## LECTURE 19 MATH 242

## LECTURE: PROFESSOR MICHAEL HUTCHINGS NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

Recall last time we had the following:

**Proposition 1.** Let J be any compatible acs on  $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega \oplus \omega)$ . Then  $S^2 \times S^2$  has a foliation by J-holomorphic sphere in the class  $(1,0) \in H_2(S^2 \times S^2)$  (A-spheres) and a foliation by J-holomorphic spheres in the class  $(0,1) \in H_2(S^2 \times S^2)$  (Bsphere). Each A-sphere transversely intersects each B-sphere at a single point.

We introduced this to prove recognition of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , but we will first use it to show another theorem of Gromov:

Theorem 1 (Gromov). The inclusion

$$SO(3) \times SO(3) \hookrightarrow Symp_0 \left( S^2 \times S^2, \omega \oplus \omega \right)$$

(where the symplectic forms  $\omega$  have equal area, and  $\text{Symp}_0$  denotes the identity component of the symplectomorphism group) is a homotopy equivalence.

*Proof.* Fix  $p \in S^2$ . Let X be the set of triples:

$$X = \{(J, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)\}$$

where J is a compatible acs  $S^2 \times S^2$ , and the  $\varphi_i$  are symplectomorphisms:

$$\varphi_1 : S^2 \xrightarrow{\simeq} (A$$
-sphere through  $p$ )  
 $\varphi_2 : S^2 \xrightarrow{\simeq} (B$ -sphere through  $p$ ).

Note that X is homotopy equivalent to SO  $(3) \times$  SO (3).

Now we define

$$f: X \to \operatorname{Symp}_0(S^2 \times S^2)$$
.

Given  $(J, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in X$  define  $\psi \in \text{Symp}_0(S^2 \times S^2)$  as follows. First define  $\psi_0 \in \text{Diff}_0(S^2 \times S^2)$  to be

$$\{\psi_0(z_1, z_2)\} = (B$$
-sphere through  $\varphi_1(z_1)) \cap \cap (A$ -sphere through  $\varphi_2(z_2)) \cap$ 

The picture is as in fig. 1.

So  $\psi_0$  is a diffeomorphism, but it might not be symplectic, so we have to fix it. In particular we will fix this using the Moser trick.

Lemma 1.  $(\omega \oplus \omega) \wedge \psi_0^* (\omega \oplus \omega) > 0.$ 



FIGURE 1. The picture of our diffeomorphism  $\psi_0$ .

*Proof.* Given  $(q_1, q_2) \in S^2 \times S^2$ , choose symplectic bases  $(v_1, w_1)$  for  $T_{q_1}S^2$ , and  $(v_2, w_2)$  for  $T_{q_2}S^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ (\omega \oplus \omega) \land \psi_0^* \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) \right] (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) &= \psi_0^* \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) (v_1, w_1) + \psi_0^* \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) (v_2, w_2) \\ &= \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) \left( (\psi_1)_* v_1, (\psi_0)_0 w_1 \right) \\ &+ \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) \left( (\psi_1)_* v_2, (\psi_0)_0 w_2 \right) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\omega_t = t \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) + (1 - t) \psi_0^* \left( \omega \oplus \omega \right) \; .$$

Then

$$\omega_t \wedge \omega_t = t^2 + 2t (1 - t) + (1 - t)^2 > 0$$

which implies  $\omega_t$  is symplectic for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

By the Moser trick there is a canonical isotopy  $\{\psi_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$  from  $\psi_0$  to  $\psi_1$  with

$$\psi_1^*\left(\omega\oplus\omega\right)=\omega\oplus\omega$$

Define  $\psi = \psi_1$ .

Now define

$$g: \operatorname{Symp}_0\left(S^2 \times S^2\right) \to X$$

by

$$g(\psi) = (\psi_* (J_0 \oplus J_0), \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \text{ given by } \psi)$$

Now if we look at the construction we have  $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Symp}_0}$ , and then we have a homotopy equivalence  $g \circ f \sim_{\mathrm{hom}} \mathrm{id}_X$ .

Remark 1. The theorem is false if the two symplectic forms have different areas.

