LECTURE 30 MATH 256A

LECTURES BY: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN NOTES BY: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. Examples and applications of products

1.1. Products of classical varieties. One of the things that we haven't seen yet, but we will, is that classical algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed field k are equivalent to reduced (no non-zero nilpotent elements) schemes locally of finite type over the same k. In particular, given a scheme X, this will correspond to the classical variety:

$$X_{\rm cl} = X(k) = \underline{X}_k (\operatorname{Spec} k)$$

which should be thought of as the k-points of X. The significance of

$$X \times_k Y \coloneqq X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} Y$$

is that it is the product in the category \mathbf{Sch}/k , and in particular its k-points, will just be the product of morphisms to X with morphisms of Y:

$$(X \times_k Y)_{\rm cl} = X(k) \times Y(k)$$

This is effectively built into the definition.

Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ where $R = k [x_1, \dots, x_n] / \mathcal{I}(x)$, and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} S$ where $S = k [y_1, \dots, y_m] / \mathcal{I}(Y)$. Then

$$X \times_k Y = \operatorname{Spec} R \otimes_k S$$

where

$$R \otimes_{k} S = k [x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}] / (\mathcal{I}(x), \mathcal{I}(y))$$

this is a good candidate for the classical variety corresponding to $X \times_k Y$. But the non-obvious part of this is that this ideal might not be the whole ideal we need. So the question is whether or not $X \times_k Y$ is reduced in this situation, i.e. are the natural equations of $X_{\rm cl} \times Y_{\rm cl}$ "all" of the equations? This question just comes down to the affine case. The following theorem addresses this:

Theorem 1. If k is a perfect field, and A and B are reduced k-algebras, then $A \otimes_k B$ is reduced.

Example 1. Consider $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Then we have:

$$\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}$$

Date: October 31, 2018.

We can think of $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[x] / (x^2 - 1)$ and then

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} [x, y] / (x^{2} + 1, y^{2} + 1)$$
$$= \mathbb{C} [y] / (y^{2} + 1)$$
$$= \mathbb{C} [y] / ((y^{2} + i) (y^{2} - i))$$
$$\cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$$

Example 2. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ be the field with p elements adjoined with a single variable. This is not perfect, because there is no pth root of α . Then let $K = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha^{1/p}) = k[x]/(x^p - \alpha)$. Then

$$K \otimes_{k} K = k [x, y] / (x^{p} - \alpha, y^{p} - \alpha) = k [y] / (y^{p} - \alpha) = k [y] / (y - \alpha^{1/p})^{p}$$

which is not reduced, since $y - \alpha^{1/p}$ is a nonzero nilpotent element.

If a ring is reduced, this means $\sqrt{0} = 0$. But

$$\sqrt{0} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A} \mathfrak{p}$$

so if we look at

 $\mathbf{2}$

$$A \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(A/\mathfrak{p} \right)$$

then saying this is reduced is just saying this map is injective. We can further embed this into its function field to get

$$A \hookrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(A/\mathfrak{p} \right) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} K \left(A/\mathfrak{p} \right)$$

So if A, B are reduced, then they each embed in some product of fields $A \hookrightarrow \prod K_i$, and $B \hookrightarrow \prod L_i$. Therefore we have the following embedding:

$$A \otimes_k B \hookrightarrow \left(\prod K_i\right) \otimes_k \left(\prod L_i\right)$$

The tensor product doesn't commute with infinite products, but we can just use the general fact that this embeds inside:

$$\left(\prod K_i\right)\otimes_k \left(\prod L_i\right) \hookrightarrow \prod \left(K_i\otimes_k L_j\right)$$

Therefore this all comes down to the example $K \otimes_k L$ where K, L are extensions of k. Then the actual theorem is:

Proposition 1. $K \otimes_k L$ is reduced if $K \supset k$ is a separable extension.

1.2. How taking fiber products affects morphisms. There are various ways to think about fiber products depending on which morphism you think is important. One way to think of this is that f is the important morphism, and Y is just some scheme over S, and then we want to think about this as a change of base:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \downarrow^{f'} & \downarrow^{f} \\ Y & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

So the map $f': Z \to Y$ is somehow f with base extended to Y. There are many theorems in algebraic geometry which somehow say that when f is somehow nice, so is f'. We'll consider some examples of these situations.

Example 3. Consider an open embedding $U \hookrightarrow X$ and $Y \to X$. Then $f^{-1}(U)$ is just the fiber product.



This is also an open embedding, so the idea is that open embeddings are preserved under base extensions.

A similar example, is that if we have two open embeddings, their intersection is the fiber product:



Example 4. We can also add a variable to a ring, $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, or just A[t], which makes sense in the scheme theoretic language in the sense that for any ring homomorphism $A \to B$ then $B \otimes_A A[t] = B[t]$. In this case the diagram is:

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Spec} B\left[t\right] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A\left[t\right] \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec} B \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A \end{array}$$

In particular, this means

$$\operatorname{Spec} B\left[t\right] = \operatorname{Spec} B \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}\left[t\right] = \operatorname{Spec} B \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}^{1}_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Recall we had this gluing construction of \mathbb{P}^n_A where we glued a bunch of Specs of polynomial rings with coefficients in A, and then

$$\mathbb{P}^n_A = \operatorname{Spec} A \times \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Example 5. Let's say we want to do a base extension of a closed embedding $Y \to X$. This is locally Spec R, and then we have $\operatorname{Spec} R/I \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$. Now we base extend this:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times_X Y \longrightarrow Y \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ T \longrightarrow X \end{array}$$

The picture of this locally is when T and X are both affine. So if T locally looks like Spec S and X looks like Spec R, then the situation is that we have morphisms $\varphi: R \to S$, and

$$S \otimes_{R} R/I = S/\left(\varphi\left(I\right)\right)$$

so the resulting morphism will also be a closed embedding.