

Interacting scalar in d=4

Scalar field with $S = \int_X \frac{1}{2} \|d\varphi\|^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \varphi^4$ $\mathcal{C} = \{\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) \rangle = \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet x_1 \\ \bullet x_2 \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet x_1 \\ \bullet x_2 \\ x' \end{array} \right| + \dots$$

$$= D(x_1, x_2) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int dx' D(x_1, x') D(x_2, x') D(x', x') + \dots$$

Here $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Green's function for the Laplacian: $(\Delta_{x_1} + m^2) D(x_1, x_2) = \delta(x_1, x_2)$

If $X = \mathbb{R}^4$ flat and $m=0$, $D(x, y) = \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^2}$ (cf. $X = \mathbb{R}^1$, $D(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} |x-y|$)

so $D(x', x')$ is infinite! (even if $m \neq 0$)

How to interpret this ∞ ?

First observe: it "comes from the high energy part of the theory"! e.g. on flat \mathbb{R}^4 ,

$$D(x, y) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^4)^*} dp \frac{e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}}{\|p\|^2 + m^2} \quad \text{so } D(x, x) = \int dp \frac{1}{\|p\|^2 + m^2}; \text{ divergence comes from the region of large } \|p\|$$

A way to remove the problem: view our action S not as fundamental but as an effective action.

So, path integrals run only over $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \hat{\varphi}(p) = 0 \text{ for } \|p\| > \Lambda \end{array} \right\}$

In the perturbative analysis of path integrals over \mathcal{C}_Λ ,

$D(x, y)$ gets replaced by a cutoff version:

$$D_\Lambda(x, y) = \int_{\|p\| < \Lambda} dp \frac{1}{\|p\|^2 + m^2} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}$$

This renders answers finite (at least if $m \neq 0$).

But they depend on Λ . If $\Lambda \gg E$ with E the energy scale we want to study, this will cause problems: for example,

$$\langle \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \rangle = D(x_1, x_2) + [(\#) \cdot \lambda \cdot \Lambda^2 \|x\|^2 + \dots] + \dots$$

So even if the coupling λ is small, this perturbation expansion may not be well behaved.

That might be OK. After all, λ isn't directly observable anyway.

We should try instead to formulate things in terms of even more effective action $S_{\text{eff}}(E)$, where we've integrated out modes between Λ and E . What kind of terms will occur?

General expectation: $S_{\text{eff}}(E)$ is non-local. Expanding yields an infinite series of interactions. That seems terrible: how could you ever use such a theory for any practical purpose?

To investigate more closely: convenient to integrate out in way that lowers Λ "continuously".

This can be done precisely ("exact RGE"):

writing the interaction part S_{int} , cutoff function ρ ,

Polchinski: "Renormalization and effective Lagrangians"
(also Costello.)

$$\Lambda \frac{\partial S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \Lambda} = - \int d^4 p \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2} \frac{1}{\|p\|^2 + m^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \rho(p^2/\Lambda^2) \right] \left[\frac{\delta S_{\text{int}}}{\delta \phi^\dagger(-p)} \frac{\delta S_{\text{int}}}{\delta \phi(p)} + \frac{\delta^2 S_{\text{int}}}{\delta \phi^\dagger(p) \delta \phi^\dagger(-p)} \right]$$

This defines a flow in the ∞ -dim space of possible Lagrangians.

Key idea: as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ this flow is driven to a 3-dimensional subspace!

i.e. if we start from a very high scale Λ and flow down to Λ_0 ,

there is only a 3-dimensional space of possible effective theories that we can get, up to corrections which are suppressed by powers of Λ_0/Λ .

This is why QFT has some power: after measuring finitely many parameters, everything else is determined (in a computationally effective way...)

To understand why this should be, we should think a little about scaling.

There's an action ρ_ε of the group \mathbb{R}^\times on \mathcal{L} by $\phi(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \phi(\varepsilon x)$

This action was chosen so that it leaves the kinetic term $\frac{1}{2} \int \|d\phi\|^2$ invariant.

