## PUTNAM COMBO SOLS

LUIS KIM

## 1. Problem 1

## Theorem 1.1.

$$\binom{pa}{pb} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \mod p$$

*Proof.* Let S be the set of permutations of the set A = (X, X, X, ..., Y, Y, Y, ...) where A has pb X's and pa elements. Note that  $|S| = \binom{pa}{pb}$ .

Define a function  $P: S \to \mathbb{N}^a$  where  $\forall S_0 \in S$ 

$$P(S_0) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_a]$$

where  $x_k$  is the amount of X's in  $\{S_0(i)\}_{k(p-1)< i \le kp}$ Note that  $\forall i \le a, 0 \le x_i \le p$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^a x_i = pb$ .

Consider the set  $B = \{0, p\}^a$ .  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^a$ . As a result, we can consider  $P^{-1}(B)$ .  $P^{-1}(B)$  consists of all of the permutations of A in which the X's are grouped into b groups of p and where all the Y's are grouped into b - a groups of p. As a result,  $P^{-1}(B)$  is equivalent to permuting b large X groups and b - a large Y groups, so  $|P^{-1}(B)| = \binom{a}{b}$ .

Now consider  $P^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^{a}-B)$ .

$$\forall \ C \in \mathbb{N}^a - B, \exists \ k \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$0 \le C[k] \le p$$

Since  $\forall S_0 \in P^{-1}(C)$  elements k(p-1)+1 to kp can be permuted independently of the others,

$$\binom{k}{p}|(|P^{-1}(C)|)$$

$$p|\binom{k}{p}$$
, so  $p|(|P^{-1}(C)|)$ 

$$\binom{pa}{pb} = |S| \equiv |P^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^a)| \equiv |P^{-1}(B)| + |P^{-1}(\mathbb{N}^a - B)| \equiv |P^{-1}(B)| = \binom{a}{b} \mod p$$

Question 1.2. In Determinant Tic-Tac-Toe, Player 1 enters a 1 in an empty 3 by 3 matrix. Player 0 counters with a 0 in a vacant position, and play continues in turn until the 3 by 3 matrix is completed with five 1s and four 0s. Player 0 wins if the determinant is 0 and Player 1 wins otherwise. Assuming both players pursue optimal strategies, who will win and how?

Theorem 1.3. Player 0 can force a win.

Date: November 2019.

2 LUIS KIM

*Proof.* I demonstrated in the session that this game is equivalent to a game in which P0 tries to prevent P1 from getting 3 1's in a row or a column of a matrix. I will demonstrate that P0 can successfully do so.

Some terminology,

an OR/OC (open row/column) is a row/column which contains nothing but a single 1.

a DOR/DOC (double open row/column) is a row/column which contains nothing but two 1's.

In order for P1 to win, there must be a DOR/DOC after P0's turn. P0 can play such that at the end of his turn  $\#OR + \#OC \le 1$  and #DOR = #DOC = 0. I will prove so by induction.

## Base case:

After P0's 0th turn, there are 0 OR/OC and 0 DOR/DOC.

Inductive step:

After P0's kth turn, there is (WLOG) at most one OR and no OCs (for one OC and no ORs just flip every row and column).

After P1's (k+1)th turn, there are three possibilities.

1.  $\#OR + \#OC \le 2$  and #DOR = #DOC = 0

P0 can block one of the OR/OCs.

2. #OR = 2 and #OC = 1 and #DOR = #DOC = 0

Since there are two ORs, there must be at least one OR that intersects with the OC at an open square (there can only be one 1 on the OC). P0 places a 0 there and blocks one OR and one OC.

3. #DOR = 1 and  $\#OC \le 1$  and #DOC = 0 P0 blocks the DOR.

As a result, P0 can always make it so that there are no DOR or DOC after his turn, making it impossible for P1 to win.