

LECTURE 12
MATH 242

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MICHAEL HUTCHINGS
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES

1.1. **ω -compatible acs.** Recall we defined an almost-complex structure to be a bundle map $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ with $J^2 = -1$. Then J is ω -compatible if $g(u, v) := \omega(u, Jv)$ is a Riemannian metric. We were in the middle of the proof of the following:

Proposition 1. *The space of ω -compatible almost complex structures J is contractible.*

Continued proof. Recall it is sufficient to show that the set

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega) = \{J : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \circlearrowleft \mid J^2 = -1, (u, v) \mapsto \omega(u, Jv) \text{ is pos. def. inner prod.}\}$$

is contractible. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *A linear map $J \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ iff $-J_0J$ is symmetric, symplectic, and positive definite.*

Proof. (\implies): By definition, $J \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ iff $J^2 = -1$, $\omega(u, Jv) = \omega(v, Ju)$, and $\omega(v, Jv) \geq 0$ (with equality iff $v = 0$). The second condition is equivalent to $u^T J_0 J v = -u^T J^T J_0 v$ which is equivalent to

$$(1) \quad J_0 J = -J^T J_0 .$$

So given these conditions we want to show that $-J_0J$ is symmetric, symplectic, and positive definite. To see that it is symmetric, we can just check that

$$(-J_0J)^T = -J^T J_0^T = J^T J_0 = -J_0J$$

where we have used (1) in the last step. Recall that a matrix A is symplectic when $A^T J_0 A = J_0$. So for $A = -J_0J$ we can write

$$(-J_0J)^T J_0 (-J_0J) = J^T J_0^T J_0 J_0 J = -J^T J_0^T J = J^T J_0 J = 1 - J_0 J J = J_0 .$$

Positive definite is easy to check.

(\impliedby): This direction is effectively the same. □

Now the result follows because the space of symmetric, symplectic, and positive definite matrices is contractible. ■

Date: February 28, 2019.
¹by (1)

1.2. ω -tame acs.

Definition 1. An acs J is ω -tame if $\omega(v, Jv) \geq 0$ with equality iff $v = 0$.

Remark 1. J is ω -compatible iff J is ω -tame and J is symplectic, i.e. $\omega(Ju, Jv) = \omega(u, v)$.

Fact 1. The space of ω -tame J is also contractible.

1.3. First results about acs.

Remark 2. Since the space of ω -compatible J is contractible, TM has a distinguished structure of a complex vector bundle which is unique up to homotopy.

In particular, this implies that there are well-defined Chern classes $c_k(TM) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$. Note that these $c_k(TM)$ are invariant under deformation of ω .

Remark 3 (Chern class review). If $L \rightarrow B$ is a complex line bundle over a CW-complex B , we can define the first Chern class, $c_1(L) \in H^2(B)$ to be the Euler class of the associated circle bundle.

If E is a rank k complex vector bundle, we can define

$$c_1(E) = c_1(\det E) = c_1(\wedge^k E)$$

to be the first Chern class of the determinant line bundle.

If J_0 and J_1 are ω -compatible acs on (M, ω) , this implies that there exists an isomorphism of complex vector bundles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (TM, J_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (TM, J_1) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & M & \end{array} .$$

1.4. **Difference between acs and complex structures.** Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional real smooth manifold. An almost complex structure is a bundle map $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ such that $J^2 = -1$. Now a *complex manifold structure* is a maximal atlas of coordinate charts:

$$\varphi : U \xrightarrow{\cong} V$$

(which are diffeomorphisms for U some open subset of M , and V some open subset of \mathbb{C}^n) such that the transition maps between open subsets of \mathbb{C}^n are holomorphic. This makes M into an n -dimensional complex manifold.

A complex structure gives rise to an acs J given by multiplication by i . The converse is not true.

Definition 2. An almost complex structure $J : TM \circlearrowleft$ is integrable if it comes from a complex manifold structure as above.

Not every acs is integrable, but there is a theorem saying when they are. First consider the following. If J is an acs, it gives a decomposition

$$\wedge^k M \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} T^{i,j} M$$

where the pieces look something like

$$dz_{p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{p_i} \wedge d\bar{z}_{q_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_{q_j} .$$

Now we define the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N : T^{1,0} \rightarrow T^{0,2} .$$

If α is a $(1,0)$ -form (i.e. a section of $T^{1,0}$), define $N\alpha$ to be the projection to $T^{0,2}$ of $d\alpha$. Now we have to check this is a tensor:

$$N(f\alpha) = (d(f\alpha))^{0,2} = \cancel{(df \wedge \alpha)^{0,2}} + (f \wedge d\alpha)^{0,2} = fN\alpha$$

where $df = \partial f + \bar{\partial} f$. Now notice if J is integrable this is certainly 0, but in fact we have the following:

Theorem 1 (Newlander-Nirenberg). *J is integrable iff $N \equiv 0$.*

Remark 4. If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2$, then $N = 0$ automatically. So any acs is integrable in this case.

