

LECTURE 38
MATH 256B

LECTURE: PROFESSOR MARK HAIMAN
NOTES: JACKSON VAN DYKE

1. SETUP

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be abelian categories and $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ an additive functor. We should think of this as sheaves on a space and the global sections functor. This gives us a functor

$$F : \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{B})$$

between complexes in these categories. We also get a map on the categories of complexes up to homotopy:

$$F : \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{B}) .$$

It would be nice if we got a functor on the derived categories

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$$

but this only happens when F is exact. In fact we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{B}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{B}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{RF} & \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B}) \end{array}$$

which won't exactly commute, but rather we want a map RF which will satisfy some universal property. Following Deligne we will construct this map.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF RF

Consider some object $A \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A})$ which is quasi-isomorphic to I . Then for $f : A \rightarrow B$ we can complete the diagram to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & I \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & J \end{array}$$

and if f is a quasi-isomorphism so is g . This commutes up to homotopy. These form a filtered inductive system, i.e. we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & I \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ I' & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & I'' \end{array} .$$

In general the idea is the following. Let

$$F : \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$$

be any functor. Then we define

$$r_F(A)(-) : \mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

to be

$$\varinjlim_{A \xrightarrow{\simeq} I} \text{Hom}(-, F(I)) .$$

This is also functorial in A because we can complete diagrams.

Now we return to the case that $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$. Now Deligne's definition is the following:

Definition 1. $RF(A) = X$ means

$$r_F(A)(-) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})}(-, X) .$$

This factors as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{RF} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B}) \\ & \downarrow & \nearrow \\ \mathbf{D}' \subseteq & \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) & \end{array}$$

where in general this is really only defined on some \mathbf{D}' .

In fact, triangles in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ which come from mapping cones get sent to triangles in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$ which come from mapping cones, i.e. this is a triangle preserving functor.

3. NON-EXACT CASE

When F is not exact RF disagrees with F , so we can ask exactly what happens. We can do this for arbitrary F , but it is more interesting for left-exact F . Recall that for

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\cdots \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow \text{cone}(f) \xrightarrow{+1} \cdots .$$

Then we also get

$$\cdots \rightarrow RF(A) \rightarrow RF(B) \rightarrow RF(C) \xrightarrow{+1} \cdots .$$

We can also take homology, which is abbreviated

$$H^i RF(A) = R^i F(A)$$

to get a long-exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow R^i F(A) \rightarrow R^i F(B) \rightarrow R^i F(C) \rightarrow R^{i+1} F(A) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

If we have a complex which cohomology 0 below some point, then it is quasi-isomorphic to some complex which is actually 0 below some point. I.e. for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$R^i F(A) = 0$$

for $i < 0$, where A is really the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

But in fact, if F is left exact we have that

$$R^0 F(A) = F(A) .$$

This means in the long-exact sequence we get

$$\cdots 0 \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow R^1 F(A) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

4.

Suppose we have a class of objects $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A})$. For $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ which are quasi-isomorphic $q : I \xrightarrow{\cong} I'$ then we want to insist that F preserves this quasi-isomorphism

$$F(q) : F(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(I') .$$

Assume \mathcal{I} is cofinal with respect to the system $(A \xrightarrow{\cong} I)$. Then

$$RF(A) = F(I)$$

for any $q : A \xrightarrow{q} I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Now suppose $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ is

- (i) closed under \oplus ,
- (ii) every $A \in \mathbf{A}$ has $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow J \in \mathcal{J}$,
- (iii) if $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow J \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ and $I, J \in \mathcal{J}$ then $C \in \mathcal{J}$, and
- (iv) if $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ and $I \in \mathcal{J}$, then $0 \rightarrow F(I) \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow 0$.

If this is the case, then $RF(A)$ is defined on $\mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{A})$.

This means

$$A \xrightarrow{\cong} I \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{J})$$

implies

$$RF(A) = F(I) .$$

Let $I, I' \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{J})$ be quasi-isomorphic under q . Then we can take the mapping cone $(q) \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{J})$. Then F preserves acyclic complexes. For an acyclic complex I^\bullet we have a bunch of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I^0 & \longrightarrow & I^1 & \longrightarrow & I^2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & & & Z^1 & & Z^2 & & \cdots \\
 & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow \\
 & & 0 & & & & & & 0
 \end{array}$$

then all of these little exact sequences remain exact under F . Then it turns out every complex in $\mathbf{D}^+(\mathbf{A})$ has a resolution of this form so it is indeed defined on $\mathbf{D}^+(\mathbf{A})$.

Example 1. If \mathbf{A} has enough injectives then \mathcal{J} consisting of the injectives satisfies the above properties. Modules and sheaves have this property.

Example 2. Let $F = \Gamma : \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)\text{-Mod}$. Then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) = R^i\Gamma_X(\mathcal{L}) .$$

We can instead look at Flasque sheaves. This means every section is the restriction of some global section, i.e. $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ for all U . It turns out flasque sheaves also satisfy these conditions.