

Hyperkähler manifolds

Def A Riem. mfd (X, g, I_1, I_2, I_3) is hyperkähler if $I_{1,2,3}$ are complex structures on X such that

- $I_1 I_2 = I_3$ & cyclic perms. (then $\Rightarrow I_1 I_2 = -I_2 I_1$, etc)
- (X, g) is Kähler wrt all I_i

Let ω_i denote the corresp. 3 Kähler forms.

Prop If X is HK then $\Omega_1 = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$ is a holomorphic symplectic form with respect to complex structure I_1 . [i.e. Ω_1 is a closed $(2,0)$ form inducing an isomorphism $T^{1,0}X \rightarrow (T^{1,0}X)^*$]

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Pf } \Omega_1(v, w) &= \omega_2(v, w) + i\omega_3(v, w) \\ &= g(I_2 v, w) + i g(I_3 v, w)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{so } \Omega_1(I_1 v, w) &= g(I_2 I_1 v, w) + i g(I_3 I_1 v, w) \\ &= -g(I_3 v, w) + i g(I_2 v, w) \\ &= i \Omega_1(v, w)\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \Omega_1$ is of type $(2,0)$. Nondegeneracy: for $v \in T^{1,0}$,

$$\Omega_1(v, \cdot) = 0 \Rightarrow \Omega_1(v + \bar{v}, \cdot) = 0 \Rightarrow \omega_2(v + \bar{v}, \cdot) = 0 \Rightarrow v + \bar{v} = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

Cor If X is HK then

- 1) $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X$ is a multiple of 4.
- 2) X is Ricci-flat.

Pf 1) existence of a hol. symplectic form means $T^{1,0}X$ is even-dimensional.
2) Ω^n is a cov. const. section of K . \blacksquare

\mathbb{H} = quaternions

Simplest standard example is \mathbb{H}^n ; each tangent space $\simeq \mathbb{H}^n$ acted on from (say) the right by quaternion multiplication. Flat metric.

Slightly fancier example: Calabi metric on $T^*\mathbb{CP}^1$. HK, complete.

Compact examples will of course be harder to come by!

A HK manifold has not just 3 complex structures, but a whole S^2 worth:

Prop If X is HK and $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$,

then $I_{\vec{a}} = \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j I_j$ is a complex structure on X .

Pf $I_{\vec{a}}^2 = -1$ directly.

For integrability, note $I_{\vec{a}}$ is cov. const. wrt connection ∇ so

if X, Y are sections of $T^{1,0}$ then so are $\nabla_X Y, \nabla_Y X$.

But ∇ is torsion-free, so $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$.

Hence $T^{1,0}$ is closed under $[,]$.

This is one of the characterizations of integrable cplx structures. ■

So X naturally has an S^2 worth of complex structures. Viewing this S^2 as \mathbb{CP}^1 , we could say X has \mathbb{C} str $I^{(5)}$.

First clue that this is a good idea: each $(X, I^{(5)})$ is hol. symplectic, and the \mathbb{C} symplectic form can be normalized as

$$\Omega(\zeta) = -\frac{i}{2\zeta}(\omega_1 + i\omega_2) + \omega_3 - \frac{i}{2}\zeta(\omega_1 - i\omega_2)$$

i.e. it depends holomorphically on ζ . (Although $J^{(5)}$ doesn't!)

Let's explore this a little further, taking $X = \mathbb{R}^4$.

Determine an HK structure by taking 2 cplx coords, $\omega_1 + i\omega_2 = -2 da \wedge db$,
 $\omega_3 = i(dad\bar{a} + db \wedge d\bar{b})$.
Then $\Omega(\zeta) = i dx(\zeta) \wedge dy(\zeta)$

$$x(\zeta) = \frac{a}{\zeta} - \bar{b},$$

$$y(\zeta) = b + \bar{a}\zeta.$$

$$\Omega = \frac{i}{\zeta} da \wedge db + i(dad\bar{a} + db \wedge d\bar{b}) + i\zeta d\bar{a} \wedge d\bar{b}$$

$\Omega(\zeta)$ is $(2,0)$ -form \Rightarrow the functions $x(\zeta), y(\zeta)$ are holomorphic wrt $\overset{(S)}{I}$.

Another way of describing this situation: consider $Z = \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.

- We have equipped Z with a complex structure (local hol. coords are $x(\zeta), y(\zeta), \zeta$)

- There is a holomorphic projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & & (x, y, \zeta) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & & \zeta \end{array}$$

- Points of $X = \mathbb{R}^4$ induce holomorphic sections of the projection, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow Z$
 $\zeta \mapsto (a, b, \zeta)$

- Ω determines a global hol. section of $\Omega^2_{Z/\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}(2))$
ie Z is fiberwise hol. symplectic

Globally, $Z \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ in this case. But more generally, such a Z can be constructed from any HK mfd X .

