

SUBMODELS (NESTED MODELS) AND ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF REGRESSION MODELS

We will assume we have data $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ and make the usual assumptions of independence and normality.

Our model has 3 parameters:

$$E(Y|x) = \eta_0 + \eta_1 x \quad (\text{Two parameters: } \eta_0 \text{ and } \eta_1)$$

$$\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2 \quad (\text{One parameter: } \sigma)$$

We will call this the *full model*. Many hypothesis tests on coefficients can be reformulated as test of the full model against a *submodel* – that is, a special case of the full model obtained by specifying certain of the parameters or certain relationships between parameters.

Examples:

- a. NH: $\eta_1 = 1$
 AH: $\eta_1 \neq 1$

 AH corresponds to the full model (with three parameters, including η_1). What submodel does NH correspond to? How many parameters does it have?

- b. NH: $\eta_1 = 0$
 AH: $\eta_1 \neq 0$

 AH corresponds to the full model. What submodel does NH correspond to? How many parameters does it have?

- c. NH: $\eta_0 = 0$
 AH: $\eta_0 \neq 0$

 AH corresponds to the full model. What submodel does NH correspond to? How many parameters does it have?

Any specification of or relation among some of the parameters would give a submodel – and a conceivable hypothesis test.

Examples: What is the null hypothesis of the corresponding hypothesis test?

- d. $E(Y|x) = 2 + \eta_1 x$
 $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$

- e. $E(Y|x) = \eta_0 + \eta_0 x$
 $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$

We have discussed how to "fit" the full model from data using least squares. We can also fit a submodel by least squares.

Example 1: To fit the submodel $E(Y|x) = 2 + \eta_1 x$
 $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$,

consider lines $y = 2 + h_1 x$ and minimize

$$\text{RSS}(h_1) = \sum d_i^2 = \sum [y_i - (2 + h_1 x_i)]^2$$

to get η_1 .

[Draw a picture.]

Note: For this example, $y_i - (2 + h_1 x_i) = (y_i - 2) - h_1 x_i$,
 so fitting this model is equivalent to fitting the model

$$E(Y|x) = \eta_1 x$$

$$\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$$

to the transformed data $(x_1, y_1 - 2), (x_2, y_2 - 2), \dots, (x_n, y_n - 2)$

Example 2: For the submodel $E(Y|x) = \eta_0$
 $\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$,

we minimize $\text{RSS}(h_0) = \sum d_i^2 = \sum (y_i - h_0)^2$ [Draw a picture.]

- Carry out details
- Result: $h_0 = \bar{y}$ -- the same as the univariate estimate.
- Show that this is also the same as setting $\hat{\eta}_1 = 0$ in the least squares fit for the full model.

Caution: This phenomenon does *not* always happen, as the exercise below shows.

Exercise: Try finding the least squares fit for the submodel

$$E(Y|x) = \eta_1 x \quad (\text{"Regression through the origin"})$$

$$\text{Var}(Y|x) = \sigma^2$$

You should get a different formula for $\hat{\eta}_1$ from that obtained by setting $\hat{\eta}_0 = 0$ in the formula for the least squares fit for the full model.

Generalizing: If we fit a submodel by Least Squares, we can define the residual sum of squares for the *submodel*:

$$\text{RSS}_{\text{sub}} = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2,$$

where $\hat{y}_i = \hat{E}_{\text{sub}}(Y|x)$ is the fitted value for the submodel.

Example: For the submodel in Example 2, $\hat{y}_i = \bar{y}$ for each i , so

$$\text{RSS}_{\text{sub}} = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \text{SYY}$$

General Properties: (Stated without proof; true for multiple regression as well as simple regression)

- RSS_{sub} is a multiple of a χ^2 distribution, with

- degrees of freedom $df_{sub} = n - (\# \text{ of terms estimated})$, and
- $\hat{\sigma}_{sub}^2 = \frac{RSS_{sub}}{df_{sub}}$ is an estimate of σ^2 for the submodel.

Thus we can do inference tests using a submodel rather than the full model.

Another Perspective:

Example: The submodel $E(Y|x) = \eta_0$
 $Var(Y|x) = \sigma^2$

Testing this model against the full model is equivalent to performing a hypothesis test with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NH: } \eta_1 &= 0 \\ \text{AH: } \eta_1 &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This hypothesis test uses the t-statistic

$$t = \frac{\hat{\eta}_1}{s.e.(\hat{\eta}_1)} = \frac{SXY/SXX}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{SXX}} \sim t(n-2),$$

where here $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_{full}$ is the estimate of σ for the *full* model. Note that

$$t^2 = \frac{(SXY)^2 / (SXX)^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / SXX} = \frac{(SXY)^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 (SXX)}$$

Recall:

$$RSS = SYY - \frac{(SXY)^2}{SXX}$$

$$RSS = RSS_{full}$$

$$SYY = RSS_{sub}$$

Thus

$$RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full} = \frac{(SXY)^2}{SXX}.$$

so

$$t^2 = \frac{RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full}}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

F Distributions

Recall: A $t(k)$ random variable has the distribution of a random variable of the form

where

Thus

$$t^2 \sim$$

Also,

$$Z^2 \sim$$

Definition: An F -distribution $F(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ with ν_1 degrees of freedom in the numerator and ν_2 degrees of freedom in the denominator is the distribution of a random variable of the form

$$\frac{W/\nu_1}{U/\nu_2} \quad \text{where } W \sim \chi^2(\nu_1)$$

$$U \sim \chi^2(\nu_2)$$

and U and W are independent.

Thus:

$$t^2 = \frac{RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F(1, n-2),$$

so we could also do our hypothesis test (for η_1) with an F -test.

Example: Forbes data.

Another way to look at the F-statistic:

$$F = \frac{(RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full}) / (df_{sub} - df_{full})}{\hat{\sigma}_{full}^2}$$

$$= \frac{(RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full}) / (df_{sub} - df_{full})}{RSS_{full} / df_{full}}$$

i.e., F is the ratio of (the residual sum of squares for the submodel compared with the full model) and (the residual sum of squares for the full model) - - *but* with each divided by its degrees of freedom to "weight" them appropriately to get a tractable distribution. This illustrates the **general case**:

Whenever we have a submodel (in multiple linear regression as well as simple linear regression),

a. RSS_{sub} (hence $\hat{\sigma}_{sub}^2$) will be a constant times a χ^2 distribution, with degrees of freedom df_{sub} , which we then also refer to as the degrees of freedom of RSS_{sub} and of $\hat{\sigma}_{sub}^2$.

$$\text{b. } \frac{(RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full}) / (df_{sub} - df_{full})}{\hat{\sigma}_{full}^2} = \frac{(RSS_{sub} - RSS_{full}) / (df_{sub} - df_{full})}{RSS_{full} / df_{full}}$$

$$\sim F(df_{sub} - df_{full}, df_{full}).$$

Thus we can use an F statistic for the hypothesis test

NH: Submodel

AH: Full model