

**LECTURE 20**  
**MATH 229**

LECTURE: PROFESSOR PIERRE SIMON  
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

We will continue trying to prove the sip via proving ample generics. What we're aiming at, which we may or may not get to today is a characterization of having ample generics.

Recall last week we had a criterion for having a dense conjugacy class. Now we want to upgrade this to a criterion for having a comeager class.

1. COMEAGER CONJUGACY CLASS

1.1. **Topological lemmas.** Fix  $G \curvearrowright X$  polish.

**Definition 1.** We say  $x \in X$  is  $G$ -big if  $Ux \subseteq X$  is somewhere dense for any  $1 \in U \subseteq G$ , i.e.  $\text{int}(\overline{Ux}) \neq \emptyset$  for any such  $U$ .

This will turn out to be equivalent to having comeager orbit.

Fix a left-invariant metric on  $G$ . Recall that since  $G$  is polish it has a complete metric and a left-invariant metric. It is somehow rare that these coincide. This is impossible for  $S_\infty$ , and in general if the left-invariant metric is not also right-invariant it cannot be complete. In any case, we can take the left-invariant metric  $d$  and then

$$d(x, y) = d(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$$

is complete.

For  $x \in X$ , let

$$(x)_{<\epsilon} = B_\epsilon(1) \cdot x$$

be the open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  (wrt  $d$ ) around 1 in  $G$ . Similarly if  $V \subseteq X$ ,

$$(V)_{<\epsilon} = B_\epsilon(1) \cdot V .$$

**Lemma 1.** (1) For all  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$(\overline{A})_{<\epsilon} \subseteq \overline{(A)_{<\epsilon}}$$

and

$$(\text{int}(\overline{A}))_{<\epsilon} \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{(A)_{<\epsilon}}) .$$

(2) If  $x \in X$  is  $G$ -big, then

$$x \in \text{int}(\overline{(x)_{<\epsilon}})$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* (1): Let  $x \in (\overline{A})_{<\epsilon}$  and  $U \ni x$ . Then we need to show  $U$  intersects  $(\overline{A})_{<\epsilon}$ . This is equivalent to showing that  $(U)_{<\epsilon}$  intersects  $\overline{A}$ . In fact, we have a distance function on  $X$ , which is

$$\bar{d}(x, y) = \inf (d(g, 1) \mid gx = y)$$

and  $(A)_{<\epsilon}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood for this distance. In particular we have  $\bar{d}(x, y) = \bar{d}(y, x)$  since  $d(g, 1) = d(1, g^{-1})$ . Now we certainly have  $(U)_{<\epsilon} \cap \bar{A} \neq \emptyset$ , and being open this means,

$$(U)_{<\epsilon} \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

which is equivalent to

$$U \cap (A)_{<\epsilon} \neq \emptyset$$

so we are done.

We skip the next part.

(2): Let  $x \in X$  be  $G$ -big. Then  $\text{int}(\overline{(x)_{<\epsilon}}) \neq \emptyset$ , so

$$V \cap (x)_{<\epsilon} \neq \emptyset$$

so  $x \in (V)_{<\epsilon}$  and

$$(V)_{<\epsilon} \subseteq \left( \text{int}(\overline{(x)_{<\epsilon}}) \right)_{<\epsilon} \subseteq {}^1 \text{int}(\overline{(x)_{<\epsilon}})$$

□

**Definition 2.** A set  $W \subseteq X$  is  $\epsilon$ -small if for any two nonempty open subsets  $U_1 U_2 \subseteq W$  we have

$$(U_1)_{<\epsilon} \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the union of all  $\epsilon$ -small open subsets of  $X$  is dense. Then the set of  $G$ -big  $x \in X$  is comeager.

*Proof.* For  $\{V_n \mid n < \omega\}$  a countable basis of  $X$ . For all  $n, m < \omega$ , by hypothesis,  $V_n$  intersects some  $1/m$ -small open set. Let  $\emptyset \neq W_{n,m} \subseteq V_n$  be  $1/m$  small. For  $m < \omega$ , let

$$W_m = \bigcup_{n < \omega} W_{n,m}.$$

Then  $W_m$  is open, dense in  $X$ . Let

$$D_{n,m} = \bigcap \left\{ (V_k)_{<1/m} \mid V_k \subseteq W_{n,m} \right\}.$$

Then  $D_{n,m}$  is comeager in  $X$  in  $W_{n,m}$ , as each  $(V_k)_{<1/m}$  is dense open in  $W_{n,m}$ . Let

$$D_m = \bigcup_{n < \omega} D_{n,m}$$

so  $D_m \subseteq W_m$  and  $D_m$  is comeager in  $W_m$ . Since  $W_m$  is dense open we have that  $D_m$  is comeager in  $X$ . Finally, let

$$D = \bigcap_{m < \omega} D_m$$

so  $D$  is comeager.

Finally we show if  $x \in D$ , then  $x$  is  $G$ -big. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , then we want to show  $\text{int}(\overline{(x)_{<\epsilon}}) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $\epsilon = 1/m$ ,  $x \in D_{n,m}$  for some  $n < \omega$ . So  $x \in (V_k)_{<1/m}$  for all  $k$  such that  $V_k \subseteq W_{n,m}$ , so in fact

$$V_k \cap (x)_{<1/m} \neq \emptyset$$

---

<sup>1</sup>By (1).

for all  $k$  such that  $V_k \subseteq W_{n,m}$ . Therefore  $(x)_{<1/m}$  is dense in  $W_{n,m}$  so  $W_{n,m} \subseteq \text{int} \left( \overline{(x)_{1/m}} \right)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $x \in X$  be  $G$ -big. Then the action is topologically transitive iff  $G \cdot x$  is dense.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Clear.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $V = \text{int} \left( \overline{G \cdot x} \right)$ .  $x$  being  $G$ -big means  $U \cdot x$  is somewhere dense, i.e.  $G \cdot x$  is somewhere dense, i.e.  $V$  is nonempty. Then  $V$  is  $G$ -invariant, but now the action is topologically transitive, so for every  $U$  there is  $V$  such that  $g(V \cap U) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $V$  is dense, so  $G \cdot x$  is dense.  $\square$

The conclusion is the following.

