

# Transfer Principle for the Fundamental Lemma

Shilin Lai

September 21, 2020

# Background

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (think  $SL_2(\mathbf{Q})$ ).

# Background

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (think  $SL_2(\mathbf{Q})$ ).

## Problem

Decompose the representation  $R = L^2(G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$  with the right regular  $G(\mathbb{A})$ -action.

If  $G = SL_2$ , then this contains the study of modular forms and Maass forms.

# Background

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (think  $SL_2(\mathbf{Q})$ ).

## Problem

Decompose the representation  $R = L^2(G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$  with the right regular  $G(\mathbb{A})$ -action.

If  $G = SL_2$ , then this contains the study of modular forms and Maass forms.

The main tool is the trace formula.

# Trace formula

Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}))$ , then we can consider the trace of  $f$ .

# Trace formula

Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}))$ , then we can consider the trace of  $f$ .

Spectral expansion: if  $R = \bigoplus_{\pi} m(\pi) \cdot \pi$ , then

$$\mathrm{Tr} R(f) = \sum_{\pi} m(\pi) \mathrm{Tr} \pi(f)$$

# Trace formula

Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}))$ , then we can consider the trace of  $f$ .

Spectral expansion: if  $R = \bigoplus_{\pi} m(\pi) \cdot \pi$ , then

$$\mathrm{Tr} R(f) = \sum_{\pi} m(\pi) \mathrm{Tr} \pi(f)$$

Geometric expansion:  $R(f)$  is an integral operator, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} R(f) &= \int_{G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q})} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx \\ &= \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \mathrm{vol}(G(\mathbf{Q})_{\gamma} \backslash G(\mathbb{A})_{\gamma}) \int_{G(\mathbb{A})_{\gamma} \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over conjugacy classes in  $G(\mathbf{Q})$ , and a subscript  $\gamma$  indicates the centralizer of  $\gamma$  in that group.

Comparison gives

$$\sum_{\pi} m(\pi) \operatorname{Tr} \pi(f) = \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \operatorname{vol}(G(\mathbf{Q})_{\gamma} \backslash G(\mathbb{A})_{\gamma}) \mathcal{O}(G, \gamma, f)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(G, \gamma, f)$  is the orbit integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(G, \gamma, f) &= \int_{G(\mathbb{A})_{\gamma} \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{v}} \int_{G(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{v}})_{\gamma} \backslash G(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{v}})} f_{\mathfrak{v}}(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{v}} \mathcal{O}(G, \gamma, f_{\mathfrak{v}}) \end{aligned}$$



# Stabilization

The individual terms of both sides of the trace formula are stable under conjugation by  $G(\mathbf{Q})$  (i.e. “invariant”), but not by  $G(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})$  (i.e. not “stable”). This causes issues.

# Stabilization

The individual terms of both sides of the trace formula are stable under conjugation by  $G(\mathbf{Q})$  (i.e. “invariant”), but not by  $G(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})$  (i.e. not “stable”). This causes issues.

Group terms together so that they are stably invariant.

- Geometric side: stable orbit integral

$$SO(G, \gamma, f_v) = \sum_{\gamma' \sim \gamma} \mathcal{O}(G, \gamma', f_v)$$

Conjugacy classes in a stable conjugacy class are classified by the cohomology set  $H^1(F, G_\gamma)$ .

# Stabilization

The individual terms of both sides of the trace formula are stable under conjugation by  $G(\mathbf{Q})$  (i.e. “invariant”), but not by  $G(\bar{\mathbf{Q}})$  (i.e. not “stable”). This causes issues.

Group terms together so that they are stably invariant.

- Geometric side: stable orbit integral

$$SO(G, \gamma, f_v) = \sum_{\gamma' \sim \gamma} \mathcal{O}(G, \gamma', f_v)$$

Conjugacy classes in a stable conjugacy class are classified by the cohomology set  $H^1(F, G_\gamma)$ .

- Spectral side:  $L$ -packets...

# Endoscopy

Principle: unstable orbit integrals can be represented as stable orbit integrals of  $G$  and smaller groups.

# Endoscopy

Principle: unstable orbit integrals can be represented as stable orbit integrals of  $G$  and smaller groups.

