

INVOLUTIVE HEEGAARD-FLOER HOMOLOGY

LECTURE: KAI NAKAMURA

1. REFRESHER

Let Y be a 3-manifold. In particular, take Y to be a $\mathbb{Q}HS^3$. Let Σ be a surface of genus g . Write the α curves as $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g\}$ and similarly for the β -curves $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_g\}$. Also pick a base point not in the union $\alpha \cup \beta$.

Then CF^+ is generated over $\mathbb{F}[u]$ by the intersection points of

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{T}_\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \dots \times \alpha_g$$

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{T}_\beta = \beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_g .$$

The idea is that \mathbb{T}_α and \mathbb{T}_β are Lagrangian submanifolds of

$$(3) \quad \text{Sym}^g \Sigma := \Sigma^{\times g} / S_g$$

where S_g is the symmetric group. Write this data as

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H} = (\Sigma, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, z) .$$

We have a decomposition

$$(5) \quad CF^+ = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \text{ spin}^c} CF^+(Y, \mathfrak{s})$$

over spin^c structures. There is a differential on this complex, which we won't talk much about, but it counts J -holomorphic disks.

2. INVOLUTIVE HEEGAARD-FLOER HOMOLOGY

Write

$$(6) \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}} = (\bar{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, z)$$

for the tuple where Σ has opposite orientation and the α -curves and β -curves are switched. Then we get a map

$$(7) \quad \eta = CF^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow CF^+(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}) .$$

Write

$$(8) \quad \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H}) : CF^+(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}) \rightarrow CF^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) .$$

Now the involution map is:

$$(9) \quad \iota = \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H}) \circ \eta : CF^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow CF^+(\mathcal{H}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}) .$$

Lemma 1. ι^2 is chain homotopic to the identity.

Date: September 27, 2019; Notes by Jackson Van Dyke. All errors introduced are my own.

This implies that

$$(10) \quad \iota_* : \mathrm{HF}^+(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^+(Y, \bar{\mathfrak{s}})$$

is an involution.

Now we define CFI, the complex for involutive Heegaard Floer. Recall the mapping cone complex of

$$(11) \quad \mathrm{CF}^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) \xrightarrow{1+\iota} \mathrm{CF}^+(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{s})$$

the direct sum (up to a -1 shift in the first factor) and for any

$$(12) \quad (x, y) \in \mathrm{CF}^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s})[-1] \oplus \mathrm{CF}^+(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{s})$$

the differential is given by

$$(13) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \partial & 0 \\ 1 + \iota & \partial \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

We want an $R = \mathbb{F}[Q, v]/(v^2)$ module where Q is a formal variable of degree -1 and $Q^2 = 0$. So we take the mapping cone of

$$(14) \quad Q(1 + \iota) : \mathrm{CF}^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow Q \mathrm{CF}^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s})[-1]$$

which gives us

$$(15) \quad \mathrm{CFI}^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s}) = (\mathrm{CF}^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{s})[-1] \oplus \otimes \mathbb{F}[Q]/(Q^1), \partial + Q(1 + \iota)) .$$

Write $\mathrm{HFI}^+(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ for the homology of this complex.

Now let Y be a $\mathbb{Q}HS^3$ with $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ torsion. This induces a \mathbb{Q} grading on CF^+ , and CFI^+ .

$\mathrm{HF}^+(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ will decompose as $\mathbb{F}[u, u^{-1}]/u$ and $\mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{red}}^+(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. Define $\partial(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ to be the lowest degree of an element in this $\mathbb{F}[u, u^{-1}]/(u)$ summand. Now we have u -towers:

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle Q \\ u\mathbb{F} \\ \downarrow \\ u\mathbb{F} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle Q \\ u\mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \bar{\partial} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle u \\ \mathbb{F} \longleftarrow \partial + 1 \end{array} \end{array} .$$

Define $\underline{\partial} + 1$ and $\bar{\partial}$ to be the lowest degrees of the u -towers. $\bar{\partial}$ is defined to lie in the image of q . So we get this inequality

$$(17) \quad \underline{\partial}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq \partial(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq \bar{\partial}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) .$$

Note that these are maps

$$(18) \quad \partial : \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \underline{\partial} : \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \bar{\partial} : \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

but neither of the $\underline{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}$ are group homomorphisms. Note that we cannot use these for the triangulation conjecture.

Example 1. We have

$$(19) \quad \bar{\partial}(\Sigma(2, 3, 7)) = \partial(\Sigma(2, 3, 7)) = 0$$

and

$$(20) \quad \underline{\partial}(\Sigma(2, 3, 7)) = -2 .$$

This implies that $\Sigma(2, 3, 7)$ is not homology-cobordant to any L -space.

3. WHY HFI?

Basically they are trying to transfer this $\text{Pin}(2)$ symmetry to the Heegaard-Floer setting. HF^+ corresponds to S^1 -equivariant SWF. Since $\text{Pin}(2) = S^1 \cup jS^1$, a $\text{Pin}(2)$ version of HF would be $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ equivariant HF^+ . But we can't really do this. $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ equivariant Lagrangian Floer homology would look like the following. The chain complex would look like

$$(21) \quad \text{CF}^{\text{Pin}(2)} = \text{CF}^+ \xleftarrow{Q^{(1+\iota)}} \text{CF}^+ \xleftarrow{Q^{(1+\iota)}} \text{CF}^+ \longleftarrow \dots$$

where w is a chain homotopy from ι^2 to the identity and z is a higher homotopy. But we don't know how to compute it, so we quotient out by Q^2 to kill this.

For X with a $\text{Pin}(2)$ action we have

$$(22) \quad H_* \left(C^{\text{Pin}(2)}(X) / (Q^2), \mathbb{F} \right) \cong H_*^{\mathbb{Z}/4}(X, \mathbb{F}) .$$

So conjecturally, HFI^+ should correspond to $\mathbb{Z}/4$ equivariant SWF.

So in summary, from SWFH^{S^1} (or HF^+) we get ∂ , from $\text{SWFH}^{\text{Pin}(2)}$ we get α , β , and γ , and from $\text{SWFH}^{\mathbb{Z}/4}$ we get $\underline{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}$.