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $G \odot X$  is topologically transitive. Then TFAE:*

- (i) *For every  $\epsilon$  the union of  $\epsilon$ -small open sets is dense.*
- (ii) *The set of  $G$ -big points is comeager.*
- (iii) *There is a  $G$ -big point.*

*Proof.* (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $x \in X$  be  $G$ -big. Note that for any  $y \in X$ ,  $\overline{(y)_{<\epsilon}}$  is  $2\epsilon$  small because of the following. For  $U, V \subseteq \overline{(y)_{<\epsilon}}$  then  $U, V$  both intersect  $(y)_{<\epsilon}$  so their distance is at most  $2\epsilon$ .

Note also that if  $x$  is  $G$ -big, so is any point in any point in  $G \cdot x$ . By assumption  $G \cdot x$  is dense, so

$$\bigcup_{y \in G \cdot x} \text{int} \left( \overline{(y)_{\epsilon/2}} \right)$$

is dense in  $X$ .  $\square$

So now we've found a collection of dense points, and now we see when they are actually an orbit.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $x, y \in X$  are both  $G$ -big and  $y \in \text{int} \left( \overline{G \cdot x} \right)$ , then  $Y \in G \cdot x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be  $G$ -big,  $y \in \text{int} \left( \overline{G \cdot x} \right)$ . We will construct points  $g_n \in G$  and open symmetric neighborhoods  $1 \in U_n \subseteq G$  such that

- (1)  $g_{2n}^{-1}y \in \text{int} \left( \overline{U_{2n}x} \right)$
- (2)  $g_{2n+1} \in g_{2n}U_{2n}$
- (3)  $g_{2n+1}x \in \text{int} \left( \overline{U_{2n+1}y} \right)$
- (4)  $g_{2n+2} \in U_{2n+1}g_{2n+1}$
- (5)  $g_{2n}^{-1}U_{2n+1}g_{2n} \subseteq U_{2n}$
- (6)  $g_{2n+1}U_{2n+2}g_{2n+1}^{-1} \subseteq U_{2n+1}$
- (7) For  $n \geq 1$ , if  $h \in U_n^3 \supseteq U_n$ , then  $d(1, n) < 2^{-(n+1)}$ .
- (8) The set  $\text{int} \left( \overline{U_{2n+1}y} \right)$  is included in a closed ball of radius  $< 1/n$  around  $g$ .

The last two are somehow saying  $U_n$  is small, and the others are somehow saying that we are getting closer. If we succeed, then  $(g_n)_{n < \omega}$  is a Cauchy sequence with limit  $g_*$  and items 3 and 8 imply that  $g_*x = y$ . To show this is Cauchy it is enough to show

$$d(g_n, g_{n+1}) < 2^{-n} \qquad d(g_n^{-1}, g_{n+1}^{-1}) < 2^{-n} .$$

Say  $n = 2k + 2$ . Then  $g_n^{-1}g_{n+1} \in U_n$  by item 2 so

$$d(g_n, g_{n+1}) = d(1, g_n^{-1}g_{n+1}) < 2^{-(n+1)}$$

by item 7. Then

$$g_n g_{n+1}^{-1} = g_n (g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n) g_n^{-1}$$

and

$$g_n = u' g_{n+1}$$

for  $u' \in U_{n-1}$  by item 4. So

$$g_n (g_{n+1})^{-1} = u' g_{n-1} (g_{n+1}^{-1} g_n) (g_{n-1})^{-1} (u')^{-1} .$$

Then we know  $g_{n+1}^{-1}g_n \in U_n$ , and by item 6 we have  $g_{n-1} (g_{n+1}^{-1}g_n) (g_{n-1})^{-1} \in U_{n-1}$  and therefore  $g_n g_{n+1}^{-1} \in U_{n-1}^3$ . So finally

$$d(g_n^{-1}, g_{n+1}^{-1}) = d(1, g_n g_{n+1}^{-1}) < 2^{-n} .$$

The argument is similar for  $n$  odd, but we would use items 2 and 5 instead of items 3 and 6.

It remains to construct the  $g_n$ s and  $U_n$ s. Set  $g_0 = 1$  and  $U_0 = G$ . Note item 1 holds by assumption. Now assume we have  $g_{2n}$  and  $U_{2n}$ . For any open  $V$ ,

$$y \in g_{2n} \cdot \text{int}(\overline{U_{2n}x}) \cap \text{int}(\overline{Vy})$$

so

$$\text{int}(\overline{Vy}) \cap g_{2n} \overline{U_{2n}x} \neq \emptyset$$

so

$$\text{int}(\overline{Vy}) \cap g_{2n} U_{2n}x \neq \emptyset .$$

Now take  $U_{2n+1}$  so that items 5, 7 and 8 hold and pick  $g_{2n+1} \in g_{2n} U_{2n}$  such that  $g_{2n+1}x \in \text{int}(\overline{U_{2n+1}y})$ , i.e. items 2 and 3 hold. This can be done for any choice of  $U_{2n+1}$ .

Now we take  $U_{2n+2}$  and  $g_{2n+2}$  similarly using the fact that  $x$  is  $G$ -big.  $\square$