

**LECTURE 27**  
**MATH 229**

LECTURE: PROFESSOR PIERRE SIMON  
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. STABLE CASE

**1.1. Setup and statement of the theorem.** Today we will (hopefully) finish the stable case.

Let  $M$  be an  $\omega$ -stable ( $\omega$ -categorical) structure. We didn't define  $\omega$ -stable, so we will restrict to the case that  $M$  is finitely homogeneous and stable, but everything we say will be true in this generality. In particular, the features of  $\omega$ -stability that we will use are the following:

- (i)  $M$  is ranked (in fact we will assume finite rank<sup>1</sup>).
- (ii) If we have a definable subset  $X \subseteq M^k$  which has rank  $n$ , there is  $X_0 \subseteq X$  of rank  $n$  and *indivisible* in the sense that it cannot be split into two disjoint sets of rank  $n$ .
- (iii) If  $X$  is defined over  $a$   $X_0$  cannot be defined over  $\text{acl}^{eq}(a)$ .
- (iv) If  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is a formula there is a *normalization*  $\varphi^*(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that
  - $\text{rank}(\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \Delta \varphi^*(\bar{a}, \bar{b})) < n$
  - $\forall \bar{b}, \bar{b}' \models \varphi$

$$\text{rank}(\varphi^*(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \Delta \varphi^*(\bar{x}, \bar{b}')) < n \quad \implies \quad \varphi^*(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) = \varphi^*(\bar{x}, \bar{b}')$$

Let  $P$  be a  $\emptyset$ -definable set  $M^{eq}$ . Say that  $P$  coordinatizes  $M$  if for all  $a \in M$

$$\text{acl}(a) \cap P \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be  $\omega$ -stable,  $\omega$ -categorical, (of finite rank). There exists is a rank 1 set (finite rank, strongly minimal) which coordinatizes  $M$ .*

**Corollary 1.** *If  $M$  is primitive then  $M$  is a Grassmannian over a (finite union of) strongly minimal set(s).*

**1.2. Proof of the theorem.**

**1.2.1. Aside on strongly minimal.** Let  $X, Y$  be  $\emptyset$ -definable, strictly minimal (i.e.  $\text{acl}(a) = \{a\}$ ) then either

- there is a unique  $\emptyset$ -definable bijection between  $X$  and  $Y$ , or
- $X, Y$  are orthogonal:  $\bar{a} \in X^k, \bar{b} \in Y^l, \bar{a} \perp \bar{b}$ .

*Proof.* For  $X, Y$  indiscernible sets take  $\bar{a} \in X^k, \bar{b} \in Y^l$  such that  $\bar{a} \not\perp \bar{b}$  where  $k+l$  is minimal. There is  $x_0 \in Y \cap \text{acl}(\bar{a})$  (look at  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}/\bar{a})$  this is not  $Y^l$  so some element of  $Y^l$  is in  $\text{acl}$  of  $\bar{a}$ ). Then  $\bar{a} \not\perp b_0$  so  $l = 1$ , and  $k = 1$  by symmetry.  $\square$

---

*Date:* May 2, 2019.

<sup>1</sup>There is an extra step to get infinite rank which would happen at the end, but we won't have time to treat this.

Note that if  $\bar{b} \in Y^k$  ( $x_i \neq b_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ) then  $\text{rank}(\bar{b}) = k$ . If  $a \in X$ ,  $\text{rank}(a) = 1$  so  $\text{rank}(\text{acl}(a)) = 1$  so  $|\text{acl}(a) \cap Y| \leq 1$ . This means  $|\text{acl}(a) \cap Y| = 1$  which gives us a definable bijection.

Now the following is a consequence of uniqueness. Let  $X_1 \dots X_n$  be strictly minimal and assume  $(X_i, X_j)$  are orthogonal for  $i \neq j$ . Then for  $\bar{a}_i \in X_i^{k_i}$

$$\text{rank}(\bar{a}_1^n \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{a}_n) = \sum \text{rank}(\bar{a}_i) .$$

1.2.2. *Back to the proof.* Write  $\text{rank}(M) = n$  and assume  $M$  is indivisible. There is  $\varphi(x, \bar{b})$  of rank  $n - 1$ . Write  $q = \text{tp}(\bar{b})$ . We can assume

- $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is normalized
- $\varphi(x, \bar{b})$  is indivisible for  $\bar{b} \models q$ .
- $\bar{b} \neq \bar{b}'$  implies  $\varphi(X, \bar{b}) \neq \varphi(X, \bar{b}')$  (taking  $\bar{b} \in M^q$ ).

