

Pin(2)-EQUIVARIANCE OF SWF HOMOTOPY TYPE

LECTURE: RICKY WEDEEN

1. THE STORY SO FAR

Consider an integral homology three sphere Y^3 , with a Riemannian metric g . This means there is a unique spin^c structure with a spinor bundle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & Y \end{array} .$$

Now consider $\mathcal{A} \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^1)$ where \mathcal{A} is the space of spin^c connections, which is the same as $\Omega_Y^1(i\mathbb{R})$.

Then we have the gauge group which we think of as automorphisms of this $U(1)$ bundle:

$$\mathcal{G} = C^\infty(Y, \mathbb{S}^1) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{A} \times \Gamma .$$

The action is given by:

$$g(a, \varphi) = (a - g^{-1}dg, g\varphi) .$$

So we have stabilizers:

$$\text{Stab}_{(a, \varphi)} = \text{const}(Y, \mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{G} .$$

So we have

$$\ker(\mathcal{G} \circlearrowleft \mathcal{A} \times \Gamma) = \mathbb{S}^1$$

and if we mod out we get

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq \mathcal{G}_0 = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g = e^\xi, \int \xi = 0 \right\} .$$

This acts freely on γ . When we mod out by this action we get

$$\mathcal{A} \times \Gamma / \mathcal{G}_0 \simeq V = \ker(d^*) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) .$$

Recall $\text{Pin}(2) = \mathbb{S}^1 \cup j\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{H}^\times$. We have an action $\text{Pin}(2) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{A} \times \Gamma$ given by

$$e^{i\theta}(a, \varphi) = (a, e^{i\theta}\varphi) \quad j(a, \varphi) = (-a, \varphi j) .$$

Then we have the Chern-Simons-Dirac functional:

$$\mathcal{A} \times \Gamma \xrightarrow{\text{CSD}} \mathbb{R}$$

$$(A, \varphi) \longmapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(\int \langle \varphi, \not{D}\varphi + \rho(a)\varphi \rangle d\text{Vol} - \int a \wedge da \right) .$$

Notice that this is \mathcal{G} and $\text{Pin}(2)$ equivariant.

Date: October 11, 2019; Notes by Jackson Van Dyke. All errors introduced are my own.

Define $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}_0 \times \text{Pin}(2)$. Now the idea is to do equivariant Morse theory with these actions, with CSD as the Morse function. Naively we want to take the Borel quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} \times \Gamma \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \widetilde{E\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\text{CSD}} & \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \\ (\mathcal{A} \times \Gamma / \mathcal{G}_0) \times_{\text{Pin}(2)} E\text{Pin}(2) & & \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \\ V \times_{\text{Pin}_2} E\text{Pin}(2) & & \end{array}$$

First we have that

$$\text{CSD}(a + t\dot{a}, \varphi + t\dot{\varphi}) = \text{CSD}(a, \varphi) + \underbrace{t d \text{CSD}(\dot{a}, \dot{\varphi})}_{\langle \nabla \text{CSD}, (\dot{a}, \dot{\varphi}) \rangle} + t^2 Q((\dot{a}, \dot{\varphi})) + \dots$$

where explicitly we have

$$\nabla \text{CSD}_{(a, \varphi)} = (* da - 2\rho^{-1}((\varphi \otimes \varphi)_0), \dot{\varphi} + \rho(a)\varphi) = \text{SW}(a, \varphi) .$$

Then we have the Hessian

$$\text{Hess}_{\text{CSD}}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} (Q(X+Y) - Q(X) - Q(Y)) .$$

Then

$$\nabla(\text{CSD}|_V) = \pi_V(\nabla \text{CSD}) .$$

To do Floer theory we need:

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_{\text{crit}}$ to be cut out transversely, or equivalently Hess_a is surjective at $a \in \text{crit}$. This implies that we have isolated non-degenerate critical points.
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_{(a,b)}$ to be cut out transversely. This means ∂ is well-defined.

To get these things we perturb as follows:

$$\text{CSD}_\rho(a, \varphi) = \text{CSD}(a, \varphi) + \int a \wedge * \rho$$

where $\rho = dv \in \Omega_Y^1(i\mathbb{R})$. Now we can ask the question of which perturbations are $\text{Pin}(2)$ invariant. This is hard, so instead we do the following procedure.

