

**LECTURE 13**  
**MATH 256A**

LECTURES BY: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN  
NOTES BY: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. EXAMPLES

Consider a space  $X$  equipped with a sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$ . For any  $P \in X$ , recall that the stalk at  $P$  is defined to be:

$$\mathcal{S}_P = \varinjlim_{U \ni P} \mathcal{S}(U)$$

Concretely, for  $x \in \mathcal{S}(U)$  such that  $p \in U$ ,  $x \mapsto [x] \in \mathcal{S}_p$ , and  $[x] = [y]$  for  $y \in \mathcal{S}(v)$  iff there is some  $W \subseteq U \cap V$  such that  $x|_W = y|_W$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $A$  be a set.<sup>1</sup> Then we can form the constant sheaf  $\underline{A}$  on  $X$  which consists of locally constant functions  $U \rightarrow A$ . The stalks are what are really constant here since for any  $P$ ,  $\underline{A}_P = A$ .

**Example 2.** A locally constant sheaf is a sheaf which is locally isomorphic to a constant sheaf.

**Example 3.** If we want a sheaf on a one-point space  $X = \{P\}$ , there are only two open sets so we just need to specify  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\emptyset)$ . By the sheaf axiom,  $\mathcal{S}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  must be the terminal object, i.e. the identity with respect to forming products. But  $\mathcal{S}(X) = A$  can be anything, so the collection of such sheaves is equivalent to the category  $\mathcal{S}$  takes values in. The single stalk is just  $\mathcal{S}_P = A$ .

**Example 4.** For  $P \in X$  we can embed  $i_P : \{P\} \rightarrow X$  and then  $(i_P)_* \underline{A} = \mathcal{S}$  is a sheaf on  $X$  called the skyscraper sheaf. In particular it assigns

$$\mathcal{S}(U) = \begin{cases} A & P \in U \\ \{0\} & P \notin U \end{cases}$$

and the stalks are

$$\mathcal{S}_Q = \begin{cases} A & Q \in \overline{\{P\}} \\ \{0\} & Q \notin \overline{\{P\}} \end{cases}$$

so  $\mathcal{S}_P = A$ .

---

*Date:* September 21, 2018.

<sup>1</sup>Or module, ring, etc.

## 2. CONSTRUCTING A SHEAF FROM ITS STALKS

Let's see how sheaves are related to their stalks. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a sheaf on  $X$  with stalks  $\mathcal{S}_P$ . Then form a sheaf:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \prod_P (i_P)_* \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}_P}}$$

The sections of this sheaf are:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(U) = \prod_{p \in U} \mathcal{S}_P$$

this is called a flasque sheaf. They can roughly be thought of as sort of flexible. Note that we can map  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  by mapping

$$x \mapsto \{[x]_P \in \mathcal{S}_P\}$$

The sheaf axiom implies that any section  $x \in \mathcal{S}(U)$  is determined by its germs. This means that sending a section to a list of its germs as above is actually injective.

For any sheaf, this means we can represent it as a subsheaf of a flasque sheaf. So the idea is to sort of do this without knowing  $\mathcal{S}$  in the first place. So if we only have the stalks, we can form  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , and as long as we use a local condition to find a subsheaf, this will automatically be a sheaf.

**2.1. The case of  $\text{Spec } R$ .** Consider a ring  $R$  and  $R$ -module  $M$ . Let  $X = \text{Spec } R$ . We want to build a sheaf  $\tilde{M}$  on  $X$ . Recall that for  $f \in R$ ,  $X_f = X \setminus V(f)$  is canonically homeomorphic to  $\text{Spec } R[f^{-1}] = \text{Spec } R_f$ .

For  $P$  a prime ideal, by definition  $S := R \setminus P$  is a multiplicative set. So  $R_P := S^{-1}R$ . This is then a local ring, so it has a unique maximal ideal which is in fact  $PR_P$ . We can also define  $S^{-1}M = M_P$ . The key property of the sheaf  $\tilde{M}$  will be that the stalk at each point will be  $M_P$ .

The sections of  $\tilde{M}(U)$  will be elements of

$$\prod_{p \in U} M_p$$

which, locally on  $X_f$ , are of the form  $a/f$  for  $a \in M$  and  $f \in R$ . If  $M_f$  is an  $R_f$  module, then for  $P \in X_f$ ,  $P$  is a prime of  $R_f$ .

Now we want to see if this is really a sheaf. Consider  $a/f, b/g \in M_P$  (where  $f, g \notin P$ ) such that  $a/f = b/g$  in  $M_P$ . Then the question is whether or not this implies that  $a/f = b/g$  in  $M_Q$  for  $Q$  in a neighborhood of  $P$ . Recall  $a/f = b/g$  in  $M_P$  just means  $\exists h \notin P$  such that  $hga = hfb$  in  $M$ . But if this is the case, then  $a/f = b/g$  on  $X_{fgh}$  so we are done.

If  $M = R$ , then  $\tilde{R}$  is a sheaf on  $X$  with stalks  $R_P$  and a priori it's just a sheaf of  $R$ -modules. However as it turns out, we can multiply pointwise, so there's really a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , and then it is a sheaf of modules over this sheaf of rings.

So from  $R$  we get a space and a sheaf of rings on it  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , and we know the stalks, and in some sense the sections  $\mathcal{O}_{x,P} = R_P$ . Similarly, for any module  $M$  we can get  $\tilde{M}$  which is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathbb{C}$ -modules.

One of our first results will be that in fact

$$\mathcal{O}_X(X_f) = R_f \qquad \tilde{M}(X_f) = M_f$$