

p-adic Modular forms

- Plan:
- 1) Moduli problems for Elliptic curves
 - 2) Tate curve and the q-expansion principle.
 - 3) The Igusa Curve.
 - 4) Λ -adic forms / families of ordinary p-adic modular forms.

1) In what follows \mathfrak{o} is invertible.

$R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$ -algebra.

$$P_1(R) := [(E, \omega)_{/R}]$$

$E_{/R}$ then has a W. eqn of the form:

$$E: y^2 = x^3 - g_2 x - g_3 \quad \Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \text{ unit.}$$

$$\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto (u^2 x, u^3 y) = (x', y')$$

$$\frac{dx'}{y'} = u \frac{dx}{y}$$

So fixing ω amounts at fixing the Weierstrass eqn.

$P_1(R)$ is representable by $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M}_1$.

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{6}, g_2, g_3, \frac{1}{\Delta} \right]$$

Over \mathcal{M}_1 there is a universal E-C (E, ω)

$$(E, \omega) = \text{Proj} \left(\mathcal{R}[x, y, z] / \left(\quad \right), \frac{dx}{y} \right)$$

Now let's add level structure: $T_1(N)$

$$\mathcal{P}_{T_1(N)}(R) := [(E, \omega, \phi_N) / R] \quad R \supseteq \left[\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N} \right]_{\text{alg}}$$

$\phi_N: \mu_N \hookrightarrow E[N]$.
or a point of order N in $E[N]$.

Giving a point P of order N in E .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E & \longrightarrow M_1 \\ & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Spec } R & \longrightarrow E & \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{P}_{T_1(N)}$ is represented by $M_{T_1(N)} = E[N] - \bigcup_{\substack{d|N \\ d \neq N}} E[d]$.

$M_{T_1(N)}$ and since N is invertible.

\downarrow $M_{T_1(N)}$ is a finite étale covering.
 M_1 \parallel
 $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{T_1(N)})$

We have an action of G_m on $\mathcal{P}_{T_1(N)}(R)$.

$$\begin{aligned} G_m(R) \times \mathcal{P}_{T_1(N)}(R) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{T_1(N)}(R) \\ (\lambda, (E, \phi_N, \omega)) &\longmapsto (E, \phi_N, \lambda\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Jorgos: $P_{\Gamma_1(N)}$ is a schematic rep of G_m .

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_1(N)/R} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_k(\Gamma_1(N); R)$$

$\bigcup_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}^\times$ acts by $\chi \lambda^{-k}$.

$$f \in \mathcal{R}_k(\Gamma_1(N); R)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_1(N)}(R) \longrightarrow A'_R$$

f verifies the following properties:

(0) $(E, \phi, \omega)_{/R} \simeq (E', \phi', \omega')_{/R}$ then $f(\cdot) = f(\cdot)'$

(1) $f((E, \phi, \lambda\omega)_{/R}) = \lambda^{-k} f(E, \phi, \omega)$

(2) compatibility with base change -

$$G_m \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_1(N)}(R) = \left[(E, \phi)_{/R} \right].$$

$$G_m \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_1(N)}(R) = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_1(N)/R}).$$

In general if \mathcal{A} have $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_j$ with compatible G_m action.

and \mathcal{A} has a unit in degree 1 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$.

$$\text{Spec } \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{\mu} \mathcal{U}_\mu \times G_m$$

So we get that $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_0)$.

When $A = R_{T_1(N)/R}$.

$$G_m \backslash M_{T_1(N)}(R) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_0(T_1(N); R)) = X_1(N)_{/R}.$$

$X_1(N)$

$\downarrow j$

$$H_1 = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{P}^1(j) - \{\infty\}.$$

Compactification of $X_1(N)$:

\mathcal{R} we inverted Δ .

$$G(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}, g_2, g_3] \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq R_{T_1(N)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{60}]}$$

$$G_{T_1(N)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{60}]} = \text{integral closure } G(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ inside } \uparrow$$

$G_{T_1(N)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{60}]}$ is also a graded ring.

$$G_{T_1(N)}(R) = G_{T_1(N)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{60}]} \otimes R.$$

$$X_1(N)_{/R} = \text{Proj}(G_{T_1(N)}(R)).$$

More geometrically. $X_1(N)$ is the normalization of $\text{Proj}(G) = \mathbb{P}^1(j)$ in $X_1(N)$.

$$X_1(N) \quad \text{mult.}$$

$$\downarrow j \quad \uparrow$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1(j) \quad \infty$$

$$\text{cusps}(X_1(N)) = f^{-1}(\infty) \text{ red.}$$

How to describe this scheme: Next section -

2) AME Katz-Mazur.