2. HOLOMORPHIC CURVES

These are also called pseudo-holomorphic curves, and J -holomorphic curves. Let (Σ, j) be Riemann surface (not necessarily compact). I.e. Σ is a one-dimensional complex manifold, and $j : TM \circlearrowleft$ is multiplication by i .

Remark 5. By the above remark, a Riemann surface is equivalent to a pair (Σ, j) where Σ is a real 2-manifold and $j : T\Sigma \circlearrowleft$ is an acs.

Definition 3. Given (M, ω, J) where J is ω -compatible/tame, a J -holomorphic map $(\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, \omega, J)$ is a smooth map $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ such that du is complex linear, i.e.

$$J \circ du = du \circ j .$$

2.1. Some basic features of these. Note that if J is ω -tame, it determines a Riemannian metric g on M defined by

$$g(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (\omega(u, Jv) + \omega(v, Ju)) .$$

If J is ω -compatible, then

$$g(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv) .$$

Now we consider areas of holomorphic maps. Recall that if (M, g) is any Riemannian manifold, and $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ is any smooth map, we can define the area to be

$$\text{area}(u) = \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{\det g \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \right)} |dx^1 \cdots dx^k| .$$

Note that if Σ is a submanifold of M and u is the inclusion map, then this is the usual area.

Proposition 2. *If $u : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$ is holomorphic, and J is ω -tame then*

$$\text{area}(u) = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega .$$

Proof. We will check that the integrands agree pointwise. Let $p \in \Sigma$ and $v \in T_p \Sigma \setminus \{0\}$. Then (v, jv) is a basis for $T_p \Sigma$. We need to check that

$$\sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} g(du(v), du(v)) & g(du(v), du(jv)) \\ g(du(v), du(jv)) & g(du(jv), du(jv)) \end{pmatrix}} .$$

Let's rewrite this a bit neater by writing $w = du(v)$. Then $Jw = du(jv)$. So we need to show that

$$\sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} g(w, w) & g(w, Jw) \\ g(w, Jw) & g(Jw, Jw) \end{pmatrix}} = \omega(w, Jw) .$$

Now we can just calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} g(w, w) &= \omega(w, Jw) \\ g(w, Jw) &= \frac{1}{2} (\omega(w, J^2w) + \omega(Jw, Jw)) = 0 \\ g(Jw, Jw) &= \omega(Jw, J^2w) = \omega(Jw, -w) = \omega(w, Jw) \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} g(w, w) & g(w, Jw) \\ g(w, Jw) & g(Jw, Jw) \end{pmatrix}} = \sqrt{\omega(w, Jw)^2}$$

which is positive because J is ω -tame so we are done. \square

Proposition 3. *Suppose that J is ω -compatible, $u : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, \omega, J)$ is holomorphic, and Σ is compact so that*

$$u_*[\Sigma] \in H_2(M)$$

is defined. Then u is area minimizing in its homology class. That is, if $v : \Sigma' \rightarrow U$ is a smooth map with

$$v_*[\Sigma'] = u_*[\Sigma] \in H_2(M) ,$$

then $\text{area}(v) \geq \text{area}(u)$.

Proof. We know that

$$\text{area}(u) = \int_{\Sigma} u^*\omega = \int_{\Sigma} \langle u_*[\Sigma], \omega \rangle$$

so we need to show that

$$\text{area}(v) \geq \int_{\Sigma} v^*\omega .$$

We will prove this pointwise. Let $p \in \Sigma'$, and let $\{X, Y\}$ be a basis for $T_p\Sigma'$. We want to show that

$$\sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} g(v_*X, v_*X) & g(v_*X, v_*Y) \\ g(v_*X, v_*Y) & g(v_*Y, v_*Y) \end{pmatrix}} \geq \omega(v_*X, v_*Y) .$$

WLOG assume $v_*X \neq 0$ (o/w we are done) and $g(v_*X, v_*X) = 1$. Since J is ω -compatible, we can choose a basis

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$$

for $T_{V(p)}M$ such that $Je_i = f_i$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(e_i, e_j) &= \omega(f_i, f_j) = 0 & \omega(e_i, f_j) &= \delta_{ij} \\ g(e_i, f_j) &= 0 & g(e_i, e_j) &= g(f_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij} . \end{aligned}$$

The idea is to choose a basis to look like \mathbb{C}^n . Write

$$v_*Y = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i e_i + b_i f_i) .$$

Now we can directly calculate

$$g(v_*X, v_*X) = 1$$

$$g(v_*X, v_*Y) = a_1$$

$$g(v_*Y, v_*Y) = \sum (a_i^2 + b_i^2)$$

so we have

$$\sqrt{\det(\dots)} = \sqrt{-a_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i^2 + b_i^2)}$$

and

$$\omega(v_*X, v_*Y) = b_1$$

so we get the desired inequality. Note this implies the image of du_p is complex linear. \square