

**Independence:** For random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , the intuitive idea behind "Y is independent of X" is that the distribution of  $Y$  shouldn't depend on what  $X$  is. This can be expressed in terms of the conditional pdf's to say " $f(y|x)$  doesn't depend on  $x$ ."

*Caution:* "Y is not independent of X" means simply that the *distribution* of  $Y$  may vary as  $X$  varies. It *doesn't* mean that  $Y$  is a function of  $X$ .

If  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ , then:

1.  $\mu_x = E(Y|X = x)$  does not depend on  $x$ .

(*Question:* Is the converse true? That is, if  $E(Y|X = x)$  does not depend on  $x$ , can we conclude that  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ ?)

2. (Still assuming  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ ) Let  $h(y)$  be the common pdf of the conditional distributions  $Y|X$ . Then for every  $x$ ,  $h(y) = f(y|x) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_X(x)}$ , where  $f(x,y)$  is the joint pdf of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Therefore

$$f(x,y) = h(y) f_X(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) f_X(x) dx \\ &= h(y) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = h(y) = f(y|x) \end{aligned}$$

In other words: *If  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ , then the conditional distributions of  $Y$  given  $X$  are the same as the marginal distribution of  $Y$ .*

3. Now (still assuming  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ ) we have

$$f_Y(y) = f(y|x) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_X(x)},$$

so

$$f_Y(y)f_X(x) = f(x,y).$$

In other words: *If  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ , then the joint distribution of  $X$  and  $Y$  is the product of the marginal distributions of  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

Exercise: The converse of this last statement is true. That is: If the joint distribution of  $X$  and  $Y$  is the product of the marginal distributions of  $X$  and  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ .

Note that the condition  $f_Y(y)f_X(x) = f(x,y)$  is symmetric in X and Y. Thus (3) and its converse imply that : Y is independent of X if and only if X is independent of Y. So it makes sense to say "X and Y are independent."

Putting this all together, have: The following conditions are all equivalent:

- i. X and Y are independent.
- ii.  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_Y(y)f_X(x)$
- iii. The conditional distribution of  $Y|X = x$  is independent of x
- iv. The conditional distribution of  $X|Y = y$  is independent of y.
- v.  $f(y|x) = f_Y(y)$  for all y.
- vi.  $f(x|y) = f_X(x)$  for all x.

*Additional property of independent random variables:* If X and Y are independent, then  $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$ . (The proof of this fact will be assigned as homework for October 14.)

**Covariance:** The *covariance* of two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$\text{Cov}(X,Y) = E([X - E(X)][Y - E(Y)])$$

*Comments:*

- The capital C in Cov is consistent with the notation used in this class of capitalizing items that relate to the population, and using lower case (or a "hat") for items referring to a sample. There is a related notion of covariance for a sample, discussed briefly later. Consistent with general terminology, Cov is a *parameter* since it refers to the population, and the sample covariance (cov or Cov-hat) is a *statistic* since it is calculated from the sample.
- Compare and contrast with the definition of  $\text{Var}(X)$ .
- If X and Y both tend to be on the same side of their respective means (i.e., both greater than or both less than their means), then  $[X - E(X)][Y - E(Y)]$  tends to be positive, so  $\text{Cov}(X,Y)$  is positive. Similarly, if X and Y tend to be on opposite sides of their respective means, then  $\text{Cov}(X,Y)$  is negative. If there is no trend of either sort, then  $\text{Cov}(X,Y)$  should be zero. Thus covariance roughly measures the extent of a "positive" or "negative" trend in the joint distribution of X and Y.
- What are the units of  $\text{Cov}(X,Y)$ ?

**Properties:**

1.  $\text{Cov}(X, X) =$
2.  $\text{Cov}(Y, X) =$
3.  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$ .
  - Why?
  - In words ...

- Compare with the alternate formula for  $\text{Var}(X)$ .

4. Consequence: If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then:

*Note:* The converse of this statement is false. This will be a problem on a future homework set.

5.  $\text{Cov}(cX, Y) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ and  $\text{Cov}(X, cY) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
6.  $\text{Cov}(a + X, Y) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ and  $\text{Cov}(X, a + Y) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
7.  $\text{Cov}(X + Y, Z) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ and  $\text{Cov}(X, Y + Z) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
8.  $\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + 2\text{Cov}(X, Y) + \text{Var}(Y)$
- Why?
  - Consequence: If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then
- 
- *Note:* The converse of this last statement is false.

