

**LECTURE 36**  
**MATH 256 A**

LECTURES BY: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN  
NOTES BY: JACKSON VAN DYKE

It's been a while since we had lecture,<sup>1</sup> so recall that we're trying to get a handle on the relationship between classical varieties and schemes. A classical affine variety is some subset  $X \subseteq k^n$  with coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}(X) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}(X)$  and then we can consider  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X))$  which presumably has something to do with  $X$  geometrically. There are a few ingredients involved here:

- i Sober spaces, which have the property that every irreducible closed subset is the closure of a unique point. Then we can form  $\text{Sob}(X)$ , and we can send  $i : X \rightarrow \text{Sob}(X)$  which is a quasi-homeomorphism.
- ii Jacobson spaces, which have the property that for all closed  $Z$ ,  $Z_{\text{cl}}$  is dense in  $Z$ . We saw that we could characterize this in a few different ways. If we take a  $T_1$  space, and we form  $\text{Sob}$  of these spaces, we get the Jacobson spaces, and we can recover the initial space by taking the closed points of the corresponding Jacobson space.

$$T_1 \text{ spaces} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Sob}} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)_{\text{cl}}} \end{array} \text{Jacobson sober spaces}$$

but on the right we need that the maps are  $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$  such that  $f(Y_{\text{cl}}) \subseteq Y'_{\text{cl}}$ . On the left side we have classical varieties over  $k$ , and on the right side we get the reduced schemes locally of finite type, and the relevant maps will be morphisms of schemes over  $k$ .

- iii Morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes which are locally of finite type, which means that for every affine in  $Y$  and any affine contained in its preimage in  $X$ , the corresponding ring is finitely generated over the other, which we saw is actually a local condition. In retrospect, it's maybe better to define it as:

**Definition 1.** A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is lft if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists an open affine neighborhood  $x \in U = \text{Spec } B \subseteq X$ , and an open neighborhood  $f(x) \in V = \text{Spec } A \subseteq Y$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , and  $B$  is finitely generated over  $A$ .

Then it's a theorem that

**Theorem 1.** For every  $X = \text{Spec } B \subseteq X$ , and  $V = \text{Spec } A \subseteq Y$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , then  $B$  is finitely generated over  $A$ .

So it's a well behaved notion. Then we saw that the composition of morphisms lft is also lft, and if the composite is lft, then the first portion

---

*Date:* November 26, 2018.

<sup>1</sup> Because of thanksgiving break and the smoke from forest fires causing class to be cancelled.

is, i.e. if we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow \\ & S & \end{array}$$

then  $g$  being lft implies that  $f$  is lft.

With all this in hand, we have the following:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism locally of finite type, where  $Y$  is Jacobson, then*

- (i)  $X$  is Jacobson
- (ii)  $f(X_{cl}) \subseteq Y_{cl}$
- (iii) If  $x \in X_{cl}$ , then if we write  $f(x) = y$ , we have  $k(y) \subseteq k(x)$ , and this extension is finite algebraic.<sup>2</sup>

Recall the proof of this reduced to  $f : \mathbb{A}_R^1 = \text{Spec } R[x] \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  and in this case, we had the following:

**Lemma 1.** *For any ring  $R$ , the morphism  $\pi : \mathbb{A}_R^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  has the property that if  $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}_R^1$  is locally closed, then  $\pi(Z)$  contains a nonempty locally closed  $W \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ .*

The piece of commutative algebra that makes this all work is Nakayama's lemma:

**Lemma 2** (Nakayama). *For a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ , and a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $M/\mathfrak{m}M = M \otimes_R k(R) = 0$ , then  $M = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is fg, let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be the generators, so

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^m Rx_i$$

and by the assumption, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}M = \sum \mathfrak{m}x_i = M.$$

Then we can write:

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$$

for  $a_{ij} \in M$ , which in matrix form is just saying

$$(I - A) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ . Then whatever the determinant is, we have  $\det(I - A) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ , so  $\det(I - A) \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , which implies that  $I - A$  is invertible in  $M_n(R)$ , so all  $x_i = 0$ .  $\square$

We certainly needed this to be finitely generated, to see this consider the following example:

<sup>2</sup> In particular, if we have a scheme lft over  $k = \bar{k}$  then all its closed points have residue field equal to  $k$ .

**Example 1.** Consider  $R = k[x]_{(x)} \subseteq k(x)$ . This is local where the maximal ideal is generated by  $x$ . Then let  $M = k(x)$ . Notice that  $\mathfrak{m}M = xM = M$ , so we do have  $M/\mathfrak{m}M = 0$ , but  $M \neq 0$ .

We want to use Nakayama's lemma to show the following:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and  $B$  be an  $R$ -algebra such that  $R \hookrightarrow B$ , and  $B$  is f.g. as an  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is surjective.*

*Remark 1.* The fact that  $B$  is f.g. as an  $R$  module is saying that  $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is a finite morphism effectively by definition. Then the fact that  $R \hookrightarrow B$  is saying that this is dominant since  $I = \ker(R \rightarrow B)$  will be such that  $V(I) = \overline{\text{im}(f)}$  so the image is dense, which means this is a dominant morphism.

**Example 2.** Note that for  $X = \text{Spec } R$  and  $X_f = \text{Spec } R[f^{-1}]$ , if  $f$  is not a zero divisor, then  $R \rightarrow R[f^{-1}]$  has  $\ker = 0$ , on the other hand the corresponding map  $X_f \rightarrow X$  is not surjective.

*Proof of lemma 1.* Without loss of generality we can always shrink  $Z$ . In particular, we can assume that  $Z$  is a basic open subset  $X_h$  for  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}_R^1$  irreducible closed. This means  $Z = \text{Spec } B$  where  $B = R[x][h(x)^{-1}]/Q$  where  $Q$  is some prime ideal which means  $B$  is an integral domain. Now let  $P = Q \cap R$  be the kernel, which is a prime ideal, and then we can replace  $R$  with  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ , and then wlog the map  $R \rightarrow B$  is injective, so  $R$  is an integral domain as well.

Now let  $K = K(R)$  be the residue field at the generic point of  $\text{Spec } R$ , which means if we take  $\text{Spec}(K \otimes_R B)$ , then the picture is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Spec } B & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \text{Spec } k & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } R \end{array}$$

and the fiber product is the fiber of  $Z$  over the generic point of  $\text{Spec } R$ . So  $K \otimes_R B \subseteq K(B)$  is nonzero, so this  $Z$  will have points in the fiber over the generic point of  $\text{Spec } R$ . The other thing to observe is that  $\text{Spec}(K \otimes_R B)$  is nonempty, locally closed in  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ . Since this is Jacobson, this contains a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (g(x)) \subseteq k[x]$  for  $g$  monic irreducible.

**To be continued...**

□