## Theorem-like object

- There is a natural finite abelian group structure on  $H^1(F, G_\gamma)$ .
- A character  $\kappa$  determines a reductive group  $H$ , and there is a map  $\nu$  from semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $H$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $G$ .
- Given  $f_G \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbf{Q}_p))$ , there exists  $f_H \in C_c^\infty(H(\mathbf{Q}_p))$  such that for all regular semisimple  $\gamma \in H(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ,

$$\Delta(\gamma) \mathcal{O}_\kappa(G, \nu(\gamma), f_G) = S\mathcal{O}(H, \gamma, f_H)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_\kappa(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma' \sim \gamma} \kappa(\gamma') \mathcal{O}(\gamma')$ .

# Fundamental lemma: vague statement

Suppose  $G$  extends to a smooth group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . One version of the fundamental lemma is

## Lemma

$1_{H(\mathbf{Z}_p)}$  is the transfer of  $1_{G(\mathbf{Z}_p)}$ .

# Fundamental lemma: vague statement

Suppose  $G$  extends to a smooth group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . One version of the fundamental lemma is

## Lemma

$1_{H(\mathbf{Z}_p)}$  is the transfer of  $1_{G(\mathbf{Z}_p)}$ .

We will now state in more explicit terms a Lie algebra variant of this statement.

# Fundamental Lemma: somewhat more precise statement

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .



# Fundamental Lemma: somewhat more precise statement

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .

Let  $H$  be an endoscopic group for  $G$ . There is a map  $\nu$  from semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{h}(F)$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ .

# Fundamental Lemma: somewhat more precise statement

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .

Let  $H$  be an endoscopic group for  $G$ . There is a map  $\nu$  from semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{h}(F)$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ .

Let  $\gamma_H \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$ , then there is a canonical choice of  $\gamma_0$  in the stable conjugacy class  $\nu(\gamma_H)$ , as well as a character  $\kappa$  on  $H^1(F, G_{\gamma_0})$ .

# Fundamental Lemma: somewhat more precise statement

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .

Let  $H$  be an endoscopic group for  $G$ . There is a map  $\nu$  from semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{h}(F)$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ .

Let  $\gamma_H \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$ , then there is a canonical choice of  $\gamma_0$  in the stable conjugacy class  $\nu(\gamma_H)$ , as well as a character  $\kappa$  on  $H^1(F, G_{\gamma_0})$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = \sum_{\gamma \sim \gamma_0} \kappa(\gamma) \int_{G(F)_{\gamma} \backslash G(F)} 1_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}_F)}((\text{Ad } g^{-1})\gamma) dg$$

and  $S\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1$ ,

# Fundamental Lemma: somewhat more precise statement

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .

Let  $H$  be an endoscopic group for  $G$ . There is a map  $\nu$  from semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{h}(F)$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ .

Let  $\gamma_H \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$ , then there is a canonical choice of  $\gamma_0$  in the stable conjugacy class  $\nu(\gamma_H)$ , as well as a character  $\kappa$  on  $H^1(F, G_{\gamma_0})$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = \sum_{\gamma \sim \gamma_0} \kappa(\gamma) \int_{G(F)_{\gamma} \backslash G(F)} 1_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}_F)}((\text{Ad } g^{-1})\gamma) dg$$

and  $S\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1$ , then for a specified  $r$  depending on  $G, \kappa, \gamma$ ,

$$\text{FL : } \quad \mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = q^r S\mathcal{O}(H, \gamma)$$

# Transfer Principle

## Main Theorem

For  $p$  sufficiently large depending on  $G$ , if the fundamental lemma holds for  $F = \mathbf{F}_p((t))$ , then it holds for  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

# Transfer Principle

## Main Theorem

For  $p$  sufficiently large depending on  $G$ , if the fundamental lemma holds for  $F = \mathbf{F}_p((t))$ , then it holds for  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

Famously, Ngô established the premise of the theorem.

# Transfer Principle

## Main Theorem

For  $p$  sufficiently large depending on  $G$ , if the fundamental lemma holds for  $F = \mathbf{F}_p((t))$ , then it holds for  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

Famously, Ngô established the premise of the theorem.

## Consequences

- Hales: We get fundamental lemma for  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  for *all*  $p$ .
- Waldspurger: The group version also holds.

# Principle

## Theorem (Ax–Kochen–Eršov)

Let  $\varphi$  be a first order sentence in the language of valued fields, then for  $p$  sufficiently large,  $\varphi$  holds in  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  if and only if  $\varphi$  holds for  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .



# Principle

## Theorem (Ax–Kochen–Eršov)

Let  $\varphi$  be a first order sentence in the language of valued fields, then for  $p$  sufficiently large,  $\varphi$  holds in  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  if and only if  $\varphi$  holds for  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

We need to extend this to account for integration.

