

LECTURE 23
MATH 256B

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

We will continue talking about ample line bundles and define quasi-projective morphisms and varieties.

1. QUASI-PROJECTIVE VARIETIES AND MORPHISMS

1.1. Basic properties of (relative) ample line bundles. So we have this concept of \mathcal{L} being ample relative to a morphism $q : X \rightarrow T$ and it's somehow built into the definition that q should be quasi-compact.

Consider the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' = T' \times_T X & \xrightarrow{\beta} & X \\ \downarrow q' & & \downarrow q \\ T' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & T \end{array} .$$

If \mathcal{L} is ample for q we want to consider whether or not there is some \mathcal{L}' which is ample for q' . An initial guess might be $\mathcal{L}' := \beta^* \mathcal{L}$. As it turns out this works.

Similarly we can consider the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times_T X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow q & \downarrow q_1 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{q_2} & T \end{array}$$

where the X_i have line bundles \mathcal{L}_i ample for q_i . The obvious guess is then that

$$p_1^* \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes n} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes n}$$

is ample on $X_1 \times_T X_2$ for q . As it turns out this works.

Now consider two quasi-compact maps between schemes

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$$

Then consider a line bundle \mathcal{K} on Y ample for g and \mathcal{L} on X ample for f . Then the question is if there is some line bundle on X ample for $g \circ f$. The obvious choice, $\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}$, doesn't actually work as we show in the following example.

Example 1. Consider the case

$$\mathbb{P}_k^1 \times \mathbb{P}_k^1 \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \xrightarrow{g} \text{Spec } k$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Write

$$\mathcal{O}(k, l) = p_1^* \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}(l) .$$

Date: March 18, 2019.

Then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1, 0)$ is ample for f and in particular:

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O}(1, 1) .$$

But now we can take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1, -100)$ which still looks like $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the fibers so is still ample, and then we have

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O}(1, -99) .$$

which isn't ample for the map to the point.

Then the actual statement is the following:

Proposition 1. *If Z is quasi-compact, there exists an $n \gg 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}^{\otimes n}$$

on X is ample for $g \circ f$.

Proof. First we reduce to the case that Z is affine. If we cover Z with affines and know the affine case, then since Z is quasi-compact the max of the n s for each affine will be the n we want globally. Because it is ample (relative to g), \mathcal{K} is flexible relative to g , i.e. for $U \subseteq Z$ affine, \mathcal{K} is flexible on $g^{-1}U$, which implies $f^* \mathcal{K}$ is flexible on $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$. From this we see that if $\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}^{\otimes n}$ is ample then $\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}^{\otimes n+1}$ is also ample.

So we know we have enough sections $s \in \mathcal{K}^d$ such that Y_s are affine. If we write $s' = f^* s$ we have $X_{s'} = f^{-1}(Y_s)$, and in particular the relative ampleness tells us that we have enough $t \in \mathcal{L}^{\otimes e}(X_{s'})$ such that $X_{s't}$ are affine. We also have that $t \in f_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes e}(Y_s)$ which is a quasi-coherent sheaf since f is quasicompact and separated. This means although this isn't a global section of this sheaf, if we multiply by a sufficiently high power m of s , we have that $t \otimes s^{\otimes m}$ extends to the global section $t' \in (f_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes e}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes md}(Y)$ and in particular we have a map:

$$\begin{array}{c} (f_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes e}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes md}(Y) \\ \downarrow \\ f_* (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes e} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}^{\otimes md}) \end{array}$$

so $t' \mapsto t''$ which also extends our t . Now $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}^{\otimes nd} = (\mathcal{L} \otimes f^* \mathcal{K}^{\otimes n})^{\otimes d}$ is ample so we are done. \square

1.2. Finiteness condition. In addition to these basic properties of morphisms with ample bundles we also want some kind of finiteness. In particular, we want to consider \mathcal{L} ample for $q : X \rightarrow T$ of finite type. This just means it is locally of finite type and quasi-compact. Recall locally of finite type means the following. So we can cover T with affines $\text{Spec } A$ and their preimages with affines $\text{Spec } B$. So we get maps $B \rightarrow A$, and then being locally of finite type means B must be a finitely generated A -algebra.

So the idea is that under the above conditions we can get:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{i} & Y = \text{Proj } R \\ & \searrow q & \swarrow \\ & T = \text{Spec } A & \end{array}$$

And as always, once we can do it for finitely many elements, we can make all of these d s the same, and for fixed s we can make all of the n s the same, but then we

might as well make $n = 1$, so we can do it for all $s, t \in \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}(X)$. So in fact we can make R look like $A[x_1, \dots, x_m]/I$ so X is a locally closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_T^m .

If T isn't affine it's more subtle. For T quasicompact we can say something, if T is both quasicompact and quasiseparated we can say even more, and if T is quasicompact and has itself an ample bundle, so it is separated, we can say something as well. We will see this in more detail next time.