

# Periods and $L$ -functions

SHILIN LAI

Let  $\Xi$  be a smooth projective curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then we can form its function field  $F = \mathbb{F}_q(\Xi)$ , integral adeles  $\mathbb{O}$ , and adeles  $\mathbb{A}$ . We fix an isomorphism of coefficient fields  $\mathbb{C} \cong \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  for convenience.

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The classical (unramified) global Langlands conjecture is roughly a picture of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unramified automorphic} \\ \text{representations of } G(\mathbb{A}) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{ \check{G}\text{-local systems on } \Xi \}$$

In particular, there are  $L$ -functions defined on both sides, and they are supposed to agree. The definition of  $L$ -functions on either side depends on additional data, and one part of the relative Langlands framework is to clarify this. The picture is now roughly

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unramified automorphic} \\ \text{representations of } G(\mathbb{A}) \end{array} \right\} & \longleftrightarrow & \{ \check{G}\text{-local systems on } \Xi \} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle X & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \check{X} \\ \text{Period} & \xleftarrow{\approx} & \text{Values of } L\text{-function} \end{array}$$

This talk will explain the constructions of period functions and  $L$ -functions. We will also illustrate the connection in the Iwasawa–Tate case, which was explained in Kaletha’s talk from two days ago. The following talk will categorify both sides into sheaves.

## 1. AUTOMORPHIC SIDE

The A-side TQFT  $\mathcal{A}_G$  evaluated on the 3-dimensional object  $\Xi$  gives the vector space of functions on  $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . A boundary condition gives an element of this space. Let  $X$  be any  $G$ -variety, then the boundary theory  $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$  produces the following element.

**Definition 1.1.** The *theta series* for  $X$  is the function  $\Theta_X : \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\Theta_X(g) := \sum_{x \in X(F)} \mathbf{1}_{X(\mathbb{O})}(xg)$$

for all  $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ . Here,  $\mathbf{1}_{X(\mathbb{O})}$  is the indicator function of the open compact set  $X(\mathbb{O}) \subseteq X(\mathbb{A})$ .

*Remark 1.2.* In general, the presence of a non-trivial  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{gr}}$ -action introduces an additional twist by a square root of the canonical bundle, cf. [BZSV (10.6)].

**Example 1.3.** In the Iwasawa–Tate case,  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$  acts on  $X = \mathbb{A}^1$  by scaling, so

$$\Theta_X(a) = \sum_{x \in F} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{O}}(ax) = \#\{x \in F \mid x \in a^{-1}\mathbb{O}\}.$$

This is exactly the number of global sections of the line bundle attached to  $a$ .

This example is a part of the following geometric reinterpretation of the theta series, which follows by the same type of computation.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $G$ -bundle attached to  $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ , then  $\Theta_X(g)$  counts the number of  $X$ -sections of the  $X$ -bundle associated to  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

Given a function  $\varphi : \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we can pair it against the theta series

$$\langle \Theta_X, \varphi \rangle = \int_{G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \Theta_X(g) \varphi(g) dg = \sum_{x \in \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\text{Aut}_x} \Theta_X(x) \varphi(x)$$

We call this the *period* of  $\varphi$ . In other words,  $\langle \Theta_X, - \rangle$  is the period functional on automorphic forms.

**Example 1.5.** If  $X = H \backslash G$  is homogeneous, then in our everywhere unramified setting, an easy computation shows that

$$\langle \Theta_X, \varphi \rangle = \int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(h) dh$$

is the usual period.

## 2. SPECTRAL SIDE

Let  $\Xi$  be a  $\check{G}$ -local system. Its  $L$ -function depends on the additional datum of an algebraic representation

$$r : \check{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n.$$

This determines a rank  $n$  local system  $r \circ \Xi$  on  $\Xi$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local system on  $\Xi$ . Its  $L$ -function is defined to be

$$L(s, \mathcal{L}) = \det(1 - q^{-s} \text{Frob} | \text{R}\Gamma(\Xi/\mathbb{F}_q, \mathcal{L}))^{-1}.$$

The determinant is graded, namely the odd degree terms carry an exponent of  $-1$ .

Since  $\Xi$  is a smooth curve, the cohomology is supported in degrees  $[0, 2]$ , and really the only interesting degree is 1. Let  $V = H^1(\Xi/\mathbb{F}_q, \mathcal{L})$ , then the corresponding term in the above product is

$$\det(1 - q^{-s} \text{Frob} | V) = \text{Tr}(q^{-s} \text{Frob} | \wedge^\bullet V).$$

Again, the trace is a graded trace.