Then Given Z such that:

- Z is a hol. fiber bundle $Z \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$
- Z has a global hol. section Ω of $\Omega^2_{Z/\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(2)$
- Z has a real structure ρ with $\rho^*\Omega = \bar{\Omega}$, covering antipodal map $\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{NB: antipodal map} \\ \text{lifts to } \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}(2)} \\ (\alpha) \mapsto (-\bar{\alpha}) \end{array}}$
- Z has a family X of holomorphic sections $s: \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow Z$, invariant under ρ , with normal bundle $\simeq \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2n}$.

Then, X is naturally a pseudo-hyperkähler manifold of real dim $4n$.

Pf Sketch Idea (need deformation theory to make precise):

$(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)_s$ is the space of inf^h deformations of the section s ,

i.e. it is $H^0[N(s(\mathbb{CP}^2))]$. Since $N \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ is trivial, we have $H^0[N] \cong H^0[N \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)] \otimes H^0[\mathcal{O}(1)]$.

On $H^0[N \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)]$ we have a skew pairing given by Ω .

On $H^0[\mathcal{O}(1)]$ there is also a canonical skew pairing, concretely

$$\langle a_1 + b_1 \zeta, a_2 + b_2 \zeta \rangle = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1.$$

Combining these 2 gives the desired symmetric pairing on $H^0[N] = T_{\mathbb{C}}X$.

Keeping track of real structures, we see it induces a pairing on the real tangent space TX . This is the desired metric in X .

Then, have to show it's Kähler wrt all \mathbb{C} str.

"Just" linear algebra [Hitchin-Karlhede-Lindström-Roček]. ■

Usefulness of this: so far, seems good mostly for deforming known (simple) HK structures — then the pb of positivity can be avoided, for sufficiently small deformations.

A second approach to existence of HK metrics:

Prop X compact Kähler w/ hol. symplectic form Ω , Hol_∇ acts irreducibly on $T_x X$:
 Then for any Kähler class α , $\exists!$ hyperkähler metric on X
 with $[\omega_1] = \alpha$, $\omega_2 + i\omega_3 = c\Omega$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Pf $c(X) = 0$ since Ω^n gives hol. triv. of K .

By Yau's thm, $\exists!$ Ricci-flat Kähler metric g with $[\omega] = \alpha$.

Let ∇^* be formal adjoint of ∇ . Weitzenböck: $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \nabla^* \nabla$ (using $\text{Ric} = 0$)

$$\text{So, } 0 = (\Omega, \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega) = (\nabla \Omega, \nabla \Omega), \text{ i.e. } \nabla \Omega = 0.$$

Define $I_1 = I$, $\omega_1 = \omega$, $\omega_2 + i\omega_3 = \Omega$. Then I_2, I_3
 determined by $\omega_2(\cdot, \cdot) = g(I_2 \cdot, \cdot)$
 $\omega_3(\cdot, \cdot) = g(I_3 \cdot, \cdot)$

Linear algebra exercise like we did before, using $(\omega_2 + i\omega_3)(I_1 v, \cdot) = (-\omega_3 + i\omega_2)(v, \cdot)$
 shows $I_2 I_1 = -I_3$ $\begin{matrix} & \parallel \\ g(I_2 I_1 v, \cdot) & -g(I_2 v, \cdot) \end{matrix}$
 $I_3 I_1 = I_2$ $\begin{matrix} & \parallel \\ +ig(I_3 I_1 v, \cdot) & +ig(I_2 v, \cdot) \end{matrix}$

But still need to get $I_2^2 = I_3^2 = -1$.

Since I_2 is skew-symmetric in an orthonormal basis, it can be
 conjugated into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & & & \\ -a_1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & a_2 & \\ & & -a_2 & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Then } I_2^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -a_1^2 & & & \\ & -a_2^2 & & \\ & & -a_2^2 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

All eigenspaces are invariant under parallel transport, since $\nabla I_2 = 0$.

Thus irreducibility \Rightarrow all eigenvalues of I_2^2 equal. Similarly I_3^2 . And $I_2 I_1 = -I_3$
 Hence we can just rescale $I_j \rightarrow \lambda I_j$, $\omega_j \rightarrow \lambda \omega_j$ $\Rightarrow \det I_2 = \det I_3$.
 to arrange $I_2^2 = I_3^2 = -1$.

$$\text{Still have } g(I_2, \cdot) = \omega_2(\cdot, \cdot)$$

$$g(I_3, \cdot) = \omega_3(\cdot, \cdot)$$

And $\nabla I_2 = \nabla I_3 = 0 \Rightarrow I_2, I_3$ integrable, g Kähler for both ■

$\nabla \omega_2 = \nabla \omega_3 = 0$

This is the missing ingredient we needed for the SYZ picture of K3:
our original surface (X, g, I_1) , fibered by complex tori, has HK structure.

Then (X, g, I_2) is another K3 surface, fibered by special Lagrangian tori. ✓

And Gross-Wilson showed that the metric behaves as predicted, in an appropriate "large complex structure" limit!