Facts: $\text{cusps}(X_1(N))$ is finite étale over \mathbb{R} .

what are the moduli interpretation of the cusps. (Deligne-Papaport).

These cusps correspond to generalized elliptic curves, specifically.

Weierstrass n -gons. (with additional level N structure).



what does $X_1(N)$ look like "very close" to the cusps?

Tate curves:

$$\text{Tate}(q) = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[[q]][[1/6]][[x, y, z]]}{z^2 = x^3 - g_2(q)xz^2 - g_3(q)z^3} \right)$$

$\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ at $q=0$ it is a nodal curve i.e. a Weierstrass 1 -gon.

But it is an E-C over $\mathbb{Z}[[q]][[q^{-1}]]$.

$\text{Tate}(q^n)$ but the special fiber will be a Weierstrass n -gon.

So we can see $\text{Tate}(q^n)$ as a deformation of Néron's n -gons.

A few properties of The Tate Curve :

* As a formal group : $\widehat{\text{Tate}(q)} \cong \widehat{G_m} \quad \frac{dt}{t}$
 $\text{Tate}(q) \quad \omega_{\text{can}} := \phi_{\text{can}}^* \left(\frac{dt}{t} \right)$

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_N \xrightarrow{a_N} \text{Tate}(q)[N] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad \mathbb{Z}(q)$$

$$\exists \in \mu_N(R) \quad \phi_{\text{can}}(a_N(\exists)) = \exists$$

$$R \ni \exists_N \quad \bullet \quad (\text{Tate}(q), \exists_N) : \text{Spec } R[[q]] \rightarrow X_1(N) \quad (*)$$

At the " ∞ " cusp there is a 1-gen : \exists_N primitive in R .

$$(G, \exists_N) \quad \infty : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow X_1(N)$$

$$(*) \text{ begets } \widehat{G}_{X_1(N), \infty} \rightarrow R[[q]] \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_1(N)}$$

Theorem : This morphism is an isomorphism at least when R is a field.

What happens at the other cusps? A very similar story -
 d -gens (with additional level structures)

$$\text{Tate}(q^d)$$

Functions on $X_1(N)$: $X_1(N)_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Proj}(\widehat{G}_{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}))$

$$\widehat{G}_{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{R})$$

$f \in G_k(\Gamma_1(N), R)$ is the same as before on F_k but now we have also the cusps to use.

$$f(\text{Tate}(q), \phi, \omega) \Big|_{R[\zeta_N]} \in R[\zeta_N][[q]].$$

The q -expansion of f .

Remark: It's subtle to see that this corresponds to the complex analytic picture of q -expansions.

It needs GAGA $\oplus (E_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{sm}})^{\text{an}}$ description in function of the Tate curve.

Theorem: $f \in G_k(\Gamma_1(N); R)$ is uniquely determined by its q -expansion when R is an \mathcal{O} -algebra $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \zeta_N]$.

$X := X_1(N)$ for simplicity.

$$H^0(X_R, \omega_R^{\otimes k}) \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \quad \text{is injective.}$$

Proof: $X_R \xrightarrow{f} X_{\mathcal{O}}$ this is affine.

$$H^0(X_R, \omega_R^{\otimes k}) \cong H^0(X_{\mathcal{O}}, f_* \omega_R^{\otimes k}) \cong H^0(X_{\mathcal{O}}, R|_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes k}).$$

We must show $H^0(X_{\mathcal{O}}, M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes k}) \longrightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ is injective.

We can reduce to M finitely generated. (direct limits).

Since \mathcal{O} is a Dedekind domain, we can use structure theorem of modules \mathcal{O} .

