

QUANTUM GROUPS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF 3 – 2 – 1 TQFTS.

SAAD SLAOUI

This is based on [this talk](#) and its sequels.

Let \mathbf{Bord}_1^3 denote the following 2-category of bordisms. This is a symmetric monoidal category under the operation of disjoint union. This has 1-manifolds as objects, morphisms between two objects are 2-manifolds with the objects as its boundary. Then 2-morphisms between 1-morphisms are given by 3-manifolds with the surfaces as its boundary.

Let \mathbf{LinCat} denote the category of linear categories. The objects are categories such that the Hom sets in the category are complex vector spaces, composition is linear, and there are coproducts. The morphisms are linear functors, and 2-morphisms are natural transformations. The unit in \mathbf{LinCat} is $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The 2-category \mathbf{LinCat} categorifies $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the sense that

$$(1) \quad \text{End}_{\mathbf{LinCat}}(\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

where $F \mapsto F(\mathbb{C})$.

A 3 – 2 – 1 topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a functor

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{Bord}_1^3 \xrightarrow{Z} \mathbf{LinCat} .$$

Since the image of the empty 1-manifold $Z(\emptyset_1) = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have that for a closed surface Σ , $Z(\Sigma) \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{LinCat}}(\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ so we get the classical notion of a TQFT.

For A a \mathbb{C} algebra, a prototype for an element of \mathbf{LinCat} is $A\text{-Mod}$.

- Question 1.** (1) Which linear categories can we assign to S^1 ?
 (2) Which A can be chosen for $Z(S^1) = A\text{-Mod}$?

Write $\mathcal{C} = Z(S^1)$. Then the following are true:

- (i) This is monoidal.
- (ii) All objects of \mathcal{C} have duals:

$$(3) \quad 1 \xrightarrow{\quad} x \otimes x^\vee \xrightarrow{\quad} 1 \quad .$$

- (iii) \mathcal{C} is semisimple (all x are of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n y_i^{k_i} = x$).
- (iv) \mathcal{C} has finitely many simple objects.

This is monoidal because of the pair of pants. Semisimplicity follows from the mark of Zorro stuff.

Date: March 3, 2020.

Notes by: Jackson Van Dyke, all errors introduced are my own.

Now start with a \mathbb{C} -algebra A , set $\mathcal{C} = A\text{-Mod}$. The monoidal structure tells us that we have a map

$$(4) \quad A\text{-Mod} \otimes A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod} .$$

Because the following diagram commutes:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A\text{-Mod} \otimes A\text{-Mod} & & A\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \end{array} .$$

We need an $A\text{-Mod}$ structure on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} N$. This is encoded by a map

$$(6) \quad A \xrightarrow{\Delta} A \otimes A .$$

Now we have the assignment of an A -module M to its dual M^{\vee} , which had underlying vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, \mathbb{C})$. The action of A is given by a map $s : A \rightarrow A$, called the antipode. Explicitly, the action is given by:

$$(7) \quad (a \cdot f)(m) = f(s(a)m) .$$

Associativity of the action tells us that:

$$(8) \quad s(ab) = s(b)s(a) .$$

Eventually, if we continued in this way, we would find that this is a Hopf algebra.

Our first guess for how to find these might be that we should start with a Lie algebra and form the universal enveloping algebra:

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T\mathfrak{g} / ([x, y] = xy - yx) .$$

The above maps are given by:

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \\ x & \longmapsto & x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \\ \\ \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \\ x & \longmapsto & -x \\ \\ \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ x & \longmapsto & 0 \\ \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} .$$

The issue is that this has infinitely many simple objects.

Example 1. For example, we can take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Recall this is generated by

$$(11) \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

TABLE 1. The dictionary between Morse homology and Floer homology.

Classical \mathfrak{g}	Quantum $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$
Generators E_i, F_i, H_i .	$E_i, F_i, K_i = q^{d_i H_i}, K_i^{-1}$
$[H_i, H_j] = 0$, $[H_i, E_i] = a_{ij} E_j$, $[H_i, F_i] = -a_{ij} F_j$, $[H_i, F_i] = \delta_{ij} H_i$	$K_i K_j = K_j K_i$ $K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{d_i a_{ij}} E_j$ $K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-d_i a_{ij}} F_j$
$\text{ad}(E_i)^{ a_{ij} +1}(E_j) = 0$, $\text{ad}(F_i)^{ a_{ij} +1}(F_j) = 0$	same but with quantum numbers

Example 2. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\mathcal{U}_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ admits analogous representations to the L_n s. Now these look like

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & q^{-4} & & q^{-2} & & q^0 & & q^2 & & q^4 \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{[1]_q} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{[2]_q} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{[3]_q} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{[4]_q} & \bullet & \\ & \xleftarrow{[4]_q} & & \xleftarrow{[3]_q} & & \xleftarrow{[2]_q} & & \xleftarrow{[1]_q} & & \end{array}$$

where F still moves to the left, E moves to the right, and H brings us on the loops.

In the classical picture, we look at the weight lattice Λ and we pick a dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ and take the quotient of the associated Verma module

$$(25) \quad M_\lambda = \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{b}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

where $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Span}\{E_i, H_i\}$, to get L_λ .

In the quantum picture, we can still define $M_\lambda = \mathcal{U}_q \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}_q \mathfrak{b}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, but its quotient may no longer all be irreducible. Call these quotient Weyl modules W_λ . These lead to the study of tilting modules.