

Lie Groups Solutions, Problem Set # 5

Section 3.1:

1: (a) Given a real vector space E , let $F = E \otimes \mathbf{C}$, and let C be complex conjugation. Conversely, given a pair (F, C) with F of complex dimension n , we can view F as a real vector space of real dimension $2n$. Since $C^2 = 1$, the eigenspaces of C have eigenvalues ± 1 , and since $Ci = -iC$, multiplication by i sends each eigenspace to the other. Let E be the $+1$ eigenspace, so iE is the -1 eigenspace, so $F = E \oplus iE = E \otimes \mathbf{C}$. This shows that the correspondence is a bijection.

(b) If E is a right- \mathbf{H} vector space, then E is also a (right) complex vector space (of twice the dimension), since the complexes are a subset of the quaternions. Let F be the same set as E , and let J be right-multiplication by j . Since for any complex number α , $\alpha j = j\bar{\alpha}$, J is complex anti-linear, and since $j^2 = -1$, $J^2 = -1$. Conversely, if we have a pair (F, J) , then we can allow the quaternion $q = \alpha + j\beta$ to act on a vector x by $x(\alpha + j\beta) = x(\alpha) + (Jx)\beta$.

Note an essential difference between the two constructions. In the real case, E is a subset of F . In the quaternionic case, E is the same set as F .

6: Here are two solutions: (a) Work on the Lie algebra level. $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ is spanned by the Hermitian matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the anti-Hermitian matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Meanwhile, $su(1, 1)$ is spanned by two Hermitian matrices and the anti-Hermitian matrix $B = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$. But $A = cBc^{-1}$, where $c = \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the matrix of eigenvectors of A . Likewise, the Hermitian generators of $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ are $Ad(c)$ of the Hermitian generators of $SU(1, 1)$, and by exponentiation we see that $SL(2, \mathbf{R}) = cSU(1, 1)c^{-1}$. (b) Following the hint in the book, $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$, acting on \mathbf{R}^2 , preserves the bilinear form with matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. But then acting on \mathbf{C}^2 it preserves the sesquilinear form with matrix $iM = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. But this is a Hermitian form of signature $(1, 1)$, so $SL(2, \mathbf{R}) \subset SU(iM)$. Since the groups are connected and of the same dimension, they are in fact equal. But $SU(iM)$ is conjugate to the standard $SU(1, 1)$ by a change of basis that takes iM to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is precisely the matrix c listed above.

11: In all cases we count degrees of freedom in the Lie algebra. (a) There are n^2 variables and one constraining (trace equals zero), hence $n^2 - 1$ degrees of freedom. (b)

$so(n, \mathbf{C})$ is the set of anti-symmetric matrices, which are determined by the upper triangular block, with $n(n-1)/2$ degrees of freedom. (c) As we worked out in problem 2.2.3, the Lie algebra of $sp(n, \mathbf{C})$ is all block matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ with $D = -A^t$ (m^2 degrees of freedom) and with B and C symmetric ($m(m+1)/2$ degrees of freedom each), for a total of $2m^2 + m$.

12: (a) $\mathfrak{g}_0 = su(n)$ is the set of traceless anti-Hermitian matrices, $i\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the set of traceless Hermitians, and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$ is the set of all traceless matrices. (b) \mathfrak{g}_0 is the set of anti-symmetric real matrices, $i\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the set of anti-symmetric imaginary matrices, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the set of all anti-symmetric matrices. (c) As in problem 2.2.3, \mathfrak{g}_0 is the set of all real block matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, with $D = -A^t$ and with B and C symmetric, $i\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the set of imaginary matrices of that form, and \mathfrak{g} is the set of all complex matrices of that form.

Section 3.2:

6: (a) For $G = SL(n, \mathbf{C})$, every simple matrix is diagonalizable, and the matrix of eigenvectors can be chosen to have determinant 1 (just rescale one of the eigenvectors). For the other classical groups, we have a bit more work to do. Suppose that G preserves the bilinear form ϕ . Then for any $a \in G$, and any eigenvectors v_1, v_2 of a with eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 , $\phi(v_1, v_2) = \phi(av_1, av_2) = \lambda_1\lambda_2\phi(v_1, v_2)$. That is, either $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1$ or the two eigenvectors are ϕ -orthogonal. However, ϕ is non-degenerate, so it can't be that EVERY eigenvector is orthogonal to v_j . For each j , there must be an eigenvector w_j whose eigenvalue is λ_j^{-1} , and for which $\phi(v_j, w_j) = 1$. For the moment, suppose all of the eigenvalues of a are distinct, and that $G = SO(2n, \mathbf{C})$ or $Sp(n, \mathbf{C})$. Then we can choose list our eigenvectors in the form $v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n$. The matrix that has these vectors as its columns will be in G . (Seeing that it preserves ϕ is precisely the ϕ -orthonormality of the eigenvectors. Seeing that it has determinant $+1$ is subtler.) If $G = SO(2n+1, \mathbf{C})$, then there is an additional eigenvector with eigenvalue 1, which goes last. Finally, if there are repeated eigenvalues, then we must do a Gram-Schmidt-like change-of-basis within each eigenspace to ensure that $\phi(v_j, v_k) = 0 = \phi(w_j, w_k)$ and that $\phi(v_j, w_k) = 1$ if $j = k$ and zero otherwise.

(b) If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple, then $\exp(tX)$ is a semi-simple element of G , so by (a) its eigenvectors can be assembled into an element of G . But for t small enough, all eigenvectors of $\exp(tX)$ are eigenvectors of X , so X is conjugate to an element of \mathfrak{h} by G .

(c) Pick an element $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that X has a maximal number of distinct eigenvalues. By (b), X is conjugate (by G) to a diagonal matrix, and without loss of generality we can group the repeated eigenvalues together. Any matrix $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ commutes with

X , and so must be block-diagonal, with blocks corresponding to the eigenspaces of X . Now I claim that the blocks in Y are all proportional to the identity, for otherwise, by first-order perturbation theory, for small t , $X + tY$ would have more distinct eigenvalues than X , which contradicts the maximality condition. Thus every element of \mathfrak{a} is diagonal in this basis, so \mathfrak{a} is conjugate to a subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} .

(d) Every connected abelian subgroup A of G consisting only of semi-simple elements is generated by its Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} , which is, by (c), conjugate to a subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} . So $A = \Gamma(\mathfrak{a})$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $H = \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$.

(e) By (c), there is $g \in G$ such that $Ad(g)\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. But then $\mathfrak{a} \subset Ad(g^{-1})\mathfrak{h}$. Since \mathfrak{a} is a *maximal* abelian subalgebra, \mathfrak{a} must equal $Ad(g^{-1})\mathfrak{h}$, so \mathfrak{a} is conjugate to \mathfrak{h} .

(f) Since A is connected, $A = \Gamma(L(A))$. Note that $L(A)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra consisting of semi-simple elements, so by (e), $L(A)$ is conjugate to \mathfrak{h} . But then $A = \Gamma(L(A))$ is conjugate to $H = \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$.

(g) Take $G = SO(E)$, and consider A to be the diagonal matrices with entries ± 1 , relative to the basis of problem 1. (This is equivalent to changing the bilinear form to the one represented by the identity matrix). These are the only diagonal matrices in G . The only matrices that commute with all of A are diagonal matrices, hence A is a maximal abelian subalgebra consisting of semi-simple elements. However A , being finite, is not conjugate to H .

(h) We already did this in class. The group of $2n \times 2n$ matrices with block form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is maximal abelian of dimension n^2 , but is not conjugate to H (which has dimension $2n$).