## Wishful Thinking

Let  $K$  be a Henselian local field. Suppose  $f : K^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  is a “first-order definable” function, then  $\int_{K^n} f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$  only depends on the residue field of  $K$ , provided it has a sufficiently large characteristics.

# Main ideas

- If  $p$  is large enough relative to a fixed set of formulae, then operations over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  both look like  $k((t))$  for any field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Model theory makes this precise.

# Main ideas

- If  $p$  is large enough relative to a fixed set of formulae, then operations over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  both look like  $k((t))$  for any field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Model theory makes this precise.
- Model-theoretic techniques can be applied to break up  $k((t))^n$  into well-understood pieces or “cells”.

# Main ideas

- If  $p$  is large enough relative to a fixed set of formulae, then operations over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  both look like  $k((t))$  for any field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Model theory makes this precise.
- Model-theoretic techniques can be applied to break up  $k((t))^n$  into well-understood pieces or “cells”.
- Define formal volume/integration over cells valued in some formal ring with a symbol  $\mathbb{L}$  to stand for  $p$ .

# Specification

## Definition

The *Denef–Pas language* has three sorts: valued field, residue field, and valuation group. It contains

- Rings over  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ :  $(0, 1, +, -, \times, \mathbf{Z}[[t]])$  in the valued field variables.
- Rings over  $\mathbf{Q}$  in the residue field variables.
- Ordered group:  $(0, +, -, <)$  in the valuation group variables.
- Unary function  $\text{ord}$  from valued field to valuation group.
- Unary function  $\text{ac}$  from valued field to residue field.

# Specification

## Definition

The *Denef–Pas language* has three sorts: valued field, residue field, and valuation group. It contains

- Rings over  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ :  $(0, 1, +, -, \times, \mathbf{Z}[[t]])$  in the valued field variables.
- Rings over  $\mathbf{Q}$  in the residue field variables.
- Ordered group:  $(0, +, -, <)$  in the valuation group variables.
- Unary function  $\text{ord}$  from valued field to valuation group.
- Unary function  $\text{ac}$  from valued field to residue field.

A model of this is a triple of set  $(K, k, Z)$  with interpretations for above symbols.

# Interpretation

Three models:  $(k((t)), k, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{F}_p((t)), \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$

# Interpretation

Three models:  $(k((t)), k, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{F}_p((t)), \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$

- $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ -algebra by sending  $t$  to  $p$ .
- $\text{ac}(x)$  is the leading term of a formal Laurent series resp.  $p$ -adic expansion.
- $\mathbf{Q}$  in the residue field language is interpreted in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  as follows:  
if  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$  is not defined in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then interpret it as 0.



# Interpretation

Three models:  $(k((t)), k, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{F}_p((t)), \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$

- $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ -algebra by sending  $t$  to  $p$ .
- $\text{ac}(x)$  is the leading term of a formal Laurent series resp.  $p$ -adic expansion.
- $\mathbf{Q}$  in the residue field language is interpreted in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  as follows:  
if  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$  is not defined in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then interpret it as 0.

A formula  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{DP}}$  with  $(m, n, r)$  free variables defines  
 $X_{K, \varphi} \subseteq K^m \times k^n \times Z^r$ .

# Interpretation

Three models:  $(k((t)), k, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(\mathbf{F}_p((t)), \mathbf{F}_p, \mathbf{Z})$

- $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ -algebra by sending  $t$  to  $p$ .
- $\text{ac}(x)$  is the leading term of a formal Laurent series resp.  $p$ -adic expansion.
- $\mathbf{Q}$  in the residue field language is interpreted in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  as follows:  
if  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$  is not defined in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then interpret it as 0.

A formula  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{DP}}$  with  $(m, n, r)$  free variables defines  $X_{K, \varphi} \subseteq K^m \times k^n \times \mathbf{Z}^r$ .

Can view  $\varphi$  as a functor

$$X_\varphi : \{k \text{ field of char } 0\} \rightarrow \{\text{subsets of } k((t))^m \times k^n \times \mathbf{Z}^r\}$$

# Specialization I

Also have notion of morphisms  $X_\varphi \rightarrow X_{\varphi'}$ , defined using graphs.

# Specialization I

Also have notion of morphisms  $X_\varphi \rightarrow X_{\varphi'}$ , defined using graphs.