Then the goal is to show  $\text{rank}(\bar{b}) = 1$ .

Let  $F = \text{tp}(\bar{b})$  definable set. Assume  $\text{rank}(f) \geq 2$ . Let  $I(\bar{d}) \subseteq F$  be a strongly minimal definable subset. Let  $H(\bar{d})$  be the associated strictly minimal definable subset. We can assume  $\bar{d} \in \text{acl}(\emptyset)$ ,  $q = \text{tp}(\bar{d})$ .

**Claim 1** (Main claim). If  $\bar{d}_1 \perp \bar{d}_2$ , then  $I(\bar{d}_1) \Delta I(\bar{d}_2)$  is finite.

*Proof.* Assume not, then  $I(\bar{d}_1) \cap I(\bar{d}_2)$  is infinite. Take  $\bar{d}_1, \dots, \bar{d}_N$  indiscernible independent  $N$  large.

Let  $e = d_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_N$ . Let

$$Q = \{x \in M \mid \text{rank}(x/e) = n\} .$$

Then  $Q$  is transitive over  $e$  since  $M$  is indivisible.

For a given  $i$ ,

$$\bigcup_{\bar{b} \in I(\bar{d}_i)} \varphi(x, \bar{b})$$

has rank  $n$ . so for all  $x_0 \in Q$ , for all  $i$  there is  $\bar{b} \in I(\bar{d}_i)$  such that  $\varphi(x_0, \bar{b})$  holds.  $\square$

We work over  $e$ .

**Claim 2.** If  $a \in Q$  and  $\varphi(a, \bar{b})$  holds with  $\bar{b} \in I(\bar{d}_i)$  for some  $i$ . Then  $\bar{b} \in \text{acl}(a)$ .

*Proof.* Otherwise  $a$  is in almost all  $\varphi(x, \bar{b}')$  for  $\bar{b}' \in I(\bar{d}_i)$ . But then for any two, we can intersect them and

$$\text{rank}(\varphi(x, \bar{b}) \cap \varphi(x, \bar{b}')) = n - 1$$

which means

$$\text{rank}(\varphi(x, \bar{b}) \Delta \varphi(x, \bar{b}')) < n - 1$$

which contradicts normalization. Now we have two cases:

case 1.  $H(\bar{d}_1) \dots H(\bar{d}_N)$  are orthogonal.

case 2. There is a unique  $\emptyset$ -definable set between  $H(\bar{d}_i)$  and  $H(\bar{d}_j)$ .  $\square$

Assume case 1. Then for any  $a \in Q$ ,  $\text{acl}(a) \cap H(\bar{d}_i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$  so  $\text{rank}(a) \geq N > n$  which is a contradiction.

Assume case 2..

**Claim 3.**  $\text{rank}(\text{acl}(a) \cap (H(\bar{d}_1) \cup \dots \cup H(\bar{d}_N))) \geq N$  .

*Proof.* For  $\bar{b}_1 \in I(\bar{d}_1)$  and  $\bar{b}_2 \in I(\bar{d}_2)$  we have

$$\text{rank}(\varphi(x, \bar{b}_1) \cap \varphi(x, \bar{b}_2)) < n - 1$$

so if  $a \in \varphi(x, \bar{b}_1) \cap \varphi(x, \bar{b}_2)$  then

$$\text{rank}(a/\bar{b}_1) = n - 1 \quad \text{rank}(a/\bar{b}_1\bar{b}_2) \leq n - 2$$

so

$$\text{rank}(\bar{b}_2/\bar{b}_1) \geq 1$$

so

$$\bar{b}_2 \notin \text{acl}(\bar{b}_1) .$$

So we got the same contradiction. □

So if we take for each  $i$ ,  $\bar{b}_i \in I(d_i)$  for each  $i$  then  $\varphi(a, \bar{b}_i)$  holds

$$\text{rank}(\bar{b}_1^n \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{b}_N) \geq N$$

so  $\text{rank}(a) \geq N$  which is a contradiction.

This being done, normalize the family  $I(\bar{b})$  into  $I^*(\bar{b})$ . Then

$$I^*(\bar{b}_1) = I^*(\bar{b}_2)$$

for  $\bar{b}_1 \downarrow \bar{b}_2$  so

$$I^*(\bar{b}_1) = I^*(\bar{b}_2)$$

for all  $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \models q$  so  $\text{rank } F = 1$ .

By the proof,  $F$  coordinatizes  $M$ .