With some dévissage, $M = \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{F}$.
 \downarrow
 $K = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_X)$

We only need to prove that it is injective for fraction field of \mathcal{O}_X .

But taking q -expansion \leftrightarrow taking the image of f in the completed stalk at ω .

X_K is a smooth and connected variety so in particular integral.

This shows that the q -expansion map is injective.

$$X_1(N) / \mathbb{Z}[1/N] \quad G_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

base change to \mathbb{Z}_p when $p \nmid N$.

The reason this does not work is because we would like a topological ring whose topology is compatible with q -expansions.

The Hodge invariant:

Let E/R an elliptic curve $\text{char } R = p$.

$$\text{Frob} : E/R \rightarrow E/R \quad \gamma_{E/R}$$

$H^0(E/R, \gamma_{E/R})$ is naturally dual to $H^0(E/R, \omega_{E/R}) \ni \omega$.

Ψ
 $\gamma(\omega)$ dual to ω .

$$\text{Frob}^* \gamma(\omega) = A(E, \omega) \gamma(\omega)$$

this is the Hodge invariant

$$\eta(\lambda\omega) = \lambda^{-1} \eta(\omega)$$

$$F_{ab}^* \eta(\lambda\omega) = A(E, \lambda\omega) \eta(\lambda\omega) = \lambda^{-1} A(E, \lambda\omega) \eta(\omega)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \\ F_{ab}^* \lambda^{-1} \eta(\omega) &= \lambda^{-p} A(E, \omega) \eta(\omega) & A(E, \lambda\omega) &= \lambda^{1-p} A(E, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

$$A \in G_{p-1}(\mathbb{P}_1(1), \mathbb{F}_p)$$

what is the q -expansion of A ?

↔ the composition p -times.

$$H^0(E/R, \tilde{T}/R) \cong \text{Der}_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} R}}(\mathcal{O}_{E/R}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} R})$$

$$A(\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{\text{can}}) \quad \omega_{\text{can}} = \phi_{\text{can}}^* \left(\frac{dt}{t} \right) \quad \frac{dt}{t} \quad \tilde{G}_m$$

$$D = t \frac{d}{dt} \quad D(t) = t \quad D^p(t) = t$$

$F_{ab}^* \omega_{\text{can}} = \omega_{\text{can}}$ so this shows the q -expansion of A is 1.

$$A(\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{\text{can}}) = 1$$

Can we lift A to char 0? Yes $p \geq 5$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} &\xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}} X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{F}_p} & H^0(X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\otimes k}) &= H^0(X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, j_{\#} \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\otimes k}) \\ & & &= H^0(X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p/p}^{\otimes k}). \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p/p}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow 0$$

To prove $H^0(X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(X_1(\omega)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \omega_{\mathbb{F}_p/p}^{\otimes k})$ surjective.

it suffices to prove that the $H^1(-)$ is trivial.

Serre-duality :

The question is can we find an explicit lift? Yes:

$$E_k(q) = 2^{-k} \zeta(1-k) + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sigma_{k-1}(n)$$

von-Staudt-Clausen Bernoulli congruences: $p \mid$ denominator of a Bernoulli number iff

For $k = p-1$, we have that $p \mid$ denominator of B_{p-1}

$$E_{p-1} = 1 + \underbrace{\frac{2}{\zeta(2-p)} \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sigma_{k-1}(n)}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

$E \pmod{p}$ has the same q -expansion as A , $E \pmod{p} = A$.

$X_1(N)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$

$E \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. So E^{p^n} is closer and closer to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$E^{p^n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$$

But then $E^{p^{n-1}} \rightarrow E^{-1}$. So $E \pmod{p}$ cannot vanish i.e. A cannot.

I.e. we need to cut out the supersingular, if we want to have any hope to define a good notion of p -adic modular form.