## Corollary of Ax–Kochen–Eršov

- If  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  define the same functor, then

$$X_{K,\varphi} = X_{K,\varphi'}$$

for  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  if  $p$  is sufficiently large.

# Specialization I

Also have notion of morphisms  $X_\varphi \rightarrow X_{\varphi'}$ , defined using graphs.

## Corollary of Ax–Kochen–Eršov

- If  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  define the same functor, then

$$X_{K,\varphi} = X_{K,\varphi'}$$

for  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  if  $p$  is sufficiently large.

- If  $f : X_\varphi \rightarrow X_{\varphi'}$  is a definable morphism, then it specializes to algebraic maps

$$f_K : X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow X_{K,\varphi'}$$

for  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$  if  $p$  is sufficiently large.

# Functions

There are three types of *Functions* on  $X_\varphi$ :

# Functions

There are three types of *Functions* on  $X_\varphi$ :

- 1 Motive-valued Functions: definable subsets of  $X_\varphi \times k^n$ .
- 2 **Z**-valued Functions: definable morphisms  $X_\varphi \rightarrow Z$ .
- 3 Residue-dependent Functions: formal symbols  $\mathbb{L}^\alpha$  for  $\alpha$  of the previous type.

# Functions

There are three types of *Functions* on  $X_\varphi$ :

- 1 Motive-valued Functions: definable subsets of  $X_\varphi \times k^n$ .
- 2 **Z**-valued Functions: definable morphisms  $X_\varphi \rightarrow Z$ .
- 3 Residue-dependent Functions: formal symbols  $\mathbb{L}^\alpha$  for  $\alpha$  of the previous type.

They satisfy various relations like

$$\mathbb{L} - 1 = X_\varphi \times (k - \{0\})$$



# Functions

There are three types of *Functions* on  $X_\varphi$ :

- 1 Motive-valued Functions: definable subsets of  $X_\varphi \times k^n$ .
- 2 **Z**-valued Functions: definable morphisms  $X_\varphi \rightarrow Z$ .
- 3 Residue-dependent Functions: formal symbols  $\mathbb{L}^\alpha$  for  $\alpha$  of the previous type.

They satisfy various relations like

$$\mathbb{L} - 1 = X_\varphi \times (k - \{0\})$$

Forms a ring  $\mathcal{C}(X_\varphi)$ .

# Specialization II

Given  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X_\varphi)$ , we can interpret it as an actual function  $X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  for  $K$  a local field,  $p \gg_{\varphi,f} 1$

# Specialization II

Given  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X_\varphi)$ , we can interpret it as an actual function  $X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  for  $K$  a local field,  $p \gg_{\varphi,f} 1$

- 1  $Y \subseteq X_\varphi \times k^n$  gives  $x \mapsto \#\pi_K^{-1}(x)$ , where  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is the projection morphism.
- 2  $\alpha : X_\varphi \rightarrow Z$  gives  $\alpha_K : X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .
- 3  $\mathbb{L}$  gives  $p$ .

# Specialization II

Given  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X_\varphi)$ , we can interpret it as an actual function  $X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  for  $K$  a local field,  $p \gg_{\varphi,f} 1$

- 1  $Y \subseteq X_\varphi \times k^n$  gives  $x \mapsto \#\pi_K^{-1}(x)$ , where  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is the projection morphism.
- 2  $\alpha : X_\varphi \rightarrow Z$  gives  $\alpha_K : X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ .
- 3  $\mathbb{L}$  gives  $p$ .

## Corollary of Ax–Kochen–Eršov

This is independent of a presentation of  $f$ .

# Motivic integration

Formalism:

- For each  $\varphi$ , construct a subset  $IC(X_\varphi)$  of integrable Functions.
- For each morphism  $f : X_\varphi \rightarrow X_{\varphi'}$ , construct a pushforward  $f_! : IC(X_\varphi) \rightarrow IC(X_{\varphi'})$ .
- Let  $*$  be the one-point functor. Given  $\varphi$  and  $f \in IC(X_\varphi)$ , define  $\mu(f) = p_!(f)$ , where  $p : X_\varphi \rightarrow *$ .

# Motivic integration

Formalism:

- For each  $\varphi$ , construct a subset  $IC(X_\varphi)$  of integrable Functions.
- For each morphism  $f : X_\varphi \rightarrow X_{\varphi'}$ , construct a pushforward  $f_! : IC(X_\varphi) \rightarrow IC(X_{\varphi'})$ .
- Let  $*$  be the one-point functor. Given  $\varphi$  and  $f \in IC(X_\varphi)$ , define  $\mu(f) = p_!(f)$ , where  $p : X_\varphi \rightarrow *$ .

