

LECTURE 37
MATH 256B

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. LONG-EXACT SEQUENCES

Fix an abelian category \mathcal{A} and consider the category of complexes $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in this category. Then we can define the *mapping cone of f* , $\text{cone}(f)$. In the i th degree we put A^{i+1} and B^i . Then the maps in this complex are defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^i & \xrightarrow{-\partial} & A^{i+1} \\ \oplus & \searrow f & \oplus \\ B^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B^i \end{array} .$$

(i - 1) (i)

Remark 1. The situation with signs here is that the shift operator and the boundary sort of anti-commute. If you follow this generic rule signs should always work out.

We have a canonical injective map $B \rightarrow \text{cone}(f)$ and the additional maps:

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \longrightarrow \text{cone}(f) \longrightarrow A[1] \xrightarrow{f} B[1] .$$

This goes on forever, and is called an *exact triangle* and is written either as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \\ \swarrow +1 & & \searrow \\ & \text{cone}(f) & \end{array}$$

or as

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{cone}(f) \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

The point here is that such things induce an exact sequence on H^i .

Example 1. We show exactness at the step $B \rightarrow \text{cone}(f) \rightarrow A$. Take a cycle $(a, b) \in Z^i(\text{cone}(f))$. Recall this means $\partial a = 0$ and $f(a) + \partial b = 0$. Saying this maps to 0 in A means $a = \partial a'$ for some a' . So we want to show (a, b) is in the image of the cohomology, i.e. we want to find a cycle in B and boundary in $\text{cone}(f)$ which is equal to (a, b) modulo boundaries.

$f(a) + \partial b = 0$ means $\partial(f(a') + b) = 0$, so $f(a') + b \in B^i$ is a cycle so it maps to $(0, f(a') + b)$. Now we have

$$\partial(a', 0) = (-a, f(a'))$$

Date: April 29, 2019.

so we have that

$$(a, b) = (0, f(a') + b) - \partial(a', 0)$$

and we are done.

Lemma 1. *Consider some subcomplex $N \subseteq A$.*

(i) *If N is acyclic¹ then $A \xrightarrow{\simeq} A/N$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

(ii) *Dually, if the quotient is acyclic, then $N \xrightarrow{\simeq} A$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. We only prove the first statement. Let N be acyclic. Let $x \in A^i$ with $\partial x \in N^{i+1}$. Then there exists some $y \in N^i$ such that $\partial x = \partial y$. Then $\partial(x - y) = 0$, which means $x - y \in Z^i(A)$. \square

Let

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow \text{cone}(f) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

be an exact triangle. Then this induces a long exact sequence of objects of \mathcal{A} :

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(A) \rightarrow H^i(B) \rightarrow H^i(\text{cone}(f)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Then we have:

Corollary 1. *f is a quasi-isomorphism iff $\text{cone}(f)$ is acyclic.*

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$. Then we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(f)[1] \longrightarrow \text{cone}(f) \longrightarrow \text{cone}(\in f \rightarrow B) \longrightarrow 0$$

Now suppose f is surjective. Then the cone of the isomorphism $\text{cone}(\text{im } f \rightarrow B)$ is acyclic, which means $\ker(f) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{cone}(f)[-1]$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

So if f is surjective the picture is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker f & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & & & \\ & & \text{cone}(f)[-1] & & & & \end{array}$$

Now consider a SES:

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$$

Then $A \simeq \text{cone}(f)[-1]$. There is also

$$0 \longrightarrow A \oplus \text{im } f \longrightarrow \text{cone}(f) \longrightarrow \text{coker } f \longrightarrow 0$$

which is somehow dual to the other picture. This sequence is the same as:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{cone}(A \rightarrow \text{im } f) \longrightarrow \text{cone}(f) \longrightarrow \text{coker } f \longrightarrow 0$$

Now if f is injective then this means

$$A \simeq \text{im } f$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, and

$$\text{cone}(f) \simeq \text{coker } f$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

¹This means all cohomology is trivial.

So we have two ways of somehow turning a SES into a triangle:

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \text{cone}(f) \xrightarrow{+1} \dots$$

$$\text{cone}(g)[-1] \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{+1} \dots$$

but we can rewrite the second as:

$$B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \text{cone}(g) = A[1] \xrightarrow{+1} \dots$$

Now we can compare these as follows. We have the following maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \text{cone}(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{cone}(g) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & A[1] \end{array}$$

where \simeq denotes quasi-isomorphism. This diagram homotopy anti-commutes. The point is that we get two maps $C \rightarrow A[1]$ in the derived category by following the two sides of this diagram.

So for an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

we get the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(A) \rightarrow H^i(B) \rightarrow H^i(C) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

2. HOMOTOPIC MAPS

Consider two complexes A^\bullet and B^\bullet . Then we can form another complex $\text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B)$ which has

$$\text{Hom}^i(A, B) = \prod_j \text{Hom}(A^j, B^{j+i}) .$$

For some j and some $\varphi : A^j \rightarrow B^{j+i}$ we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^j & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B^{j+i} \\ \partial \uparrow & \nearrow \varphi \partial & \\ A^{j-1} & & \end{array}$$

but we can also consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B^{j+i+1} \\ & \nearrow \partial \varphi & \uparrow \partial \\ A^j & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B^{j+i} \end{array} .$$

Then the boundary map in the complex $\text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B)$ is given by:

$$\partial_{\text{Hom}} = \varphi \partial - (-1)^i \partial \varphi .$$

We can check this squares to 0.

Consider $Z^0(\text{Hom}^\bullet)(A, B)$. This consists of maps $A^i \rightarrow B^i$ for every i . Then the fact that these are cycles means exactly that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^i & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A^{i+1} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ B^i & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B^{i+1} \end{array} .$$

But this is exactly what it means to be a morphism of complexes, so

$$Z^0 \text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B) = \text{Hom}(A, B) .$$

Then the 0-boundaries $B^0 \text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B)$ are maps $A^i \rightarrow B^i$ of the form $f = \partial s + s \partial$ for $s : A^i \rightarrow B^{i-1}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A^i & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A^{i+1} \\ & \swarrow s & & \swarrow s \\ B^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B^i & \end{array} .$$

We say such maps are *homotopic to the identity* and write $f \sim 0$.

Fact 1. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$. Then TFAE:*

- (i) $f \sim 0$
- (ii) f factors as $A \rightarrow \text{cone}(1_A) \rightarrow B$
- (iii) f factors as $A \rightarrow \text{cone}(1_B)[-1] \rightarrow B$

This means if it is homotopic to 0 it induces the zero arrow in the derived category so the functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})$ factors as

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) .$$