove linear trend")

#### *Checking constant variance*

Plot against fitted values, predictors, pairs of predictors, other possible predictors.

#### *Checking independence*

Plot against other possible predictors

*Checking normality*

Use a normal probability plot.

**Cautions:**

- The usual cautions in interpreting normal plots
- Since  $\sum \hat{e}_i = 0$ , the  $\hat{e}_i$ 's are *not* independent.

(Thus only severe departures from a line should be taken as evidence of non-normality.)

*Checking for outliers:*

Plot against fitted values, predictors, pairs of predictors

**II. COOK'S DISTANCE**

With 1 or 2 terms, it's relatively easy to spot a potential influential point on the scatterplot and check if the point is influential. Leverage can help pick out x-outliers, which are potentially influential. *Cook's distance* can help check for influence.

*The idea:*

- Delete the  $i^{\text{th}}$  case and compute the OLS fit.
- Evaluate it at  $\underline{x}_j$ , giving  $\hat{y}_{(i),j}$  = the fit at  $\underline{x}_j$ , not using the  $i^{\text{th}}$  case
- $D_i = \frac{1}{k\hat{\sigma}^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\hat{y}_{(i),j} - \hat{y}_j)^2$  measures the total influence of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  case. (This can be expressed in terms of the coefficient estimates -- see more details in Section 15.2)

*Rules of thumb in using  $D_i$ :*

- Plot  $D_i$  vs case number.
- Examine cases that have relatively large  $D_i$ .
- Examine cases with  $D_i > 0.5$ , and especially cases with  $D_i > 1$ .
- There is no hypothesis test using  $D_i$ .