Specialization:

- Integrable Functions  $f$  should have integrable specialization  $f_K : X_{K,\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ .
- Functions on  $*$  specializes to rational numbers, and we need

$$\mu(f)_K = \int_{X_{K,\varphi}} f_K(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$$

# Transfer

## Theorem (Cluckers–Loeser)

Let  $f$  be a function on  $X_\varphi$ , then for  $p$  sufficiently large,  $f_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $f_{\mathbf{F}_p((t))} \equiv 0$ .

# Transfer

## Theorem (Cluckers–Loeser)

Let  $f$  be a function on  $X_\varphi$ , then for  $p$  sufficiently large,  $f_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $f_{\mathbf{F}_p((t))} \equiv 0$ .

## Remark

A sentence  $\varphi$  is a function on  $*$ . It specializes to 1 if  $\varphi$  holds and 0 otherwise, so this recovers Ax–Kochen–Eršov.



# Transfer

## Theorem (Cluckers–Loeser)

Let  $f$  be a function on  $X_\varphi$ , then for  $p$  sufficiently large,  $f_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $f_{\mathbf{F}_p((t))} \equiv 0$ .

## Remark

A sentence  $\varphi$  is a function on  $*$ . It specializes to 1 if  $\varphi$  holds and 0 otherwise, so this recovers Ax–Kochen–Eršov.

This combined with the previous slide gives a transfer principle for theorems involving integrals in this framework.

# About the proof

Induct on the number of valued field variables.

# About the proof

Induct on the number of valued field variables.

Base case:

- Transfer: Ax–Kochen–Eršov+ $\epsilon$
- Specialization: by definition

# About the proof

Induct on the number of valued field variables.

Base case:

- Transfer: Ax–Kochen–Eršov+ $\epsilon$
- Specialization: by definition

Inductive step uses Denef–Pas cell decomposition: if  $X_\varphi \subseteq K^m \times k^n \times Z^r$ , then there is a morphism

$$X_\varphi \rightarrow K^{m-1} \times k^{n'} \times Z^{r'}$$

Fibres are definable disjoint unions of balls in  $K$ .

# About the proof

Induct on the number of valued field variables.

Base case:

- Transfer: Ax–Kochen–Eršov+ $\epsilon$
- Specialization: by definition

Inductive step uses Denef–Pas cell decomposition: if  $X_\varphi \subseteq K^m \times k^n \times Z^r$ , then there is a morphism

$$X_\varphi \rightarrow K^{m-1} \times k^{n'} \times Z^{r'}$$

Fibres are definable disjoint unions of balls in  $K$ .

**Hard part: Fubini-type theorems for motivic integrals**

# Work left

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .

Let  $H$  be an endoscopic group for  $G$ . There is a map  $\nu$  from semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{h}(F)$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ .

Let  $\gamma_H \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$ , then there is a canonical choice of  $\gamma_0$  in the stable conjugacy class  $\nu(\gamma_H)$ , as well as a character  $\kappa$  on  $H^1(F, G_{\gamma_0})$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = \sum_{\gamma \sim \gamma_0} \kappa(\gamma) \int_{G(F)_{\gamma} \backslash G(F)} 1_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}_F)}((\text{Ad } g^{-1})\gamma) dg$$

and  $S\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1$ , then for a specified  $r$  depending on  $G, \kappa, \gamma$ ,

$$\text{FL} : \quad \mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = q^r S\mathcal{O}(H, \gamma)$$

## Work left

Fix a local field  $F$  and an unramified reductive group  $G$  over  $F$ .

Let  $H$  be an **endoscopic group** for  $G$ . There is a map  $\nu$  from **semisimple stable conjugacy classes** of  $\mathfrak{h}(F)$  to semisimple stable conjugacy classes of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ .

