

# Kähler identities

Some preparation:

Def  $v \in V \quad \omega \in \Lambda^p V^*$

$v \lrcorner \omega \in \Lambda^{p-1} V^*$  is defined by

$$(v \lrcorner \omega)(v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}) = \omega(v, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}).$$

$$\left[ \text{So } v \lrcorner (\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_i(v) \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{i-1} \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p \right]$$

Lemma M Riemannian: Fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  for  $TM$  and  $T^*M$ .

$$\text{Then } d = \sum e_i \wedge \nabla e_i, \quad d^* = -\sum e_i \lrcorner \nabla e_i$$

Pf Fix  $x \in M$ . Then around  $x$  we have a Riem. normal coord sys with  $e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  at  $p$ .

$$\text{Then at } x, \quad I \text{ a multi-index,} \quad d(f dx_I) = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_I = \sum e_i \wedge \nabla e_i (f dx_I)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(f dx_I) &= (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} \star d \star (f dx_I) \quad p=|I| \\ &= (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \star [dx_i \wedge \star dx_I] = \underbrace{(-1)^{n(p+1)+1 + (p-1)(n-p)}}_{=-1} \sum e_i \lrcorner \nabla e_i (f dx_I) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma  $[v \lrcorner, \alpha \wedge] = (v \lrcorner \alpha) \wedge$  if  $|\alpha|$  even.

(if  $|\alpha|$  odd, use anticommutator instead)

Pf Exercise.

Lemma If  $V$  has compatible  $(I, g)$  then  $[X \lrcorner, L] = \overset{\wedge}{IX} \wedge$ .  
 (Using  $g$  to identify  $V \simeq V^*$ .)

Pf The definition  $\omega(X, \cdot) = g(\cdot, IX)$

says precisely that  $X \lrcorner \omega = \overset{\wedge}{IX}$  (using  $g$  to identify  $V \simeq V^*$ ).

Then use the previous lemma. □

Pf X Kähler:

$$i) [\bar{\partial}, L] = [\partial, L] = 0$$

$$[\bar{\partial}^*, L] = [\partial^*, L] = 0$$

$$ii) [\bar{\partial}^*, L] = i\partial, [\partial^*, L] = -i\bar{\partial}$$

$$[1, \bar{\partial}] = -i\partial^*, [1, \partial] = i\bar{\partial}^*$$

$$iii) \Delta_{\partial} = \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta \text{ and } \Delta \text{ commutes with } \star, \partial, \bar{\partial}, \partial^*, \bar{\partial}^*, L, 1$$

$$\underline{\text{Pf}} \quad i) [\bar{\partial}, L]\alpha = \bar{\partial}(\omega \wedge \alpha) - \omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\alpha = \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \alpha = 0 \quad \alpha \in \Omega^k(X)$$

$$\text{so } [\bar{\partial}, L] = 0 \text{ and then conjugation gives } [\partial, L] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\partial}^*, L]\alpha &= (-\star \partial \star)(\star^{-1} L \star)\alpha - (\star^{-1} L \star)(-\star \partial \star)\alpha \\ &= (-\star \partial L \star)\alpha - (-1)^k \underbrace{\star^{-1} L}_{\mathcal{L} = (-1)^k \star \text{ on } \Omega^{2m-k+1}} \partial \star \alpha \\ &= -\star [\partial, L]\star \alpha = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \star^2 = (-)^k \\ \text{on } \Omega^k \\ \text{since } n = 2m \end{array} \right]$$

$$ii) \text{ Define } d^c = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial) \text{ and } d^{c*} = -\star d^c \star$$

$$\text{then what we need to show is } [L, d^{c*}] = d^c$$

But this is (use ON basis)

$$[L, d^{c*}] = - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} [L, e_i \lrcorner \nabla_{e_i}]$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} [L, e_i \lrcorner] \nabla_{e_i} \quad [\text{because } \nabla_{e_i} \omega = 0]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} I e_i \lrcorner \nabla_{e_i} \quad (\text{using Lemma above})$$

$$\text{Now } \partial = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{2}(e_i + i\bar{I}e_i) \wedge \nabla_{e_i} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (\text{projections onto } \Omega^{p+q} \text{ and } \Omega^{p,q+1} \text{ resp:}) \\ \text{use the fact } \nabla_{e_i} \text{ preserves } \Omega^{p,q} \text{ since } \nabla I = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\bar{\partial} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{2}(e_i - i\bar{I}e_i) \wedge \nabla_{e_i}$$

NB: tricky sign here –  
using the metric to identify  $T_C \simeq T_C^*$   
gives  $\bar{T}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{T}^{0,1}$   
not  $\bar{T}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{T}^{1,0}$ !

so  $\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \bar{I}e_i \wedge \nabla_{e_i} = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial) = d^c$ .

$$\text{iii) } -i(\bar{\partial}\partial^* + \partial^*\bar{\partial}) = \bar{\partial}[1, \bar{\partial}] + [1, \bar{\partial}]\bar{\partial}$$

$$= \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial} = 0$$

$\uparrow [\bar{\partial}^2 = 0]$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (\partial + \bar{\partial})(\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*) + (\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*)(\partial + \bar{\partial}) \\ &= \underbrace{(\partial\partial^* + \partial^*\partial)}_{\Delta^\partial} + \underbrace{(\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial})}_{\Delta^{\bar{\partial}}} + (\bar{\partial}\partial^* + \partial^*\bar{\partial}) + (\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}) \\ &= \Delta^\partial + \Delta^{\bar{\partial}} + 0 + 0 \\ &= \Delta^\partial + \Delta^{\bar{\partial}} \end{aligned}$$

and  $-i\Delta^\partial = -i(\partial\partial^* + \partial^*\partial) = \partial[1, \bar{\partial}] + [1, \bar{\partial}]\partial$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \partial\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial}\partial + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial}\partial \\ &= \partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial - \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial}\partial \\ &= [\partial, 1]\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}[\partial, 1] \\ &= -i\Delta^{\bar{\partial}} \end{aligned}$$

so  $\Delta^\partial = \Delta^{\bar{\partial}} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta$

To prove commutation, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\Delta &= \Lambda dd^* + \Lambda d^*d = d\Lambda d^* - d^*d^*d^* + d^*\Lambda d \quad \text{use } [\Lambda, d] = -d^* \text{ and } [1, d^*] = 0 \\ &= dd^*\Lambda - d^*d^*d^* - d^*d^*d^* + d^*d\Lambda \quad \text{use } \{d^*, d^*\} = 0 \\ &= \Delta\Lambda \end{aligned}$$

Another point of view on ii): It's an identity involving only first derivatives, and Kähler manifolds admit "normal coords" around any point:

Prop If  $X$  is Kähler and  $x_0 \in X$  then there are coordinates around  $x_0$

for which

$$\omega = i \sum_{i=1}^n dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i + O(\sum |z_i|^2)$$

i.e.

$$\omega = i \sum h_{ij} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j \text{ with } h_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$dh_{ij}(0) = 0$$

This means we could prove ii) just by computations in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .