## Algebraic Topology

Homework 8 Solutions: Due Wednesday, October 27

**Problem 1.** Page 135, problem 7.2 – For example 2.4, you can restrict attention to the cover  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to T^2$ .

For example 2.2, our groups are G = Z and  $G_0 = nZ$ . The normalizer of  $G_0$  is all of G, and the automorphism group is the quotient  $Z_n$ , acting by rotation by  $2\pi/n$ .

For example 2.4,  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to Z^2$ ,  $G = Z^2$  and  $G_0$  is trivial, so the automorphism group is  $Z^2$ , acting by translation in the obvious way.

For the first cover in example 2.7,  $G = F_2$  and  $G_0$  is the set of all words in a and b whose abelianization is zero, i.e. the commutator subgroup of  $G = F_2$ . This is a normal subgroup, so the automorphism group is  $F_2/[F_2, F_2] = Z^2$ , acting by translation in the obvious way.

For the second cover,  $G_0$  is the set of all words whose abelianization is a power of b. This is again normal, and the quotient is Z, with generator a. The automorphism group just shifts things up by multiples of 3.

For example 2.8, G = Z,  $G_0$  is trivial, and the automorphism group is Z, acting on  $\mathbb{C}$  by adding multiples of  $2\pi i$ .

Example 2.9 is very similar to example 2.2. The automorphism group is  $Z_n$ , acting on  $\mathbb{C} - 0$  by multiplication by powers of  $\exp(2\pi i/n)$ .

## Problem 2. Page 144, problem 10.1

Let  $S_n$  be the orientable surface of genus n. Since  $S_n$  is a manifold, it has a universal cover, so its covers correspond to subgroups of  $\pi_1(S_n)$ . Consider the cover S whose fundamental group is  $G_0 = [\pi_1(S_n), \pi_1(S_n)]$ . This is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(S_n)$ , so the normalizer is all of  $\pi_1(S_n)$  and the group of deck transformations is  $G = \pi_1(S_n)/G_0$ , which is the abelianization of  $\pi_1(S_n)$ , and is free abelian on 2n generators. Since G is infinite, S is non-compact and  $S_n = S/G$ . When n = 1, S is just the plane. When n > 1, S is a very complicated object.

Given a triangulated surface, we can order the vertices and describe edges and triangles by their vertices. If a triangle has three vertices  $v_i$ ,  $v_j$  and  $v_k$ , and if i < j < k, we denote the triangle they span by  $t_{ijk}$ . Likewise  $e_{ij}$ , with i < j, is the edge from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . Let  $C_0$  be the free abelian group whose generators are the vertices of the triangulation, let  $C_1$  be the free abelian group whose generators are the edges, and let  $C_2$  be the free abelian group whose generators are the triangles. In other words, a 2-chain is a formal sum of triangles, a 1-chain is a formal sum of edges, and a 0-chain is a formal sum of vertices. We define  $\partial_2 t_{ijk} = e_{jk} - e_{ik} + e_{ij}$  and  $\partial_1 e_{ij} = v_j - v_i$  and extend by linearity to all chains. It's easy to check that  $\partial_1 \circ \partial_2 = 0$ , so the homology of this chain complex is well defined, and is called the simplicial homology of the triangulated surface.

**Problem 3** Revisit problems 7.2, 7.3, and 7.4 on page 19, only now compute the simplicial homology of each triangulation.

This is grungy, but it goes through. (MATLAB helps with the linear algebra, by the way.) For 7.2, we have  $C_0 = \mathbf{Z}^4$ , with basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, C_1 = \mathbf{Z}^6$  with basis  $e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{14}, e_{23}, e_{24}, e_{34}$  and  $C_2 = \mathbf{Z}^4$  with basis  $t_{123}, t_{124}, t_{134}, t_{234}$ . The boundary maps

$$\operatorname{are} \partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \partial_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ The kernel}$$

of  $\partial_2$  is the span of  $t_{123} - t_{124} + t_{134} - t_{234}$ , so  $H^2 = Z$ . The kernel of  $\partial_1$  is the image of  $\partial_2$ , so  $H^1 = 0$ .  $H^0 = Z$ , where every vertex is identified with every other vertex.

For 7.3, we list the 10 triangles and 15 edges and 6 vertices in numerical order to get bases for  $C_2 = \mathbf{Z}^{10}$ ,  $C_1 = \mathbf{Z}^{15}$  and  $C_0 = \mathbf{Z}^{6}$ . The boundary maps are

and

which have rank 10 and 5, respectively. However, the image of  $\partial_2$  is an index-2 subgroup of the kernel of  $\partial_1$ , so  $H^2 = 0$ ,  $H^1 = \mathbf{Z}_2$ , and  $H^0 = \mathbf{Z}$ . (This is  $RP^2$ , by the way)

For 7.4, we have  $C_2 = \mathbf{Z}^{14}$ , with basis  $t_{124}$ ,  $t_{126}$ ,  $t_{134}$ ,  $t_{137}$ ,  $t_{156}$ ,  $t_{157}$ ,  $t_{235}$ ,  $t_{237}$ ,  $t_{245}$ ,  $t_{267}$ ,  $t_{346}$ ,  $t_{356}$ ,  $t_{457}$ , and  $t_{467}$ .  $C_1 = \mathbf{Z}^{21}$ , with basis  $e_{12}$ ,  $e_{13}$ , ...,  $e_{17}$ ,  $e_{23}$ , ...,  $e_{57}$ ,  $e_{67}$ , and