Let  $\gamma_H \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$ , then there is a canonical choice of  $\gamma_0$  in the stable conjugacy class  $\nu(\gamma_H)$ , as well as a character  $\kappa$  on  $H^1(F, G_{\gamma_0})$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = \sum_{\gamma \sim \gamma_0} \kappa(\gamma) \int_{G(F)_{\gamma} \backslash G(F)} 1_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}_F)}((\text{Ad } g^{-1})\gamma) dg$$

and  $S\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1$ , then for a specified  $r$  depending on  $G, \kappa, \gamma$ ,

$$\text{FL : } \mathcal{O}_{\kappa}(G, \gamma_0) = q^r S\mathcal{O}(H, \gamma)$$

# Easy data

- Field extensions of a fixed degree  $n$  are represented by a minimal polynomial and an  $n$ -tuple of numbers.
- There is a uniform way of describing unramified extensions and the Frobenius action.
- Groups are Zariski closed subsets of matrix groups, classified by root data plus Frobenius action.
- Same for Lie algebras
- Endoscopic data are expressed in terms of root data.
- Semisimplicity corresponds to diagonalizability over a bounded field extension.
- The map  $\nu$  is algebraic if we represent stable conjugacy classes by their characteristic polynomials (and generalizations).



# The integral

- The integrand is clearly constructible.

# The integral

- The integrand is clearly constructible.
- Domain of integration is a conjugacy class, which is constructible in  $G$ .

# The integral

- The integrand is clearly constructible.
- Domain of integration is a conjugacy class, which is constructible in  $G$ .

However, need to be careful with measure.

# The integral

- The integrand is clearly constructible.
- Domain of integration is a conjugacy class, which is constructible in  $G$ .

However, need to be careful with measure.

Key point: motivic integration specializes to the Serre canonical measure, which must be the  $G$ -invariant measure up to a factor which can be computed.

# Galois cohomology

Endoscopy requires the identification

Theorem (Tate–Nakayama, Kottwitz)

$$H^1(G, T) \simeq \pi_0(\hat{T}^\Gamma)^*.$$

# Galois cohomology

Endoscopy requires the identification

Theorem (Tate–Nakayama, Kottwitz)

$$H^1(G, T) \simeq \pi_0(\hat{T}^\Gamma)^*.$$

Defining this essentially comes down to defining local duality

$$\text{inv}_\circ \smile: H^1(G, T) \times H^1(G, X^*(T)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$$

# Galois cohomology

Endoscopy requires the identification

Theorem (Tate–Nakayama, Kottwitz)

$$H^1(G, T) \simeq \pi_0(\hat{T}^\Gamma)^*.$$

Defining this essentially comes down to defining local duality

$$\text{inv}_\circ \smile: H^1(G, T) \times H^1(G, X^*(T)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$$

i.e. for each pair of non-negative integers  $a, b$ , a formula  $D_{a,b}(t, t')$  stating that

- 1  $t$  is a cocycle in  $Z^1(G, T)$ ,  $t'$  is a cocycle in  $Z^1(G, X^*(T))$ .
- 2  $\text{inv}(t \smile t') = \frac{a}{b}$

# Brauer group

Let  $E$  be a finite extension over which  $T$  splits, then

$$t \sim t' \in Z^2(\mathrm{Gal}(E/F), E^\times)$$



# Brauer group

Let  $E$  be a finite extension over which  $T$  splits, then

$$t \sim t' \in Z^2(\mathrm{Gal}(E/F), E^\times)$$

Recall the construction of the invariant map:

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(E/F, E^\times) &\xleftarrow{\mathrm{inf}} H^2(F_r/F, F_r^\times) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{val}} H^2(F_r/F, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(F_r/F), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \end{aligned}$$

# Brauer group

Let  $E$  be a finite extension over which  $T$  splits, then

$$t \sim t' \in Z^2(\mathrm{Gal}(E/F), E^\times)$$

Recall the construction of the invariant map:

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(E/F, E^\times) &\xleftarrow{\mathrm{inf}} H^2(F_r/F, F_r^\times) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{val}} H^2(F_r/F, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(F_r/F), \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Choose an explicit cocycle  $\alpha$  representing  $\frac{a}{b} \in H^2(F_r/F, \mathbf{Z})$ . Given  $c \in H^2(E/F, E^\times)$ ,  $\mathrm{inv}(c) = \frac{a}{b}$  is equivalent to

$$(\exists c', b_1, b_2)(\mathrm{inf}(c') = cb_1 \wedge \mathrm{val}(c') = \alpha b_2)$$

# References



R. Cluckers, F. Loeser.

Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration.

*Invent. Math.*, 173 (2008), no. 1, 23–121.



R. Cluckers, F. Loeser.

Constructible exponential functions, motivic Fourier transform and transfer principle.

*Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171 (2010), no. 2, 1011–1065.



R. Cluckers, Hales, T, F. Loeser.

Transfer principle for the fundamental lemma.

*On the stabilization of the trace formula*, 309–347.

*Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl.*, 1 Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.