

## Curvature for Riemannian submanifolds

- Motivation:
- Show that some Riem. metrics are not obtained by hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$
  - Understand the following. Consider a surface  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Fix  $p \in S$  and a normal direction at  $p$ . For every  $v \in T_p M$  let  $K(v)$  be  $\pm \frac{1}{R}$  where  $R = \text{radius of the osculating circle to a curve with tangent vector } v$ ,  $\pm$  determined by whether the circle bends toward or away from the chosen normal direction.

Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be the max and min  $K(v)$ ,  $v \in T_p M$ .

Then,  $S(p) = 2K_1 K_2$ . (and  $K_1, K_2$  are attained in orthogonal directions)

In  $p^{\text{th}}$ ,  $K_1 K_2$  is intrinsic to the Riem geometry of  $S$ !

[Applicable to eating pizza:  $S = \Omega$  so either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$  must vanish; can force  $K_1 \neq 0$  so then  $K_2 = 0$  necessarily.]

## Second fundamental form

Say we have  $M \subset \tilde{M}$  immersed Riemannian. Let  $N(M) = \mathcal{E}(NM)$ .

Then for  $X, Y \in T(M)$  have  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^{\perp} + (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^{\perp}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ T(M) & & N(M) \end{array}$$

Define  $\underline{\mathbb{II}}(X, Y) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^{\perp}$  ("second fundamental form")

Lemma 1)  $\underline{\mathbb{II}} \in \mathcal{E}(\text{Hom}(TM \otimes TM, NM))$

2)  $\underline{\mathbb{II}}(X, Y) = \underline{\mathbb{II}}(Y, X)$

Pf 1) Check  $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity:  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X(fY))^{\perp} = [f\tilde{\nabla}_X Y + (Xf)Y]^{\perp}$   
 $= f[\tilde{\nabla}_X Y]^{\perp}$

2)  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X = [X, Y] \in TM$

so  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X)^{\perp} = 0$ .

Lemma  $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^T = \nabla_X Y$ .

Pf Exercise. (already assigned in the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ )

Lemma If  $X, Y \in TM$  and  $N \in NM$  then  $\langle \tilde{\nabla}_X N, Y \rangle = -\langle N, \mathbb{II}(X, Y) \rangle$

Pf

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X \langle N, Y \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X N, Y \rangle + \langle N, \tilde{\nabla}_X Y \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X N, Y \rangle + \langle N, \nabla_X Y + \mathbb{II}(X, Y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X N, Y \rangle + \langle N, \mathbb{II}(X, Y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now we can relate curvatures of  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$ :

Thm  $\tilde{R}_m(X, Y, Z, W) = R_m(X, Y, Z, W) - \langle \mathbb{II}(X, W), \mathbb{II}(Y, Z) \rangle + \langle \mathbb{II}(X, Z), \mathbb{II}(Y, W) \rangle$

Pf

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_m(X, Y, Z, W) &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X (\nabla_Y Z + \mathbb{II}(Y, Z)) - \tilde{\nabla}_Y (\nabla_X Z + \mathbb{II}(X, Z)) - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z + \mathbb{II}([X, Y], Z), W \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X \nabla_Y Z, W \rangle - \langle \mathbb{II}(Y, Z), \mathbb{II}(X, W) \rangle - \langle \tilde{\nabla}_Y \nabla_X Z, W \rangle + \langle \mathbb{II}(Y, W), \mathbb{II}(X, Z) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle \quad \text{using previous lemma} \\ &= \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle - \langle \mathbb{II}(X, W), \mathbb{II}(Y, Z) \rangle + \langle \mathbb{II}(Y, W), \mathbb{II}(X, Z) \rangle \\ &\quad \text{using } \langle \tilde{\nabla}(-), W \rangle = \langle \nabla(-), W \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Rk Say  $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow M$  and  $X$  a vector field along  $\gamma$ .

Then  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} X = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X + \mathbb{II}(\dot{\gamma}, X)$ .

In pt, if  $\gamma$  is a geodesic in  $M$  then  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = \mathbb{II}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})$

So  $\mathbb{II}$  measures the acceleration in  $\tilde{M}$  of geodesics in  $M$ .

(If  $\mathbb{II} = 0$ , say  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\tilde{M}$ .)

Now, specialize to hypersurfaces  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Pick a unit normal vector  $N$  to  $M$  at  $p$ , then define  $s: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$  ("shape tensor") by  $\langle s(X), Y \rangle = \langle \mathbb{II}(X, Y), N \rangle$ .

Def The Gaussian curvature of  $M$  at  $p$  is  $K(p) = \det s$ .

The mean curvature of  $M$  at  $p$  is  $m(p) = \text{tr } s$ .

[Rk  $m=0 \iff M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is locally volume-minimizing.]

In general  $K(p), m(p)$  depend on the embedding in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . But for  $n=3$  there is a miracle:

Thm (Gauss) If  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  surface, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1) & \text{ in an ON-basis, } K = R_{1221} \\ 2) & \text{ for } X, Y \in T_p M, \quad K = \frac{Rm(X, Y, Y, X)}{\|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2} \end{aligned}$$

Pf 1) Since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is flat, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1221} &= Rm(e_1, e_2, e_2, e_1) = \langle \mathbb{II}(e_1, e_1), \mathbb{II}(e_2, e_2) \rangle - \langle \mathbb{II}(e_1, e_2), \mathbb{II}(e_2, e_1) \rangle \\ &= \det (\langle s(e_i), e_j \rangle)_{ij=1,2} \\ &= \det s \end{aligned}$$

1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) is linear algebra exercise (do Gram-Schmidt to make ON basis from  $X, Y$  and then compute  $R_{1221}$ )

Cor If  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  surface,  $S(p) = 2K(p) = 2K_1 K_2$ .

Pf In ON-basis,  $S = (R_{11})_{11} + (R_{11})_{22} = R_{1221} + R_{2112} = 2R_{1221} = 2K$ .

Let  $f(v) = \frac{\langle v, s(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2} = \frac{\mathbb{II}(v, v)}{\|v\|^2}$ .  $f(v)$  is the inverse curv. of osculating circle to  $v$ .  
(from high school:  $a = \frac{v^2}{r}!$ )

$s$  is self-adjoint  $\Rightarrow f(v)$  is extremized at eigenvectors of  $s$ .

$$s(v) = Kv \Rightarrow f(v) = K. \quad \text{So } K = \det s = K_1 K_2. \quad \checkmark$$