

**LECTURE 10**  
**256B**

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN  
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. TENSOR PRODUCT OF SHEAVES

Recall we defined the tensor products on sheaves to be the sheafification of the natural presheaf tensor product:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \text{sh}(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{pr} \mathcal{N}) .$$

This has the universal property:

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}\text{-bil}} & \mathcal{L} \\ -\otimes - \downarrow & \exists! \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} & & \end{array}$$

just like for ordinary modules. The stalks are just  $\mathcal{M}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_p} \mathcal{N}_p$  as we would expect.

**1.1. Affine schemes.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } R$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{N}$ . Then we have that

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N} = (M \otimes_R N)^{\sim} .$$

The easiest way to see this is by the universal property of the  $\tilde{\cdot}$  operation. There is certainly a map

$$(4) \quad M \otimes_R N \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \tilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{N}\right)$$

which sends  $m \otimes n \rightarrow m \otimes n$ . Then we just want to check this is the identity on stalks. The stalks are

$$(5) \quad (M \otimes_R N) \otimes_r R_p = M_p \otimes_{R_p} N_p$$

so this is an isomorphism. So this is a good fact to know:

$$(6) \quad (M \otimes_R N)^{\sim} = \tilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \tilde{N} .$$

**Warning 1.** So we have seen that for affines, taking global sections commutes with tensor products. Note that this is not the case for non-affine  $X$ . I.e. (for  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  qco) the following is NOT generally true:

$$(7) \quad \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) .$$

**Counterexample 1.** There is always a map from the RHS to the LHS above, but it's not always an isomorphism. Let  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}(2)$ . There are of course quasi-coherent, however we can calculate that:

$$(8) \quad \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(d)}$$

$$(9) \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) = k \cdot \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$$

$$(10) \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}) = k \cdot \{x_0^2, x_0x_1, \dots\} .$$

## 2. EXTENSION OF SCALARS

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be ringed spaces. A map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is specified by the data  $(\varphi, \varphi^\#, \varphi^b)$ . For  $\mathcal{N}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module, we can take  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}$  which is a  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$  module. However the map  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  doesn't make this an  $\mathcal{O}_X$  module unless we tensor it:

$$(11) \quad \varphi^*\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N} .$$

We should think of this as being like the usual extension of scalars for modules.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  modules. Then we want to consider

$$(12) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\varphi^*\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Hom}_{\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}(\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}')$$

where  $\mathcal{M}'$  is just  $\mathcal{M}$  viewed as a  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Since  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\varphi_*$  are adjoint, we have

$$(13) \quad \text{Hom}_{\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}(\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{N}, \varphi_*\mathcal{M}) .$$

This tells us that  $\varphi^*$  is left adjoint to  $\varphi_*$  as functors between  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules.<sup>1</sup>

Consider the scheme morphism  $\varphi: X = \text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y = \text{Spec } B$  corresponding to a ring homomorphism  $\alpha: B \rightarrow A$ . Then for  $N$  a  $B$ -module,

$$(14) \quad \varphi^*\tilde{N} = (A \otimes_B N)^\sim .$$

A map

$$(15) \quad (A \otimes_B N)^\sim \rightarrow \varphi^*\tilde{N}$$

corresponds to a map of  $A$ -modules

$$(16) \quad A \otimes_B N \rightarrow (\varphi^*\tilde{N})(X)$$

which sends  $a \otimes n \mapsto a \otimes n$ . A stalk of  $(A \otimes_B N)^\sim$  looks like  $A_P \otimes_{B_Q} N_Q$  where  $Q$  is the preimage of  $P$  under  $\alpha$ . A stalk on the other side is  $A_P \otimes_{B_Q} N_Q$  so this is an isomorphism.

