

LECTURE 3
MATH 256B

LECTURES BY: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN
NOTES BY: JACKSON VAN DYKE

Today we will discuss some more examples and features of the Proj construction.

1. EXAMPLES OF Proj

Example 1. As we saw last time, $\text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is classical projective space \mathbb{P}_k^n . This is covered by affines X_{x_i} , i.e. the same ad hoc affines we found to show it was a scheme to begin with. Explicitly these now look like:

$$X_{x_i} = \text{Spec } k[x_0/x_i, \dots, x_n/x_i] \cong \mathbb{A}_k^n$$

where we omit x_i/x_i . Note that $X_{x_i} \cap X_{x_j} = X_{x_i x_j}$. Note that classically we only saw this for $k = \bar{k}$, but this construction works more generally.

Example 2. For any k -algebra A , we can consider $Y = \text{Spec } A$, and $\text{Proj } A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. This will be covered by n -dimensional affines over A in exactly the same way:

$$X_{x_i} = \mathbb{A}_A^n = \text{Spec } A[v_1, \dots, v_n] .$$

But we can also think of this as

$$A[x] = A \otimes_k k[v]$$

so

$$\mathbb{A}_A^n = \text{Spec } A \times \mathbb{A}_k^n$$

and therefore

$$\text{Proj } A[x_0, \dots, x_n] = Y \times \mathbb{P}_k^n .$$

In general, the Proj of something with nontrivial degree 0 part will be the product of Spec of this thing with projective space.

2. FUNCTORIALITY

Recall that since we have the quotient map $R \rightarrow R/I$ we get that $\text{Spec } R/I \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is a closed subscheme. In light of this, we might wonder whether or not $\text{Proj}(R/I) \hookrightarrow \text{Proj}(R)$ is a closed subscheme. In fact it's not even quite clear if there is an induced map at all.

For R a graded ring and I a graded ideal, then the map $R \rightarrow R/I$ is naturally a grade ring homomorphism. More generally, for a graded ring homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$, we want to know if this gives us a map $X = \text{Proj } A \rightarrow \text{Proj } B = Y$. If we take some $f \in B_d$ for $d > 0$, then the open set $Y_f \subset Y$ corresponding to f is $Y_f = \text{Spec}(B[f^{-1}])_0$. Now for $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$, we get an induced map $B[f^{-1}] \rightarrow A[\varphi(f)^{-1}]$ which is still a graded homomorphism. In particular, it sends the degree 0 part to the degree 0 part, so we get a map $X_{\varphi(f)} \rightarrow Y_f$. That

Date: January 28, 2019.

is, for each of the standard open sets in Y we found an open set in X which maps naturally to it. By construction this is sort of compatible on the overlaps.

The potential issue here is that the $X_{\varphi(f)}$ might not cover X . Recall that by definition:

$$X = \text{Proj } A \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } (A) \setminus V(A_+) \quad Y = \text{Proj } B \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } (B) \setminus V(B_+) .$$

We know that we always have $\varphi(B_+) \subset A_+$ since it is a graded homomorphism, which implies $V(A_+) \subseteq V(\varphi(B_+))$. We also know that $\alpha : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$ satisfies

$$V(\varphi(B_+)) = \alpha^{-1}V(B_+)$$

so we get:

$$V(A_+) \subseteq \alpha^{-1}V(B_+) \quad \alpha(V(A_+)) \subseteq V(B_+) .$$

But if these are not equal, then $\alpha(\text{Spec } A \setminus V(A_+))$ doesn't have to be contained in $\text{Spec } B \setminus V(B_+)$. What we do have is that we can intersect $\text{Spec } (A) \setminus \alpha^{-1}(V(B_+)) = \text{Spec } (A) \setminus V(\varphi(B_+))$ with $\text{Spec } A \setminus V(A_+)$ to get this sort of canonical open subset of X :

$$U = X \setminus V(\varphi(B_+)) .$$

Example 3. The geometry of this is as follows. Consider the graded ring homomorphism $k[x] \hookrightarrow k[x, y]$. Then the corresponding map from $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ maps lines to lines, and the vertical line to a point. This is sort of silly, but in higher dimensions, the only maps from higher projective space to the projective line are constant maps.

Note that if $\sqrt{\varphi(B_+)} = A_+$ (e.g. $R \twoheadrightarrow R/I$) we have that $U = X \setminus V(A_+)$ so in this case, things work out fine. In particular, if

$$(R[f^{-1}])_0 = ((R/I)[f^{-1}])_0$$

since we also have

$$(R/I)[f^{-1}] = R[f^{-1}]/I ,$$

then the kernel of this map is $(IR[f^{-1}])_0$, and this is all compatible. Now the local picture on open subsets is that of an inclusion of a closed subscheme.

This means that any graded ideal $I \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ will correspond to a closed subvariety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$. This is exactly the classical subscheme defined by some collection of homogeneous polynomials that we are expecting.

More generally, if we have some graded ring R , and $f \in R_1$, then $X_f = \text{Spec } R[f^{-1}]_0$. Recall we studied this in two steps. First we took

$$R[f^{-1}]_{\mathbb{Z}d} \simeq R[f^{-1}]_0[x^{\pm 1}] .$$

Then for we passed to $R[f^{-1}]_{\mathbb{Z}d}/(f-1)$ and for $d = 1$, $R[f^{-1}]/(f-1) = R/(f-1)$. Therefore as long as $f \in R_1$, we have $X_f = \text{Spec } R/(f-1)$.

3. WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACE

In Proj, two different ideals can define the same variety. This is immediate in the sense that if $\sqrt{I} \supseteq R_+$, then $V(I)$ is empty. In particular, (1) and (x_0, \dots, x_n) both have $V(I) = \emptyset$. More interestingly, we could also take (x_0^2, \dots, x_n^2) which also has empty vanishing locus. This is something to do with a large degrees phenomenon: if two ideals agree in large degree, they will define the same subscheme.

The following is a basic fact about projective space. Suppose we want to compare Proj R to the thinned out version Proj $R_{\mathbb{Z}d}$ for $d > 0$. First of all $R_{\mathbb{Z}d} \subseteq R$, but we

know this doesn't necessarily induce a map of Proj of these rings. However in this case, since $\varphi((R_{\mathbb{Z}d})_+) = (R_{\mathbb{Z}d})_+$, we know that $\sqrt{\varphi((R_{\mathbb{Z}d})_+)} = R_+$ so we do in fact get a map

$$X = \text{Proj } R \rightarrow \text{Proj } R_{\mathbb{Z}d} = Y .$$

Now notice that we always have that $V(f) = V(f^d)$, so $X_f = X_{f^d}$ and f^d is a homogeneous multiple of d . So X_f is covered by open sets of this form. In particular, $X_g \rightarrow Y_g$, and in local terms we have

$$(R_{\mathbb{Z}d})[g^{-1}]_0 \rightarrow R[g^{-1}]_0 .$$

But these rings are the same, so the map $X_g \rightarrow Y_g$ is an isomorphism on pieces of the cover, so $\text{Proj } R \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Proj } R_{\mathbb{Z}d}$ is an isomorphism.

This means that if R is some quotient, then this thinning only depends on the thinning of the ideal. I.e. $V(I)$ only depends on $I_{\mathbb{Z}d}$ for any $d > 0$.

To be continued...