LECTURE 9 MATH 229

LECTURE: PROFESSOR PIERRE-SIMON NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. Proof of Nešetřil-Rödl theorem

Recall the statement is:

Theorem 1 (Nešetřil-Rödl, Abramson-Harrington). Let A and B be finite ordered graphs and $r < \omega$. Then there is C a finite ordered graph such that $C \to (B)_r^A$.

1.1. Hales-Jewett theorem. First we need to know the Hales-Jewett theorem.¹

Consider a finite alphabet A with n letters. A combinatorial line is a set generated by a word in $A \cup \{x\}$ with at least one x. Generate here means that the extra letter is replaced by each of the possible actual letters. For example if $A = \{a, b, c\}$, xabacxx generates aabacaa, babacbb, and cabaccc. It is always a set of size n so a line has size n. Note that the combinatorial lines in the usual tic-tac-toe board only comprise some of the winning lines, it misses one of the diagonals.

Example 1. For $A = \{a, b, c\}$ we have:

ca	cb	cc
ba	bb	bc
aa	ab	ac

and then the combinatorial lines are all of the horizontal and vertical lines, and the diagonal from the top right to bottom left, but not from the top left to the bottom right.

Theorem 2 (Hales-Jewett). For all $n, c < \omega$ there is H such that if words of length H in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ are c-colored, there is a monochromatic combinatorial line.

1.2. **Partite lemma.** We will not work with ordered graphs, we will work with the similar notion of a partite graph.

Definition 1. A k-partite graph, is a graph G along with a map $\pi : G \to k = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ such that edges are transversal. That is, there is no edge inside a part.²

We say a k-partite graph A is transversal if it has at most one vertex per part. This case is exactly an ordered graph. The main difference between these and ordered graphs is that an embedding of a partite graph is an embedding of graphs

Date: February 29, 2019.

 $^{^{1}}$ A mnemonic for remembering this is that it somehow says that for a fixed board size, as long as you are playing in a sufficiently large dimension, a tic-tac-toe game will never be a draw.

²A part is $\pi^{-1}(\{\rho\})$ for some number ρ .

which has to respect the parts in the strict sense of sending the *i*th part to the *i*th part.

Lemma 1. Fix k, c and let A be a k-partite transversal graph, |A| = k. Let B be any k-partite graph, then there is some k-partite graph C such that

$$C \to (B)_c^A$$

as k-partite graphs.

Proof. Assume B contains copies of A, and that every vertex of B is in a copy of A. For an integer d, define the k-partite graph C_d as follows. The vertices are

$$V\left(C_d\right) = V\left(B\right)^{\langle d \rangle}$$

where this means we take *d*-tuples of elements of V(B) all from the same part. This is naturally a partite set. Let $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in V(C_d)$. If for all $i \leq d$ we have $(a_i, b_i) \in E(B)$, then $(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \in E(C_d)$. Similarly, if for all $i \leq d$ we have $(a_i, b_i) \notin E(B)$ then $(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \notin E(C_d)$. Otherwise, do the opposite of what A does between the parts of \overline{a} and \overline{b} .

Claim 1. If d is large enough, then $C_d \to (B)_r^A$.

The idea is that we want to apply Hales-Jewett, and then a combinatorial line will be a homogeneous copy of B.

Proof. Enumerate the embeddings of A in B as h_1, \dots, h_m . Our alphabet is $\{h_i\}$, and the size of the board is d. Define a map $g : [m]^d \times A \to C_d$ by sending

$$\{\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d\}, a\} \mapsto (h_{\alpha_1}(a), \cdots, h_{\alpha_d}(a))$$
.

Then color $[m]^d$ according to the color of the image. Note that for a fixed $\overline{\alpha} \in [m]^d$, the image $g(\overline{\alpha}, A)$ is a copy of A in C_d .