1.1. Conley index. Let N^{cpt} be an isolating neighborhood for a flow φ . This gives us $S = \text{inv}(N, \varphi)$. Write $L \subset N$ for the exit set. Then the *Conley index* is

$$I(\varphi, S) \simeq (N/L, [L]) .$$

This has the following properties:

- (1) $I(\varphi, S)$ is robust under perturbations. I.e. for a family of flows $\{\varphi_\tau\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$, and writing $S_\tau = \text{inv}(N, \varphi_\tau)$, we have

$$I(\varphi, S_0) \simeq I(\varphi, S_1) .$$

- (2) The following is due to Floer. Let S be an isolating invariant set for the flow of a Morse-Smale function (on a finite-dimensional manifold with a Riemannian metric). Then the morse homology is:

$$H_*^{\text{Morse}}(\dots \rightarrow C_i^S \rightarrow C_{i-1}^S \rightarrow \dots) = \tilde{H}_*^{\text{Sing}}(I(\varphi, S))$$

- (3) Let $G \subset M$, and φ be a G invariant flow. Let S be an isolated invariant set for φ . Then there exists a G invariant (N, L) such that we have a G -homotopy equivalence:

$$I_G(\varphi, S) \simeq (N/L, [L])$$

and this is in fact a finite G -CW complex.

Now if we could (e.g. if we weren't in infinite dimensions) then we would take φ_t to be the ∇ flow of $\text{CSD}_{\tau, \rho}$. Then the third property gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{Pin}(2)}(\varphi_0, S_0^{\text{cpt}} \subset V) &\simeq I(\varphi_1, S_1^{\text{cpt}} \subset V) \text{ by property 1} \\ \implies H_*^{\text{Morse}}(S_1 \subset V) &= \tilde{H}_*(I(\varphi_1, S_1)) = \tilde{H}_*(I(\varphi_0, S_0)) \text{ by property 2.} \end{aligned}$$

The upshot is that we can just work with the unperturbed thing.

But we can't literally do this since we are infinite-dimensional. So we take the finite dimensional approximation V_λ^μ which we define to be the $[\lambda, \mu]$ eigenspace of $l = \text{Hess}_{(0,0)}$. Then we have the following:

Theorem 1 (Manolescu). *There exists $R > 0$ such that*

- *crit (CSD) and flow lines of CSD are contained in $B(R) \subset V$.*
- *The intersection of the critical points and flow-lines intersected with $B(2R)$ (which we call S_λ^μ) is actually contained in $B(R)$.*

Write φ_λ^μ to be the ∇ flow on V_λ^μ . Then

- $I_{\text{Pin}(2)}(\varphi_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}, S_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}) = I_{\text{Pin}(2)}(\varphi_\lambda^\mu, S_\lambda^\mu) \wedge S^{|V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}|}$ for $\lambda' < \lambda < 0$.
- Metric independence: For metric g_τ we have $n(Y, g) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and then we have

$$I_\lambda^\mu(g_1) = I_\lambda^\mu(g_0) \wedge S^{n(Y, g)}.$$

2. CONSTRUCTION OF SUSPENSION SPECTRUM

We have the following \mathbb{R} -irreps of $\text{Pin}(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{R} \text{ (trivial)} \\ &\tilde{\mathbb{R}} (j = -1, S^1 = -\text{id}) \\ &\mathbb{H} \text{ (on the right)} \end{aligned}$$

The objects are $(X, n_{\mathbb{R}}, n_{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}, n_{\mathbb{H}})$ and the morphisms are:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} &[(X, n_{\mathbb{R}}, n_{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}, n_{\mathbb{H}}), (X, m_{\mathbb{R}}, m_{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}, m_{\mathbb{H}})] \\ &= \begin{cases} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\Sigma^{N-n_{\mathbb{R}}} \Sigma^{N-n_{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}} \Sigma^{N-n_{\mathbb{H}}} X, \Sigma \Sigma \Sigma Y] & m_p - n_p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can define

$$\text{SWF}(Y) = \Sigma^{H \cdot (-n(Y, g)/4)} \Sigma^{-V_\lambda^0} I_\lambda^\mu(g).$$