**Example 1.** Consider  $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2)$ , where  $\omega_1$  has area 1 and  $\omega_2$  has area  $1 + \epsilon$ . In this case Gromov compactness can fail when constructing the A and B sphere.





FIGURE 2. Bubbling in the case when the two spheres do not have equal areas.

For example, in  $H_2(S^2 \times S^2)$ , we have

$$(0,1) = (1,0) + (-1,1)$$
.

The LHS has area  $1 + \epsilon$ , the first term on the RHS has area 1, and the second term on the RHS has area  $\epsilon$ , so we could have bubbling as in fig. 2.

Sometimes bubbling can be ruled out with an index argument. For example when we have A = (-1, 1),

ind = 
$$\underbrace{(n-3)\chi}_{=-2} + 2\underbrace{c_1(A)}_{=0}$$

We can actually quantify this. Consider

 $\Sigma = \{ J \in \mathcal{J} \mid \exists J - \text{holomorphic curve in the class } (-1, 1) \} .$ 

This is a codimension 2 "subvariety" of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Map

 $\Phi: \pi_1\left(\operatorname{Symp}_0\left(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2\right)\right) \to \mathbb{Z}$ 

by taking  $\Phi(\psi_t)$  to be the linking number of  $(\psi_t)_* (J_0 \oplus J_0)$  with  $\Sigma$ . It is known that this map is nontrivial in explicit examples. So we get a different answer in the case of different areas.

This is extensively studied by McDuff, Abreu, and others.

1. Recognition of 
$$\mathbb{R}^4$$

Recall the theorem said the following. Let  $(X^4, \omega)$  be a noncompact symplectic 4-manifold with  $K \subset X$  compact and  $H_*(X) \simeq H_*(\text{pt})$ . Let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  compact. Then the existence of

$$\varphi: (X \setminus K, \omega) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus L, \omega_{\text{std}})$$

implies there exists a symplectomorphism

 $(X,\omega) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{R}^4, \omega_{\mathrm{std}})$ 

which agrees with  $\varphi$  outside a compact set  $K' \supset K$ .

*Proof.* By enlarging K, we can assume WLOG that

$$L = D^2 \times D^2$$

where these  $D^2$ s have the same area since L is the complement of the image of the complement of K. The point is that inside K anything could be happening,

3

but L is just a sort of rectangle. We can complete  $(L, \omega_{\text{std}})$  to  $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2)$ where the  $\omega_i$  have the same area by adding a bit, which we call  $\Theta$ . Likewise we can complete  $(K, \omega)$  to  $(\bar{K}, \bar{\omega})$ . Since X is homologically trivial,

$$H_*\left(\bar{K}\right) \simeq H_*\left(S^2 \times S^2\right)$$

and

$$[\bar{\omega}] = (a, a) \in H^2\left(\bar{K}; \mathbb{R}\right)$$
.

Fix an  $\bar{\omega}$ -compatible acs  $\bar{J}$  on  $\bar{K}$ . A generalization of the proposition from before shows that  $\bar{K}$  has foliations by  $\bar{K}$ -holomorphic spheres in the class (1,0) (A-sphere) and in the class (0,1) (B-spheres). To prove this, assume  $\bar{J}$  is a product acs on  $\Theta$ . As in the proof of the proposition

$$m_{0,1}^{J}\left(\bar{J},(1,0)\right) \qquad \qquad m_{0,1}^{J}\left(\bar{J},(0,1)\right)$$

are compact and consist of embedded holomorphic spheres which give a foliation of some subset of  $\bar{K}$ . Since we have such spheres in  $\Theta$ , the evaluation maps from these moduli spaces to  $\bar{K}$  have degree 1, and we get foliations of all of  $\bar{K}$ .

As before, we get a symplectomorphism  $\overline{K} \to S^2 \times S^2$  by using the A-spheres and B-spheres to define a diffeomorphism using the Moser trick. With more work, we can get this symplectomorphism to be the identity on  $\Theta$ . Can remove boundaries and extend to get a symplectomorphism  $X \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ .

The idea is that these foliations sort of look like coordinates.