3) The Igusa curve. $p \geq 5$.

$$H = X_1(N)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} - S_0 = M[\frac{1}{p}] = \text{non vanishing locus of a very ample line bundle } \omega_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\otimes p-1} \\ = \text{affine.}$$

$$W_i = \mathbb{Z}_p \quad W_m := \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \quad M_m := X_1(N)_{W_m} \quad S_m := M_m[\frac{1}{p}].$$

$$S_m = \text{Spec}(V_{m,0}).$$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha}^{\text{ord}}(R) = \left[(E, \mu_{p^\alpha} \hookrightarrow E[p^\alpha], \phi) / R \right] \quad R \text{ is a } W_m \text{ algebra.}$$

Just like we did for $P_{\Gamma_1(N)}$, $\Sigma_{\alpha}^{\text{ord}}$ is represented by E universal generalized $E-C$.

$$\begin{matrix} E[p^\alpha]^{et} \\ \downarrow \\ E[p^{\alpha-1}]^{et} \end{matrix} \Big/_{S_m} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{matrix} E \\ \downarrow \\ X_1(N)_{W_m} \end{matrix}$$

Def: The Igusa curve $T_{m,\alpha} := \left(E[p^\alpha]^{et} - E[p^{\alpha-1}]^{et} \right) /_{S_m}$.
 Galois group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^\alpha\mathbb{Z})^\times$.
Igusa proved: $T_{m,\alpha}$ is irreducible $m \geq 1$.

$T_{m,\alpha}$ is also reduced, no integral (it's part of $E[p^\alpha]^{et}$)

Corollary: the q -expansion principle also holds on $T_{m,\alpha}$.

$$T_{m,\alpha} = \text{Spec}(V_{m,\alpha})$$

We have inclusions of W_m -algebras $V_{m,0} \subseteq V_{m,1} \subseteq \dots$

$$V_{m,\infty} := \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{m,\alpha}$$

Finally we define what a p -adic modular form is.

$$V = V_{\Gamma_1(N)} = \varprojlim V_{m, \infty} \quad \text{is taken with respect to reduction over the base}$$

$$W_{m+1} \longrightarrow W_m.$$

V is the space of p -adic modular forms.

$$\mathcal{V} = \varinjlim V_{m, \infty} \quad \text{is taken with respect to multiplication by } p$$

$$\text{over the base}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$W_m \longrightarrow W_{m+1}.$$

p -ordinary modular forms:

$$\underline{U(p) \text{ - operator}}: \quad a(n; f \cdot U(p)) = a(np; f).$$

f is a Hecke eigen-form then. $a(p; f) = \text{eigenvalue of } U(p) \text{ for } f$.
 normalized = unit.

So $U(p)$ on ordinary forms must be invertible.

Hida's trick: p -ordinary projector $e := \varinjlim_n U(p)^{n!}$.

$U(p) \curvearrowright \underbrace{X}_{\text{finite}}$. Then e exists ($(U(p)^n)$ is periodic) and is an idempotent (i.e. projector).

and on the image of e , $U(p)$ is invertible.

$$V^{\text{ord}} = eV. \quad V^{\text{ord}} = eV$$

Galois action: $T_{m, \alpha}$ has Galois group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbb{Z})^\times$. $E[p^\alpha]^{G^T}$.



(E, ϕ, ϕ_N) is a point of $T_{m, \alpha}$ $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^\alpha \mathbb{Z})^\times$.

$$z \cdot (E, \phi, \phi_N) = (E, z\phi, \phi_N) \quad \mu_{p^\alpha} \hookrightarrow E[p^\alpha].$$

$$V = \varprojlim_{\alpha} V_{m, \alpha}$$

$$V_{m, \alpha}[k] = \left\{ f \mid f(E, z^{-1}\phi, \phi_N) = z^k f(E, \phi, \phi_N) \right\}$$

Consider:

$$f \in H^0(S_m, \omega^{\otimes k})$$

μ_{p^α} has a canonical differential $\frac{dt}{t}$.

$$(E, \phi, \phi_N) \quad \phi: \mu_{p^\alpha} \hookrightarrow E[p^\alpha] \hookrightarrow E$$

I can see f as a function on (E, ϕ, ϕ_N) by just declaring

$$f(E, \phi, \phi_N) := f(E, \phi_N, \phi_{p*}(\frac{dt}{t}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(E, z^{-1}\phi, \phi_N) &= f(E, \phi_N, (\phi \circ z^{-1})_* (\frac{dt}{t})) = f(E, \phi_N, z^{-1}(\phi)_{p*}(\frac{dt}{t})) \\ &= z^k f(E, \phi_N, \phi_{p*}(\frac{dt}{t})) \end{aligned}$$

$$H^0(S_m, \omega_{\mu_{p^\alpha}}^{\otimes k}) \subseteq V_{m, \alpha}[k] \quad \text{is an inclusion.}$$

and also in the other direction.