There are lots of other ways to see this. One is that we could use the universal property on the right to get a map in the other direction. Another way to do this would be to take a presentation of  $N$

$$(17) \quad B^{(I)} \rightarrow B^{(I)} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 .$$

This gives a presentation:

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{O}_Y^{(I)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{(I)} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \rightarrow 0$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $\varphi^{-1}$  was left adjoint to  $\varphi_*$  when dealing with sheaves of sets or abelian groups rather than modules.

and then  $\varphi^*$  gives us

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_X^{(I)} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y^{(I)} \longrightarrow \varphi^* \tilde{N} \rightarrow 0 \\ A^{(I)} &\rightarrow A^{(I)} \rightarrow A \otimes_B N \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we just compare these.  $\square$

**2.1. Generic schemes.** This was just for affine schemes but for any morphisms of schemes  $X \rightarrow Y$ , we can cover  $Y$  with affines, take the preimage of these, then cover these preimages, and then just patch everything together. So for all schemes

$$(20) \quad \varphi^* (\mathbf{QCoh}(Y)) \subseteq \mathbf{QCoh}(X) .$$

### 3. BACK TO Proj

Let  $X = \text{Proj } R$ , and  $M$  be a graded  $R$ -module. Then  $\tilde{M}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$  module. On  $X_f = \text{Spec}(R_f)_0$

$$(21) \quad \tilde{M}|_{X_f} = (M_f)_0^\sim .$$

Now we can shift degrees

$$(22) \quad (M[d])_n = M_{n+d}$$

to get

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{O}_X(d) = R[d]^\sim .$$

Now suppose that the degree of  $f$  divides  $d$ , i.e. for some  $k$   $\deg f \cdot k = d$ . Then

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_f}(d) = (R_f[d])_0^\sim = (R_f)_d^\sim$$

thought of as an  $(R_f)_d$ -module. But now we actually have an isomorphism of modules:

$$(25) \quad (R_f)_0 \rightarrow (R_f)_d$$

given by multiplication by  $f^k$ , and  $f^{-k}$  respectively. Therefore we have

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_f}^{(d)} \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_{X_f} .$$

From here on we will assume<sup>2</sup> that the collection of  $X_f$ 's such that  $\deg f = 1$  form a cover, or said differently:

$$(27) \quad V(R_1) = V(R_+)$$

(or  $V(R_1) = \emptyset$  in  $X = \text{Proj } R$ ). This will imply that  $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$  is:

- (1) locally free of rank 1, or
- (2) a line bundle<sup>3</sup>, or
- (3) an invertible sheaf.

<sup>2</sup> This is justified by this thinning argument from a few lectures ago.

<sup>3</sup> This terminology will be justified later.

## 4. INVERTIBLE SHEAVES

Let  $X$  be a ringed space, and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf, i.e. we can cover  $X$  with opens  $U$  such that

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(U) .$$

The nice thing about  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is that it has a distinguished section: 1. Under this isomorphism, this will go to  $\sigma_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ . Now we can consider  $\mathcal{L}(U \cap V)$ . Then we have two sections  $\sigma_U$  and  $\sigma_V$  which are each local generating sections. I.e. there is some  $g_{UV}$  such that  $\sigma_U = g_{UV}\sigma_V$  and some  $g_{VU}$  such that  $\sigma_V = g_{VU}\sigma_U$ , i.e.  $g_{UV}g_{VU} = 1$ , so  $g_{UV}g_{VU} \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap V)^\times$  and  $g_{VU} = g_{UV}^{-1}$ . Then there is some sort of compatibility which says that on  $U \cap V \cap W$  we have

$$(29) \quad \sigma_W = g_{VW}\sigma_V = g_{VW}g_{UV}\sigma_U = g_{UW}\sigma_U$$

which means  $g_{UW} = g_{VW}g_{UV}$ . Given this compatible data, this determines  $\mathcal{L}$  completely.

We could also have alternative sections  $\sigma'_U = h_U\sigma_U$ , where  $h_U \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$ . What is really happening here is something cohomological. The idea is that the  $\sigma$ s are the 0-cycles, the choices of these  $g$ s are the 1-cycles, and the 2-cycles are the things on triple intersections:

$$(30) \quad Z^1 \rightarrow Z^1 \rightarrow Z^2 .$$

Then the sheaf cohomology

$$(31) \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = \text{Pic}(X) ,$$

which is called the Picard group of  $X$ , consists of the invertible sheaves on  $X$ . This is a group with respect to tensor products.