Now by Hales-Jewett, if d is large enough, there is a monochromatic combinatorial line in $[m]^d$, say $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ such that $\alpha_i \in [m] \cup \{x\}$. Now we claim this is a copy of B.

Say the line is $\alpha_1 x x \alpha_4$. Now we look at two different values t and s of x. Then we have

$$\overline{\alpha_0}[t] = \overline{\alpha} = \alpha_1 t t \alpha_4 : a \mapsto (h_{\alpha_1}(a), h_t(a), h_t(a), h_{\alpha_4}(a))$$
$$\overline{\beta_0}[s] = \overline{\beta} = \alpha_1 s s \alpha_4 : a \mapsto (h_{\alpha_1}(a'), h_s(a'), h_s(a'), h_{\alpha_4}(a'))$$

where α_0 is a word in $[m] \cup \{x\}$, and $\overline{\alpha_0}[t]$ replaces the instances of x by t. Now there is an edge between $g(\bar{\alpha}, a)$ and $g(\bar{\beta}, a')$ iff there is an edge between $h_t(a)$ and $h_s(a')$. Therefore, the map which sends $h(\bar{\alpha}[t], a) \mapsto h_t(a)$ is an isomorphism between the image

$$\{g(\overline{\alpha}[t], a) \mid t \in [m], a \in A\}$$

and *B*. This copy of *B* in C_d is homogeneous, because each copy of *A* in *B* is the image of some $\overline{\alpha_0}[t]$.

By the claim we are finished.

LECTURE 9

MATH 229

1.3. Partite construction.

Proof of theorem 1. Suppose we are given A and B two ordered graphs, and a number of colors r. We view these as two transversal partite graphs of different sizes. First we apply the usual Ramsey theorem to get N such that

$$N \to (|B|)_r^{|A|}$$

Now we want to built an N-partite graph in a series of steps, the so-called pictures.

Picture 0, P_0 , is the disjoint union (free amalgamation/joint embedding) of $\binom{N}{|B|}$ copies of B which respect the ordering of B.

Let $d = \binom{N}{|A|}$, and enumerate the |A|-subsets of N as a_1, \dots, a_d . These are all the possible places where we could find a transversal copy of A.

Inductively we build the pictures P_1, \dots, P_d . Assuming we have built P_i , we construct P_{i+1} . Let A_{i+1} be the |A|-partite sub-graph of P_i , composed of the parts of P_i corresponding to a_{i+1} . Now we apply the partite lemma to this to get some C_{i+1} such that

$$C_{i+1} \to \left(A_{i+1}\right)_r^A$$

Now we want to extend each copy of A_{i+1} in C_{i+1} to a copy of P_i and amalgamate those freely. This is P_{i+1} .

The conclusion will be that P_d has the required Ramsey property. This has a natural partial order, but we might worry this doesn't have a linear order. As it turns out we can just extend this arbitrarily in the last step.

To see that P_d is indeed what we want, we have the following claim:

Claim 2. If the copies of A in P_i (with set of parts a_i) are r colored, then there is a copy of P_{i-1} where all the copies of A have the same color.

The idea is as follows. First consider P_d , and color the transversal copies of A inside it. We only really care about the transversal copies since the B in the end will be transversal. So a_d tells us to look at certain parts of P_d . By the Partite lemma, if we look at only these parts we get a copy of A_d in which all of the transversal copies of A have the same color. But A_d inside P_d corresponds to a copy of P_{d-1} , so really we have a copy of P_{d-1} where all of the transversal copies of A have the same color. But A_d inside P_d corresponds to a copy of P_{d-1} , so really we have a copy of P_{d-1} where all of the transversal copies of A have the same color. And now we make our way down to P_0 . In particular we get a copy of P_0 which has the following property. Any two transversal copies of A on the same parts must have the same color. I.e. we have a coloring $\binom{N}{|A|} \to r$. By the choice of N, we get $b \subseteq N$ a homogeneous subset of size |B|. Therefore we have found a homogeneous copy of B.