Morale: $V_{m, \infty}[k] = H^0(S_{m, \infty}, \omega^{\otimes k})$.

$$V[k] = \varinjlim V_{m, \infty}[k] = H^0(S_{0/W}, \omega^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \\ = H^0(S_{0/W}, \omega^{\otimes k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

4) Λ -adic forms

V^{ord} as the Pontryagin dual of \mathcal{V}^{ord} . $\hookrightarrow \varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

V^{ord} (and \mathcal{V}^{ord}) are naturally $W[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]$ -modules.

p. 5: $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \simeq \Delta \times \Gamma$ $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ $\Lambda = W[[\Gamma]] \simeq W[[x]]$.
 γ top generator $\gamma \mapsto 1+x$.

Theorem: (VCT) $V^{\text{ord}}[\chi]$ is a free Λ -module of finite rank.

$\chi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$

$V^{\text{ord}} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{\Delta}} V^{\text{ord}}[\chi]$ is also free of finite rank.

$$V^{\text{ord}} \otimes_{W[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]], k} W \simeq \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_W(G_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(N), W), W) & k \geq 3 \\ \text{---} & k = 2 \end{cases}$$

$G_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(N), W) := \mathcal{H}^0(X_{1(N)/W}, \omega^{\otimes k})$

One can bound this rank: Trying to understand $G_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(N), W)$.

Theorem: $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (G_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(N), W) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ depends only on $k \pmod{p-1}$.

Λ -adic forms:

$$G(\chi, \Lambda) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda} (V^{\text{ord}}[\chi], \Lambda).$$

$$G(\chi, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda, k} W \simeq G_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(N), W) \quad \text{when } k \geq 3.$$

Consider $V_{/\Lambda}$ equivalent definition to $V \quad T_{m, \infty} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Spec } \Lambda$.

$$V_{/\Lambda} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda \uparrow$$

$V_{/\Lambda}$ has two Λ -module structures. * One comes from the Galois action.
* The other is the natural one.

$$G(\Lambda) := \left\{ f \in V_{/\Lambda} : \underbrace{f|_{\langle z \rangle}}_{\text{Galois action}} = \nu(z) f \right\} \quad \begin{array}{l} \nu: \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda^\times \\ = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] \end{array}$$

$\Phi \in G(\Lambda)$ has a q -expansion of the form:

$$\Phi(T, \varphi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n, \Phi) \binom{T}{\varphi} q^n.$$

$$V_{/\Lambda} \otimes_{\Lambda, k} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\gamma^{s-1}} V_{/\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

$\Phi(\gamma^{s-1})$ a p -adic modular form.

This is true because the structures as Λ -module and the Galois one coincide.

Theorem: $\bigoplus_{\chi \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}} G(\chi, \Lambda) \cong \underline{e(G(\Lambda))}$.

Φ is in $G(\chi, \Lambda)$ $\left\{ \Phi(\gamma^s - 1) \right\}_{s \geq 1}$ a family of p -adic modular forms.

This is a Hida family.

Recap: * Understood q -expansions determine the modular form.

* p -adic modular forms: we need to cut out the supersingular locus.

* Defined p -adic mod forms over the Igusa curves

$\hookrightarrow q$ -expansion principle holds.

$\hookrightarrow U(p)$.

\hookrightarrow a notion of ordinary p -adic modular forms.

* Enjoy nice properties V_{ord} is a Λ -module of finite rank with $\hat{\pi}$ -bounded rank \Rightarrow .

* We can patch-up together p -adic forms in a Λ -adic one.

\hookrightarrow this gives the notion of a family of ordinary p -adic modular forms.