 $C_0 = \mathbf{Z}^7$ . The boundary matrices are

and  $\partial_1 =$ 

We now generalize to higher dimensions. Working in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , the standard k-simplex is the convex hull of the points  $v_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0), v_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), v_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0),$ 

through  $v_k = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1)$ . We will label this is  $\Delta_0^k$ . Now let A be a rank-k affine map from  $\mathbb{R}^k$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that takes  $v_0, ..., v_k$  to k+1 distinct points  $w_0, ..., w_k$ . The image of  $\Delta_0^k$  under this map is called a k-simplex with vertices  $w_0, ..., w_k$  and is denoted  $\Delta_{w_0, ..., w_k}$ . We order the vertices in any simplicial complex, and generally list the vertices of each simplex in increasing order.

(Actually, it's sometimes useful to write the vertices in arbitrary order, with the understanding that  $t_{jik}$  means  $-t_{ijk}$ , etc. That is, permuting the order of the vertices means multiplying by the sign of the permutation. For instance,  $\partial t_{ijk} = e_{jk} - e_{ik} + e_{ij}$ , regardless of the ordering of i, j, and k. Still, we usually take as a basis for  $C^k$  the k-simplices with vertices written in increasing order.)

The boundary of a simplex  $\Delta_{w_0,...,w_k}$ , is defined to be  $\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \Delta_{w_0,...,w_{i-1},w_{i+1},...w_k}$ . The k-1 simplex based on all the vertices except  $w_i$  is called the i-th face of the k-simplex.

**Problem 4.** Show that  $\partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k = 0$ . Also show that the definition of  $\partial \Delta_{w_0,...,w_k}$  works even if the vertices are NOT listed in increasing order.

Note that  $\partial_{k-1}\partial_k\Delta_{w_0,...,w_k}$  involves faces with two vertices removed (say,  $w_i$  and  $w_j$ , with i < j), and this can occur by removing the *i*th vertex and then the *j*th, or by removing the *j*th vertex and then the *i*th. The first gives a sign of  $(-1)^{i+j-1}$  while the second gives a sign of  $(-1)^{i+j}$ , so the sum is zero. This is qualitatively the same argument that we used to show that  $\partial^2 = 0$  for cubes.

To show that the definitions work with the order of vertices scrambled, we only need to show that transposing two adjacent vertices preserves the definition, in that any permutation is a product of such transpositions.

The simplex  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{w_0,...,w_{i-1},w_{i+1},w_i,w_{i+2},...}$  is minus  $\Delta_2 = \Delta_{w_0,...,w_i,w_{i+1},...}$ . For j not equal to i or i+1, the j-th face  $\Delta_1$  is minus the j-th face of  $\Delta_2$ , since they differ by transposition of  $w_i$  and  $w_{i+1}$ . The ith face of  $\Delta_1$  is the i+1st face of  $\Delta_2$ , and the 1+1st face of  $\Delta_1$  is the ith face of  $\Delta_2$ . This means that the boundary of  $\Delta_2$  is minus the boundary of  $\Delta_1$ , as it should be.

A simplicial complex is a collection of simplices such that (1) every collection of vertices determines at most one simplex (for instance, there can't be two edges from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ ), and (2) the intersection of any two simplices is the simplex defined by their common vertices (or is empty if they have no vertices in common), and (3) if a simplex is in the collection, then so are all its faces, and the faces of the faces, etc. (e.g., if  $t_{ijk}$  is a 2-simplex in the collection, then  $e_{ij}$ ,  $e_{ik}$  and  $e_{jk}$  are all 1-simplices in the collection, and  $v_i$ ,  $v_j$  and  $v_k$  are all 0-simplices.) The simplest simplicial complex of dimension k consists of a single k-simplex, together with its faces and sub-faces.

**Problem 5.** Compute the homology of the 2-simplex  $\Delta_0^2$  (together with its faces and sub-faces, of course). Repeat for  $\Delta_0^3$ . Repeat for the complex obtained from the faces of  $\Delta_0^3$  without the 3-simplex itself (i.e., the surface of a tetrahedron).

For  $\Delta_0^2$ , we have  $C_2 = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $C_1 = \mathbf{Z}^3$  (with basis  $e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{23}$ ) and  $C_0 = \mathbf{Z}^3$ . The

boundary maps are  $\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\partial_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the kernel of  $\partial_1$  equals the image of  $\partial_2$ , and we have  $H_2 = H_1 = 0$ ,  $H_0 = \mathbf{Z}$ . For  $\Delta_0^3$ , we have  $C_3 = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $C_2 = \mathbf{Z}^4$ ,  $C_1 = \mathbf{Z}^6$  and  $C_0 = \mathbf{Z}^4$ , with  $\partial_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and with  $\partial_2$  and  $\partial_1$  exactly as in problem 7.2

of page 19, as computed above. Since the image of  $\partial_3$  equals the kernel of  $\partial_2$ , we have  $H_3 = H_2 = H_1 = 0$ ,  $H_0 = \mathbf{Z}$ . Finally, the faces of  $\Delta_0^3$  without the interior is exactly the complex of 7.2, for which we computed  $H_2 = H_0 = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $H_1 = 0$ .