

LECTURE 33
MATH 256B

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. DIMENSION THEORY OF NOETHERIAN LOCAL RINGS

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring. We can't get very far without this assumption.

1.1. Dimension zero. If A has dimension 0 then this means \mathfrak{m} is the only prime, i.e. $\text{Spec } A = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. This is equivalent to $\mathfrak{m} = \sqrt{0}$ which is equivalent to $\mathfrak{m}^d = 0$ for some d . Now M has finite length n . If there exists

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$$

we have that

$$M_{i+1}/M_i = A/\mathfrak{m}.$$

So the fact that $\mathfrak{m}^d = 0$ implies that A has finite length which implies $l(A/\mathfrak{m}^d)$ is bounded, which implies $\mathfrak{m}^d = \mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ for some d , so $\mathfrak{m}^d = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma. So these are all equivalent.

So we understand dimension 0 quite well.

1.2. Prime avoidance. Let R be any ring with prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ and I any ideal.

Lemma 1. $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{p}_n$ implies $I \subseteq P_i$ for some i .

Proof. WLOG we can assume there is no

$$P_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j \neq i} P_j.$$

So there exists some $x_i \in P_i$ such that $x_i \notin P_j$ for $j \neq i$. Then define

$$a_k = \prod_{i \neq k} x_i.$$

This is in P_i for all $i \neq k$ and not in P_k .

Now assume $I \not\subseteq P_i$ for all i . Then we have some $b_k \in I \setminus P_k$ and we can form

$$a_k b_k$$

which is in I and P_i for $i \neq k$, but not in P_k . Then we can form

$$x = \sum a_k b_k \in I \setminus \bigcup_i P_i$$

as desired. □

Date: April 17, 2019.

1.3. Artin-Rees lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let A be Noetherian with an ideal $I \subseteq A$. Let M be a f.g. module with submodule N . Then there exists some m such that*

$$N \cap I^d M = I^{d-m} (N \cap I^m M)$$

for all $d \geq m$.

Proof. Form the algebra

$$A[tI] = A \oplus I \oplus I^2 \oplus \cdots .$$

This is finitely generated over a Noetherian ring so it is Noetherian. Now make a similar definition for M :

$$M[tI] = M \oplus IM \oplus I^2 M \oplus \cdots .$$

So now this is a graded $A[tI]$ module which is (finitely) generated by the generators of M . Then we have

$$M[tI] = \bigoplus_d I^d M \supseteq \bigoplus_d N \cap I^d M$$

which is an $A[tI]$ submodule. So let's say this is generated in degrees $d \leq m$ for some m . \square

1.4. Krull's principal ideal theorem. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring.

Theorem 1 (Krull). *If a prime \mathfrak{p} is minimal among primes containing (f) , then $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$.*

Geometrically this is talking about irreducible components.

Proof. Localizing at \mathfrak{p} , WLOG we can take $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$. Then we want to show $\dim A \leq 1$. I.e. if (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local domain, not a field, and \mathfrak{m} is minimal over (f) then 0 and \mathfrak{m} are the only primes. In other words we want to show for $t \neq 0$ $\dim A/(t) = 0$.

We have the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (f)/(f^d) & \longrightarrow & A/(f^d) & \longrightarrow & A/(f) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & & & \\ & & A/(f^{d-1}) & & & & \end{array}$$

So we get that the lengths satisfy:

$$\underbrace{\ell(A/(f^d))}_{=d \cdot r} = \ell(A/(f^{d-1})) + \underbrace{\ell(A/(f))}_{=r}$$

and then we have

$$0 \rightarrow (t)/(t) \cap (f^d) \rightarrow A/(f^d) \rightarrow A/(t, f^d) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Then this implies that for $d \geq m$ we have

$$(t) \cap (f^d) = f^{d-m} \cdot ((t) \cap (f^m))$$

so we have

$$\ell((t)/f^{d-m}(t)) = (d-m) \cdot r$$

which means

$$\ell((t)/(t) \cap (f^d)) \geq (d - m) \cdot r$$

so

$$\ell(A/(t, f^d)) \leq dr - (d_0 m) \cdot r = m \cdot r$$

which implies

$$\dim(A/(t)) = 0 .$$

as desired. \square

1.5. Consequences of Krull's theorem. Now for $\dim A > 0$, pick $x_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $x_1 \notin$ the minimal prime. Then the number of minimum primes in a Noetherian ring is finite

$$\dim(A/x_i) < \dim A .$$

Now repeating this and applying the ACC to the (x_1, \dots, x_k) we stop at some n where we have

$$\dim A/(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 .$$

So we can reduce the dimension by at most 1. In fact, by Krull's theorem we lowered it by exactly 1. For \mathfrak{m} minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_n) we have $\dim A/(\underline{x}) = 0$ so $n \leq \dim A$ so in fact

$$\dim A = n .$$

Such a thing is called a *system of parameters*.