But it transforms the other terms.

$$\rho_\varepsilon^* \left(\int \phi^n \right) = \varepsilon^{4-n} \int \phi^n$$

$$\rho_\varepsilon^* \left(\int \|d\phi\|^m \phi^n \right) = \varepsilon^{4-(2m+n)} \int \|d\phi\|^m \phi^n$$

Define the scaling dimension of the coupling: $\dim \phi^n = n$
 $\dim \|d\phi\|^m \phi^n = 2m+n$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \langle \phi(0) \phi(\varepsilon x) \rangle \text{ computed with action } S \right] = \left[\langle \phi(0) \phi(x) \rangle \text{ with } \rho_\varepsilon^*(S) \right]$$

\implies at least naively (w/o thinking about role of cutoffs), we'd say that for large ε (long distance) the effects of the terms with $\dim > 4$ become small. Call these terms "irrelevant".

More generally,

$\dim > 4$	irrelevant
$\dim = 4$	marginal
$\dim < 4$	relevant

There are 3 marginal or relevant terms possible which are invariant under $\phi \mapsto -\phi$ and invariant under the Poincare group: $\phi^2, \phi^4, \|d\phi\|^2$.

This is really just dimensional analysis so far.

Another way to express the same idea: the terms in S will always contribute to correl. func. with some powers of E to make them dimensionless. So we should measure them

that w.g.: $S_{\text{int}}(\Lambda) = g_4 \phi^4 + g_6 \Lambda^2 \phi^6 + g_8 \Lambda^4 \phi^8 + \dots$

Then, the classical running would be $\Lambda \frac{dg_4}{d\Lambda} = 0$, $\Lambda \frac{dg_6}{d\Lambda} = 2g_6, \dots$

The exact running is $\Lambda \frac{dg_4}{d\Lambda} = \tilde{\beta}_4(g_4, g_6, \dots)$ with $\tilde{\beta}_k$ computable in perturbation theory.
 $\Lambda \frac{dg_6}{d\Lambda} = 2g_6 + \tilde{\beta}_6(g_4, g_6, \dots)$
 \vdots

Studying toy examples we see that the initial value of g_6 (and all other irrelevant couplings) gets damped out exponentially fast as $\log \Lambda$ decreases.

It's proven that this really happens in this theory (at least in perturbation) [Polchinski]

Even after the flow converges onto the finite-dim. subspace coord. by marginal and relevant couplings, at $\Lambda \ll \Lambda_0$, we still have a nontrivial flow of couplings with Λ . Coordinating by $S = \frac{1}{2} Z_2 \|d\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{g_4}{4!} \phi^4 + \dots$,

$$\Lambda \frac{dg_4}{d\Lambda} = \beta_4(g_4, \frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2}, Z_2)$$

β_k can be computed in perturbation theory, e.g. in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ and $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\beta_4 = \frac{3 g_4^2}{16 \pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(g_4^3)$$

Morally, this comes from the relation: (using $=$ for lines integrated only over scales between Λ and Λ')

$$\text{Diagram X} = \text{Diagram X} + \text{Diagram Y} + \text{Diagram Z} + \text{Diagram A} + \dots$$

$$g_4(\Lambda') = g_4(\Lambda) + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} g_4^2 \int_{\Lambda' < \|p\| < \Lambda} \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^4 p^4} = g_4(\Lambda) + \frac{3 g_4(\Lambda)^2}{16 \pi^2} (\log \Lambda - \log \Lambda') + \dots$$

For a systematic treatment see [Hughes-Liu]

This says that the effective theory (always at scales $\Lambda \ll \Lambda_0$) becomes more strongly coupled when we increase Λ .

\Rightarrow perturbation theory becomes less and less effective as we go to higher energies.

For any finite Λ_0 , the theory exists, but it's hard to understand at energies where $g_Y \gtrsim 1$.

We don't really understand whether it is possible to remove the cutoff completely,
i.e. to take $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \infty$ while holding $g_Y(\Lambda)$ fixed.

Usually summarized by saying this theory exists "only as an effective theory"

This eff. theory is IR free: effect of interactions disappears at low enough energies.