### ***Bounds on Covariance***

Let  $\sigma_X$  denote the *population standard deviation*  $\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}$  of  $X$ . (Do not confuse with the sample standard deviation =  $s$  or s.d. or  $\hat{\sigma}$ ). Define the population standard deviation  $\sigma_Y$  of  $Y$  similarly.

Consider the new random variable  $\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}$ . Since Variance is always  $\geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad 0 &\leq \text{Var}\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) \\
 &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X}\right) + \text{Var}\left(\frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) + 2\text{Cov}\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X}, \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sigma_X^2} \text{Var}(X) + \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^2} \text{Var}(Y) + \frac{2}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \text{Cov}(X, Y) \\
 &= 2\left[1 + \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(**) \quad \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \geq -1 \quad (\text{or: } \text{Cov}(X, Y) \geq -\sigma_X \sigma_Y).$$

Looking at  $\text{Var}\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)$  similarly shows (details left to the student):

$$(***) \quad \frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \leq 1, \quad (\text{or: } \text{Cov}(X, Y) \leq \sigma_X \sigma_Y).$$

Combining (\*\*) and (\*\*\*) gives:

$$\left| \frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \right| \leq 1, \quad (\text{or: } |\text{Cov}(X, Y)| \leq \sigma_X \sigma_Y)$$

Moreover, the only way we can have equality in inequality (\*\*) is to have equality in (\*) -- i.e, when

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) = 0$$

This can happen if and only if the random variable  $\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}$  is constant -- say,

$$\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y} = c.$$

(Note that c must be the mean of  $\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}$ , which is  $\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}$ )

This in turn is equivalent to

$$Y = \sigma_Y \left( -\frac{X}{\sigma_X} + c \right)$$

or

$$Y = -\frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} X + \sigma_Y c,$$

which says: The pairs (X,Y) lie on a line with negative slope. (The converse is also true -- details left to the student. Also note that the slope of the line is  $-\frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X}$  and the y-intercept

is  $\frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} \mu_X + \mu_Y$ .)

Similarly,  $\frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = +1$  exactly when the pairs (X,Y) lie on a line with *positive* slope.

**Correlation:** The (*population*) *correlation coefficient* of the random variables X and Y is

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.$$

Note:

- $\rho_{X,Y}$  is often called  $\rho$  for short.
- $\rho$  is a parameter (since it refers to the population). There is also a sample correlation coefficient (usually called  $r$ ) that is a statistic (calculated from the sample).

Stated in terms of  $\rho$ , the discussion above says:

- Negative  $\rho$  indicates a tendency for the variables  $X$  and  $Y$  to co-vary in a negative way.
- Positive  $\rho$  indicates a tendency for the variables  $X$  and  $Y$  to co-vary in a positive way.
- $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$
- $\rho = -1$  if and only if all pairs  $(X,Y)$  lie on a straight line with negative slope.
- $\rho = 1$  if and only if all pairs  $(X,Y)$  lie on a straight line with positive slope.
- $\rho$  is unitless.
- $\rho$  is the Covariance of the standardized random variables  $\frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}$  and  $\frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}$ .

(Details left to the student.)

Also, from the definition, we see that  $\rho = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Cov}(X,Y) = 0$ .

**Uncorrelated variables:** We say that two random variables are *uncorrelated* if  $\rho_{X,Y} = 0$  (or equivalently, if  $\text{Cov}(X,Y) = 0$ ).

Examples:

- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then they are uncorrelated. (Why?)
- Suppose that the random variable  $X$  is uniform on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Let  $Y = X^2$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are uncorrelated, but not independent. (To see that  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent, note that  $E(Y|X)$  is not constant. Details of why  $X$  and  $Y$  are uncorrelated will be on the next homework assignment.)

In general,  $\rho$  is a measure of the degree of a *nonconstant linear* relationship between  $X$  and  $Y$ . Example 2 above shows that two variables can have a strong nonlinear relationship and still be uncorrelated.

### **Sample variance, covariance, and correlation**

If we have a sample of data  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  from the joint distribution of  $X$  and  $Y$ , we can define the statistics

$$\text{sample covariance } \text{cov}(x,y) \text{ (or } \widehat{\text{Cov}}(x,y)) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$$

and

$$\text{sample correlation coefficient } r \text{ (or } \hat{\rho}) = \frac{\text{cov}(x,y)}{sd(x)sd(y)}.$$

These are estimators of the corresponding population parameters. We can establish properties of the sample covariance and correlation coefficient analogous to those of the population covariance and correlation coefficient.