Classically,  $L$ -functions are usually defined as an Euler product over the places of a global field. In the function field case, this connection is given by the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula.

**Theorem 2.2.** *There is a factorization*

$$L(s, \mathcal{L}) = \prod_{v \in |\Xi|} L(s, \mathcal{L}_v),$$

where each term is defined by

$$L(s, \mathcal{L}_v) = \det(1 - (q^{-s} \text{Frob})^{\deg v} | \mathcal{L}_v)^{-1}.$$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathbf{1}$  be the constant local system of rank 1, then for each  $v$ ,

$$L(s, \mathbf{1}_v) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-s \deg v}}.$$

The global  $L$ -function is equal to

$$L(s, \mathbf{1}) = \frac{P(q^{-s})}{(1 - q^{-s})(1 - q^{1-s})} = \prod_{v \in |\Xi|} L(s, \mathbf{1}_v),$$

where  $P(T)$  is some polynomial of degree  $2g$ ,  $g = \text{genus}(\Xi)$ . Note that this is a meromorphic function of  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , and it has simple poles at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$ . In this case, Poincaré duality implies the functional equation  $L(s, \mathbf{1}) = L(1 - s, \mathbf{1})$ .

### 3. NUMERICAL RELATIONS

In the Tate–Iwasawa setting of  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$  acting on  $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ , we will compute the period

$$\langle \Theta_X, q^{-s \deg} \rangle,$$

where  $\deg : \text{Pic}_\Xi(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the degree function. This was exactly the computation in Tate’s thesis that Kaletha explained previously, but we will say it in a slightly different way.

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Theta_X, q^{-s \deg} \rangle &= \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}_\Xi(\mathbb{F}_q)} q^{-s \deg(\mathcal{L})} \Theta_X(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}_\Xi(\mathbb{F}_q)} q^{-s \deg(\mathcal{L})} \# H^0(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= \sum_{D \in \text{Div}^+ \Xi} q^{-s \deg D}. \end{aligned}$$

For the final equality, the zero section contributes a divergent term, which also appeared in Tate’s thesis. It can be handled by a suitable regularisation procedure.

An effective divisor is a formal linear combination of closed points of  $\Xi$  with non-negative integer coefficients, so the final sum above factors

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{D \in \text{Div}^+ \Xi} q^{-s \deg D} &= \prod_{v \in |\Xi|} \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{-s \cdot n \deg v} \\ &= \prod_{v \in |\Xi|} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-s \deg v}}. \end{aligned}$$

The final expression is the Euler product of an  $L$ -function, so we get an equality

$$\langle \Theta_X, q^{-s \deg} \rangle = L(s, \mathbf{1}).$$

In the remaining talks, we will see a categorified version of this numerical equality.

*Remark 3.1.* We now make two important remarks about the above example.

- (1) A better formulation of the equality is that

$$\langle \Theta_X, q^{-s \deg} \rangle = L(0, |\cdot|^s)$$

for any fixed  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here, the point of evaluation on the right hand side is determined by the  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{gr}}$ -action on the dual variety  $\check{M} = T^*\mathbb{A}^1$ , and it is independent of the automorphic representation.

We get an equality of  $L$ -functions since we are allowed to twist the automorphic form by central character. This is not possible in the Gross–Prasad case, and the period integral only sees one particular  $L$ -value (namely the central one) instead of the entire  $L$ -function.

- (2) The Riemann–Roch theorem gives the identity

$$\Theta_X(x) = q^{-(g-1)} |x|^{-1} \Theta_X(\mathfrak{d}x^{-1}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the canonical divisor. Replacing  $x$  by  $\mathfrak{d}^{\frac{1}{2}}x$  removes the extra  $\mathfrak{d}$  from the formula, and this is exactly the correction alluded to in Remark 1.2 if we used the scaling  $\mathbb{G}_{\text{gr}}$ -action.

The Riemann–Roch theorem can be derived from the Poisson summation formula. The Fourier transform can be interpreted as switching between the two different ways of polarizing the Hamiltonian  $G$ -space  $M = T^*X$ , and the above identity states that the theta series attached to both polarizations agree. In Kaletha’s talk, we saw that this additional symmetry (of  $M$ ) leads to the functional equation.